To the Editor of The Globe and Mail:  

In response to your editorial of June 5th, which correctly states the cases of Mr. Roosevelt as an extremely wise attitude toward the United States' role in the war, I would like to present some additional arguments in support of my position.  

It is true that Mr. Roosevelt has shown great wisdom in his handling of foreign affairs, but it is also important to consider his role within the United States and its government. The country is currently facing a number of challenges, including economic instability and political polarization, and it is crucial that leaders respond to these issues in a responsible manner.  

Mr. Roosevelt, while demonstrating great competence in foreign relations, has failed to address some of the underlying problems within the United States. His approach to politics is characterized by a lack of transparency and accountability, and he has demonstrated a willingness to compromise on important issues. For example, his support for the use of military force in the Middle East has been widely criticized, and his handling of the economic crisis has been met with mixed responses.  

It is important to recognize that a leader's role is not limited to international affairs. A leader must also be accountable to the people, and Mr. Roosevelt has not demonstrated the necessary commitment to this principle. His approach to governance is focused on maintaining his own position of power, rather than on the well-being of the country as a whole.  

In conclusion, while Mr. Roosevelt has shown great skill in foreign relations, it is crucial that he also addresses the challenges facing the United States. Only through a balanced approach can we ensure that the country thrives in the coming years.  

Respectfully,  
[Your Name]