Support information:

A Donor-Chromophore-Catalyst Assembly for Solar CO₂ Reduction

Degao Wang,^{1a} Ying Wang,^{1a} Matthew D. Brady,¹ Matthew V. Sheridan,¹ Benjamin D.

Sherman,² Byron H. Farnum,¹ Yanming Liu,¹ Seth L. Marquard,¹ Gerald J. Meyer,¹ Christopher

J. Dares,³ Thomas J. Meyer^{1*}

- Department of Chemistry, University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, United States
- Department of Chemistry, Texas Christian University, Fort Worth, Texas 76129, United States
- Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Florida International University, 11200 SW Eighth Street, Miami, Florida 33199, United States

a: D.W and Y.W contributed equally to this work.



Figure S1. SEM pictures viewed from the top of the prepared NiO film (a and b). Cross-section images of the prepared NiO film (c and d).



Figure S2: XRD pattern for the FTO substrate (black) and FTO-NiO film (red).



k

Figure S3. Absorbance spectrum of the CO₂ catalyst $Re(I)((4,4'-PO_3H_2CH_2)_2-2,2'-bipyridine)(CO)_3Cl$ methanol.



| Peak | Туре | Position BE (eV) | FWHM (eV) | Raw Area (cps eV) | RSF | Atomic Mass | Atomic Conc % | Mass Conc % |
|-------|------|---------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| Ru 3d | Comp | 284.991 | 0.737 | 1114.8 | 4.273 | 101.069 | 0.48 | 2.30 |
| C 1s | Comp | 286.614 | 1.397 | 7319.8 | 0.278 | 12.011 | 48.55 | 27.60 |
| Re 4f | Reg | 41.640 | 1.019 | 1418.1 | 3.961 | 186.210 | 0.71 | 6.25 |
| P 2p | Reg | 132.540 | 1.699 | 996.2 | 0.486 | 30.974 | 3.95 | 5.79 |
| N 1s | Reg | 399.940 | 1.092 | 1530.2 | 0.477 | 14.007 | 5.71 | 3.78 |
| O 1s | Reg | 529.040 | 1.010 | 13260.2 | 0.780 | 15.999 | 28.97 | 21.94 |
| Ni 2p | Reg | 853.540 | 3.331 | 30880.9 | 4.044 | 58.702 | 11.64 | 32.34 |

Figure S4: XPS measurements and elemental analysis for the assembly NiO|-DA-RuCP₂²⁺-Re(I).



Figure S5: Spectroelectrochemical measurements of *nano*ITO|-DA (E' (DA^{+•/0}) = 0.71 V vs. NHE) (a), *nano*ITO|-RuCP₂²⁺ (E' (RuCP₂^{2+/+}) = -1.20 V vs. NHE) (b), and *nano*ITO|-Re(I) (E'

 $(\text{Re}(I)^{-\bullet/0}) = -1.19 \text{ V vs. NHE})$ (c). The delta extinction coefficient spectra for DA^{+•} (blue), RuCP₂²⁺ (red), and Re(I)^{-•} (green) in argon-sparged 0.1 M LiClO₄ acetonitrile are shown in (d). Based on the data, DA displayed a reversible one-electron oxidation and RuCP₂²⁺ also displayed a reversible one-electron reduction with a characteristic red-shift of its low energy absorption band consistent with the one-electron reduction of Ru polypyridyl complexes. The *E*' (Ru^{2+*/+}) excited-state reduction potential for RuCP₂²⁺ was estimated as *E*' (Ru^{2+*/+}) = *E*' (RuCP₂^{2+/+}) + ΔG_{ES} , where ΔG_{ES} is the Gibbs free energy stored in the excited state. A $\Delta G_{ES} = 2.10 \text{ eV}$ value was estimated from a linear extrapolation of the higher energy side of the corrected PL spectrum of RuCP₂²⁺ sensitized to ZrO₂, resulting in *E*' (Ru^{2+*/+}) = 0.90 V vs. NHE. The Re(I) catalyst exhibited a quasi-reversible one-electron reduction and a delta extinction coefficient spectrum with a magnitude approximately 4× smaller than the other complexes.



Figure S6: Cyclic voltammograms obtained for DA and $RuCP_2^{2+}$ -Re(I) surface-bound to nanoITO in 0.1 M NaClO₄ acetonitrile electrolyte. (A) shows the response of *nano*ITO|-DA and (B) shows that for *nano*ITO- RuCP_2^{2+}-Re(I). All CVs were collected at a scan rate of 50 mV/s.



Figure S7: PL spectrum of $RuCP_2^{2+}$ bound to ZrO_2 for ΔG_{ES} calculation.



Figure S8: Linear scan voltammograms for the assembly NiO|-DA-RuCP $_2^{2+}$ -Re(I) performed under N₂ and CO₂ saturated acetonitrile with 0.1 M NaClO₄. All LSVs were collected at a scan rate of 50 mV/s.



Figure S9: Linear scan voltammograms for the assembly $NiO|-RuCP_2^{2+}-Re(I)$ performed under dark and light conditions in CO₂ saturated acetonitrile with 0.1 M NaClO₄. All CVs were collected at a scan rate of 50 mV/s.



Figure S10. IPCE spectrum for NiO|-DA-RuCP $_2^{2+}$ -Re(I) under an applied bias of -0.54 vs. NHE in CO $_2$ saturated acetonitrile with 0.1 M NaClO $_4$.