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INTRODUCTION: A SOCIOPOSTCOLONIAL HERMENEUTICS

An Interdisciplinary Method

The Gaul/Galatians were described in classical texts and visually portrayed on sculptures as vanquished barbarians. Graeco-Roman colonial/imperial hermeneutical legitimation textually labeled them primitive, savages, fickle, uncivilized barbarians, and warlike giant beasts as a means of reducing them into subjects to subdue, rule, Greecize, and Romanize. The French colonial officials and Holy Ghost Fathers also labeled the Diola savage, primitive, indolent, superstitious,

---


2 Ferris, Enemies, 3-6; Pol. His. 18.17.9-12; 18. 41.7; 2.32.8; 3.78.2; 24.14.7; 3.3.5; Athenaeus, Deipn. iv.151e-152b; Livy, Hist. 38.17.9-10. David Rankin, "Celts Through Classical Eyes," in The Celtic World (ed. Miranda J. Green; New York: Routledge, 1995), 21-33, provides a concise discussion of typologies the above ancient authors apply to Celts, Gauls/Galatians.

and morally depraved people who have no religion and are in desperate need of civilization.\(^4\)

Galatians 2:11-14 and 3:26-29 depict Paul as a *sociopostcolonial hermeneut* who acted on his self-understanding as God's messenger to create/form,\(^5\) through faith in the cross of Christ, free egalitarian communities. Like a Greek colonist, Saul, a famous persecutor of the church in Judea and the diaspora, was divinely cleansed and empowered to create a people.\(^6\) With his gospel, he fearlessly engaged


\(^5\)*LSJM,* "ἀποκεισία," 200, conveys the idea of settling in a foreign land. The verb form, ἀποκίζω, means to "colonize a place" or "send a colony to it" (1 Sam 4:22; 2 Kgs 15:29; 16:9; 17:6; Herod. *Hist.* 1.94; Thucydides, *Hist.* 1.24. 2). The word as Karl Heinrich Rengstorf, "ἀποκίζω," *CCFJ*, 1.185, quips, could mean "to resettile, settle elsewhere" or "send out" to inhabit a land (Josephus, *Ant.* 8.114). Neither the noun nor verb form occurs in Galatians. Paul however does use κτίσις "creation" (Gal 6:15) and ἐκκλησία "assembly, community or church" (Gal 1:2, 13, 22). See "γένος" (verb) and "γένος" (noun) in John Kohlenberger III and James A. Swanson, *HECOT*, 7736-7. The verb γίγνεσθαι means "to congregate" or "assemble." The word γένος is often translated ἐκκλησία "assembly" or "community" in the LXX (see Edwin Hatch and Henry A. Redpath, "ἐκκλησία," *CS*, 433)--a term Paul uses to refer to his alternative communities. See Richard A. Horsley, "I Corinthians: A Case Study of Paul's Assembly as an Alternative Society," in *Paul and Empire: Religion and Power in Roman Imperial Society* (ed. Richard A. Horsley; Harrisburg, PA: Trinity Press International, 1997), 242-52.

\(^6\)ὑπερβολή (extreme) denotes the intense nature of his persecuting of the church (Gal 1:13). Luke describes Paul as one ἐμπνευσμένος ἀπελευθηκών καὶ φόνων εἰς τοὺς μαθητὰς τοῦ κυρίου (breathing threats and murder against the disciples of the Lord). Brigitte Kahl, "Reading Galatians and Empire at the Great Altar of Pergamon," *USQR* 59/3-4(2005): 29-30, notes, "within the ancient world, the Jewish God was difficult to integrate and also had a shameful track record of trouble-making. But if we look at
in socioreligious conflicts to free the Gauls/Galatians from a mythologized defeat, of which they were publically fossilized at the Pergamum Frieze. That was the way he constructed Christian communities.

As a Senegalese converted from Islam to Christianity and trained in Biblical interpretation in the West, I often wondered how Paul’s Epistle to the churches of Galatia should be read and appropriated in the context of colonial, post and neocolonial Senegalese Diola communities of West Africa. In their initial

the pre-Damascus Paul: how he zealously fights for the law and the traditions of 'his (he says 'my'-Gal 1:14) fathers', how he wages a holy war with God at his side, how he tries to destroy the lawless Other without mercy--it seems like a replica of the battle fought by the gods against the giants. It has a different color but essentially the same structure. JEWS versus GENTILES can be seen as equivalent to GREEKS versus BARBARIANS/GIANTS. In both cases, a dominant SELF upholding law and order stands against an OTHER defined as hostile to order and law. That means that the biblical God, in a way, despite his absence was there at the Great Frieze as well, God among Gods within the framework of a law-based order."

7Kahl, "Reading Galatians," 25, says the "Great Altar immerses us into a collective memory where the Galatians are firmly linked to the history of Pergamon, Rome, and the Greco-Roman world in General. They are seen, at least from the dominant perspective, as 'universal barbarians,' a sort of 'ancient terrorists' operating on a global scale-and they unite the Greco-Roman world not only in common fear of terror et tumultus (favorite words used by ancient victory to describe Galatians/Gauls/Celts), but also in gratitude for any victory won over them." See also J. H. C. Williams, Beyond the Rubicon: Romans and Gauls in Republican Italy (OCM; Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001), 217, on Roman ideology.

encounters with Diola peoples in 1835, Christians missionaries, the "Holy Ghost fathers," zealous to share the Good News, failed to appreciate the socioreligious, economic and political dimensions of Diola communities. Shaped by the Enlightenment ideology and its moral conundrum, colonial administrators and


10Robert Delavignette, Christianity and Colonialism (trans. J. R. Foster; New York: Hawthorn Books Publishers, 1964), 63-78. Delavignette was a colonial administrator who served in Africa and as director at the Ministère de la France d'Outre-mer. He was an outspoken critic of French colonization (ibid., 37-52).

11This failure echoes Augustine's individualistic Soliloquies 2.1 in which he empathically expresses his "desire to know God and the soul... Nothing more." Although this reductionism predates the Enlightenment, the emphasis on the privatization of faith has generated a rampant individualism that affected much of the Enlightenment biblical exegesis up to this day. Augustine, too, failed to take seriously Paul's language that emphasizes the other more than the self. In Gal 2:20, he insists that . . . ζῷον δὲ οὐκ ἔχει νοστός, κἀκεῖ ἐν ἐμοὶ Χριστός . . . "and it is no longer I who live, but it is Christ who lives in me." The Apostle Paul thus shows that boundaries between him and Christ are removed—giving rise to a new community in which Christ is present. The immanence of Jesus Christ in the community shapes the identity of its members (Gal 3:28).
Christian missionaries affirmed both human equality from birth and inequality resulting from the accidents of nature. They believed

il n' y a pas entre l' Européen et l' indigène du Sénégal de différence essentielle qui empêche ce dernier de profiter des avantages acquis par la civilisation occidentale. Les sociologues démontrent que les race africaines sont aptes au progrès et qu' ils n' y a aucune preuve de douter de leur facultés à cet égard.12

There are no significant differences between a European and an indigenous Senegalese person that would prevent the latter from profiting from advantages acquired from Western culture. Sociologists show that African races are suited for progress, and there is no proof that would question their faculties in this regard.

Cloaked in this ideology is a sinister conviction of cultural supremacy that fueled the 1789 French Revolution and fostered a new French sociocultural braggadocio clearly articulated by Jules Michelet.

. . . Le Français veut surtout imprimer sa personnalité aux vaincus, non comme sienne, mais comme type du bon et du beau; c'est sa croyance naïve. Il croit, lui, qu'il ne peut rien faire de plus profitable au monde que de lui donner ses idées, ses moeurs et ses modes. Il y convertira les autres peuples l'épée à la main, et, après le combat, moitié fatuité, moitié sympathie, il leur exposerà tout se qu'ils gagnent à devenir Français. . . . celui qui veut invariablement faire le mode à son image, finira par y parvenir.13

12Georges Péter, L' Effort Français au Sénégal (LEFAR; Toulouse, FR: Imprimerie F. Boisseau, 1933), 201.

The French person desires above all to imprint his personality on the vanquished, not like his, but like the quintessence of the good and the beautiful type; this is his naive conviction. He believes that he can do nothing that would benefit the world more than to give it his ideas, customs, and manners. He will convert other peoples to these ways, sword in hand, and after the battle, extremely self-conceited and sympathetic, he will show them all that they gain by becoming French. . . whoever wants invariably to create the world in his image, would succeed.

Closely linked to this "gallicizing" or "Frenchifying" mission is France's appeal to a divine mandate and claim to a superior culture and religious knowledge.

Le christianisme a constitué l' homme moral; il a posé dans l' égalité devant Dieu un principe qui devait plus tard trouver dans le monde civil une application féconde. . . Si le sens social doit nous ramener à la religion, l' organe de cette révélation nouvelle, l' interprète entre Dieu et l' homme, doit être le peuple social entre tous. Le monde moral eut son Verbe dans le christianisme, fils de la Judée. . . la France expliquera le Verbe du monde social que nous voyons commencer. . . c'est à la France qu'il appartient et de faire éclater cette révélation nouvelle et de l' expliquer. Toute solution sociale ou intellectuelle reste inféconde pour l' Europe, jusqu'à ce que la France l' ait interprétée, traduite, popularisée.

Christianity formed the moral person and set a principle of equality before God that would later have a fruitful application in the secular world. . . If the social

---

14For this terminology, see Jane Goldie, Harrap's Shorter Dictionnaire: Anglais-Français/Français-Anglais France: Harrap Books Limited, 1993), 352. Strikingly, Michelet uses the rubric of turning other nations into French. He claims that France, "francisa les autres nations. Action, réaction; absorption, réabsorption, voila le mouvement alternatif d'un organisme" "will Frencize other nations. Action, reaction; absorption, reabsorption, this is the alternative movement of an organism." The above words enshrine the making of French nationalism that justified the nation's colonial imperialism for centuries (Michelet, Autobiographie, 22-21). My translation.

15Michelet, Autobiographie, 231-32. See Delavignette's discussion of the duality that plagued Catholic missionaries in being colonists as well as God's messengers for the creation of Christian communities free from colonial trappings (Delavignette, Christianity, 63-87).
Robert Delavignette, *Freedom and Authority in French West Africa* (London: Frank Cass and Company Limited, 1950), 94. In 1659, Christian missions were not linked to colonization. Missionaries were to spread Christianity without changing or abolishing the customs and religious rituals of their prospective converts. Pope Gregory XV, in his Bull *Inscrutabili Divinae* (1659), specifically talks about the apostolic mandate to spread the gospel to all people and to "never make comparisons between the usages of these peoples and those of Europe; on the contrary, make haste to accustom yourself to them" (Delavignette, *Christianity*, 59). Unfortunately, his instructions would be set aside later.

Consequently, "religion and civilization were inextricably mingled within the context of Christianity" to an extent that "the seminary of the Holy Ghost fathers was called 'the colonial seminary'." This seminary was authorized by Louis XIV, legalized by Louis XV on May 2, 1726, to train priests for French colonies and in December 1764, the cardinal of Fleury decreed "que le séminaire du Saint-Esprit serait désormais l' intermédiaire obligé entre le gouvernement et le Saint-Siège, pour fournir aux colonies françaises des religieux aptes à évangéliser les pays infidèles."

"that the seminary of the Holy-Spirit will, from now on, mediate between the

---

16 Robert Delavignette, *Freedom and Authority in French West Africa* (London: Frank Cass and Company Limited, 1950), 94. In 1659, Christian missions were not linked to colonization. Missionaries were to spread Christianity without changing or abolishing the customs and religious rituals of their prospective converts. Pope Gregory XV, in his Bull *Inscrutabili Divinae* (1659), specifically talks about the apostolic mandate to spread the gospel to all people and to "never make comparisons between the usages of these peoples and those of Europe; on the contrary, make haste to accustom yourself to them" (Delavignette, *Christianity*, 59). Unfortunately, his instructions would be set aside later.

17 Delavignette, *Christianity*, 69. The Catholic missionary overseas viewed him/herself as a representative of his/her country and "would consider it filial impiety not to accept the colonial ideology of his country and his age. Never was it more tempting to confuse colonization with civilization" (Delavignette, *Christianity*, 71). On the colonial seminary, see Beslier, *Le Sénégal*, 97, 115.
government and the Holy-Center in order to provide French colonies with religious people apt to evangelize infidel countries.\textsuperscript{18}

Hence the colonists arrived in Sénégal convinced that their \textit{œuvre civilisatrice}, "civilizing work," also known as la paix Française "the peace of France\textsuperscript{19} was the universal civilization--the portal through which western civilization would be accessible to Africa and Christianity universalized. Aimé Césaire blames this ideology on "Christian pedantry, which laid down the dishonest equations

\textsuperscript{18}Fleury's words are quoted by Beslier, \textit{Le Sénégal}, 77n.1. These were the first missionaries sent to the Northern part of Sénégal and later to the South in Diola communities. The italics are Beslier's. Geneviève Lecuir Nemo, \textit{Mission et colonisation: Saint Joseph De Cluny: La première Congrégation de femmes au Sénégal de 1819 à 1904}; Memoire de Maîtrise, Université de Paris I, October 1985, 35. Mère Anne-Marie Javouhey was part of the colonial effort in Sénégal; a letter she sent to Paris evokes how she felt toward Senegalese people. Beslier concurs with this vision insisting that: "La civilisation chrétienne était en bonne voie. Mais les prêtres formés par le séminaire colonial du Saint-Esprit, pionniers de cette civilisation, étaient trop peu nombreux pour christianiser la terre d' Afrique qui s' ouvrait à leurs efforts. La fondation d' un Institut missionnaire spécialement destine à instruire les noires d' un bout à l' autre des Guinées, . . . était nécessaire à cette grand entreprise." "Christian civilization was on the right track. But priests trained by the colonial seminary of the Holy Ghosts, pioneers of this civilization, were not numerous enough to Christianize the land of Africa that was opening to their efforts. The founding of a missionary Institute especially destined for the education of blacks from one end of Guinea to another, . . . was necessary to this enterprise." (Beslier, \textit{Le Sénégal}, 115). My translation.

Aimé Césaire, *Discourse on Colonialism* (trans. Joan Pinkham; New York: Monthly Review Press, 1972), 33. Césaire finds the colonial equations ironic because, instead of creating civilization, the brutal savagery of colonialism led to the decivilization of the colonists. In other words, any promise of civilization through colonization produces the opposite (31-46).

Peter Mark, "Fetishers, 'Marybukes' and the Christian Norm: European Images of Senegambians and Their Religions, 1550-1760," *ASR* 23/2(Sept., 1980): 95-7. Parallel depictions of the Celts/Gauls are recorded in Polybius, Caesar, Plutarch. See Beslier who describes the Diola as being depraved people. He writes, "Les superstitions et les pratiques occultes compliquaient à l' envie la situation morale des indigènes. La mort appelait par surcroît la vengeance. Celui qui était soupçonné d' avoir tué le défunt, c' est à dire d' avoir mangé son âme était soumis au jugement du féticheur, qui lui faisait absorber du poison, mélange de venin de serpent et de déchets de cadavres." "Superstitious and occult practices complicated the moral situation of indigenous people. Death called for extra vengeance. Whoever was suspected to have killed the deceased, namely to have eaten his/her soul was put to the judgment of the priest-king, who would have the culprit drink poison mixed with snake venom and cadaver remains" (Beslier, *Le Sénégal*, 123). The history of these denigrating stereotypes reaches as far as pre-Enlightenment era. I will discuss those depictions of Diola religion in the next chapter.

---

20 Aimé Césaire, *Discourse on Colonialism* (trans. Joan Pinkham; New York: Monthly Review Press, 1972), 33. Césaire finds the colonial equations ironic because, instead of creating civilization, the brutal savagery of colonialism led to the decivilization of the colonists. In other words, any promise of civilization through colonization produces the opposite (31-46).

21 Peter Mark, "Fetishers, 'Marybukes' and the Christian Norm: European Images of Senegambians and Their Religions, 1550-1760," *ASR* 23/2(Sept., 1980): 95-7. Parallel depictions of the Celts/Gauls are recorded in Polybius, Caesar, Plutarch. See Beslier who describes the Diola as being depraved people. He writes, "Les superstitions et les pratiques occultes compliquaient à l' envie la situation morale des indigènes. La mort appelait par surcroît la vengeance. Celui qui était soupçonné d' avoir tué le défunt, c' est à dire d' avoir mangé son âme était soumis au jugement du féticheur, qui lui faisait absorber du poison, mélange de venin de serpent et de déchets de cadavres." "Superstitious and occult practices complicated the moral situation of indigenous people. Death called for extra vengeance. Whoever was suspected to have killed the deceased, namely to have eaten his/her soul was put to the judgment of the priest-king, who would have the culprit drink poison mixed with snake venom and cadaver remains" (Beslier, *Le Sénégal*, 123). The history of these denigrating stereotypes reaches as far as pre-Enlightenment era. I will discuss those depictions of Diola religion in the next chapter.
Instead of equality in Christ (Gal 3:28), the Diola were characterized as inferior fickle beasts whose bibulousness often leads to fatal feuds over property disputes.\(^{22}\) It is in contrast to this Roman and French dehumanizing hegemony that I would argue that the Apostle Paul characterized himself as \textit{a countercolonist par excellence}. Paul preached in Athens (1 Thess 3:1; Acts 17:16-34), identified himself as Jew, citizen of Tarsus in Cilicia (Acts 21:39), and Roman citizen by birth (Acts 22:28)—a status he inherited "from a former generation in his family who had received it as freed Judean slaves."\(^{23}\) The idea of sociocultural and spiritual consciousness raises serious questions about cultural identity. This message breaks down social boundaries, dismantles taboos, overthrows and challenges human institutions and cultures that dehumanize people. I will read Galatians 2:11-14 and 3:26-29 from the perspective of social sciences qualified by postcolonial contributions to conclude that Paul was a \textit{countercolonist} and \textit{sociopostcolonial hermeneut}.


\(^{23}\)Udo Schnelle, \textit{Paulus: Leben und Denken} (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2003), 46, 44-56 = \textit{The Apostle Paul: His Life and Theology} (trans. M. Eugene Boring; Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2005), 62, 60-69. Paul's experiences of the cross (Gal 1:12) in the worlds in which he was born, lived, traveled, preached, and died (Phil 3:4-5), gave rise to his countercolonizing message that led to a sociocultural and spiritual consciousness that sets the stage for a decolonization. It frees by empowering the colonized to reclaim their true and equal human status and identity in God (Gal 2:11-14 and 3:26-29).
Paul as a Sociopostcolonial Hermeneut

**Dominant Perspectives on Paul**

The Apostle Paul is a *countercolonist par excellence whose message of faith is cast into a sociopostcolonial hermeneutics that upholds faith in Christ as a conceptual metaphor through which communities are made, shaped and freed.*

Pauline scholarship on Galatians has been marked by two dominant perspectives: Luther's and the *New Perspective on Paul*. In the former, Paul is understood to have had a negative view of the law in response to the Judaism of his day for its works-righteousness.24 This reading has since been challenged by E. P. Sanders who

---

24Martin Luther, *Luther's Works: Lectures On Galatians 1535 Chapters 1-4* (vol. 26; trans. and ed. Jaroslav Pelikan; Saint Louis. MO: Concordia Publishing House, 1963), 4-12. In contrast to the righteousness by faith which is "passive" involving belief in God's grace, righteousness by works is "active" with an emphasis on "doing." Luther's Paul sent his epistle to the churches of Galatia "to defend the righteousness that comes from faith and to refute the law and the righteousness that comes by works" (Luther, *Lectures on Galatians*, 21). A reliance on works is a misuse of the law--an action that denies grace which is the sin of "idolatry and blasphemy against God" (ibid., 253-4). In other words, the role of the law is to lead sinners to Christ (ibid., 348). Rudolf Bultmann, "ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣΥΝΗ ΘΕΟΥ," *JBL* 83(1964): 12-16. In this article, Bultmann reiterates Luther's position. Idem, *Theology of the New Testament* (trans. K. Grobel; New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1951, 1955), 1:264. Bultmann is convinced that the person's "effort to achieve his salvation by keeping the law only leads him into sin, indeed this effort itself in the end is already sin." See also Ernst Käsemann, *Perspectives on Paul* (Philadelphia, PA: Fortress Press, 1971). For more details on the Reformers' perspective on Paul, see Francis Watson, *Paul, Judaism and the Gentiles: A Sociological Approach* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1986), 1-18.
insists that Palestinian Judaism was defined by a *covenantal nomism*\(^{25}\) in which one enters the community of God's people by grace and remains "by obeying the law."\(^{26}\) Sequels of these debates gave rise to assiduous hermeneutical inquiries that either aim at retrieving the center of Paul's theology\(^ {27} \) or delving into his life and thought in his.


Paul is said to have "a 'Christifying' of the Torah and *ipso facto* a 'spiritualizing' of it" (323).

\(^{26}\)Sanders, *Paul and Palestinian*, 17-18. According to Sanders, law observance is an outward expression of one's loyalty to covenant membership. Ibid., 16-17, 204-5; Watson, *Paul, Judaism*, 1-18. Furthermore, acts of "getting in" and "staying in" are crucial sociological categories that allow *covenantal nomism* advocates to argue that Paul was taken up with some aspects of the law such as "identity and boundary markers"--laws governing food and circumcision [James D. G. Dunn, *Jesus, Paul, and the Law: Studies in Mark and Galatians* (Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 1990)].

diaspora setting: the Graeco-Roman world. The result was an increasing awareness of the socioreligious diversity of Second Temple Judaism--"a vigorously heterogeneous, diversified phenomenon." Various trends of Judaism interpreted and applied ancestral traditions variably, leading some scholars to argue that Paul polemicized against a synergistic anthropocentrism based on human "self-sufficiency" rather than dependancy on


"divine grace." The Judaism of Paul's day was nothing short of a socioreligious polyphony. Obvious in the above mentioned debates is the deafening silence of commentators on the socioreligious context of Paul's addressees: The Gauls/Galatians. They are often mentioned in passing as if they were not the recipients of the epistle.

**Beyond the Perspectives on Paul**

Debates between the Reformers, their followers and advocates of the *New Perspective* are largely confined to Paul's attitude toward the law and resulting conflicts with his so-called opponents. In his autobiography, Paul reminds his Galatian converts that το εὐαγγέλιον το εὐαγγελισθεν ύπ' ἐμοῦ ὤτι οὐκ ἔστιν κατὰ

---


31 Sanders, *From Sacred Story*, 43-60.

Paul offers his Philippian readers the following autobiographical note saying that he was "circumcised on the eighth day, of the nation of Israel, the tribe of Benjamin, a Hebrew of Hebrews, according to the law a Pharisee, according to zeal persecuting the church, according to righteousness in the law being blameless" (Phil 3:5-6). He was not only advancing (προκόπτω) beyond his peers, περισσοτέρως ζηλώτης, he was "more zealous" (τῶν πατρικῶν μου παραδόσεων) for his "ancestral traditions" (Gal 1:14). The ancestral traditions Paul is referring to are enshrined in the Torah which is central to his arguments; as Francis Watson rightly argues, he is an interpreter of scripture in conversation with his fellow Judean interpreters as well as Galatian converts.

---

33Paul offers his Philippian readers the following autobiographical note saying that he was περιτομῆ ὀκταμέρος, ἐκ γένους Ἰσραήλ, φυλῆς Βενιαμίν, Ἑβραῖος ἐξ Ἑβραίων, κατὰ νόμον Φαρισαίος, κατὰ ζήλος διώκων τὴν ἐκκλησίαν, κατὰ δικαιοσύνην τὴν ἐν νόμῳ γενόμενος ἀμετρητὸς "circumcised on the eighth day, of the nation of Israel, the tribe of Benjamin, a Hebrew of Hebrews, according to the law a Pharisee, according to zeal persecuting the church, according to righteousness in the law being blameless" (Phil 3:5-6).

34Francis Watson, Paul and the Hermeneutics of Faith (New York: T & T Clark International, 2004), 1. This view of Paul is an indispensable approach to Pauline hermeneutics. See also Calvin Roetzel, The Letters of Paul: Conversations in Context (4th ed.; Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 1998); idem., Paul: The Man and the Myth (South Carolina: University of South Carolina Press, 1998), 2-7. Roetzel's work reminds modern readers of significant dimensions of Paul's life and thought. Paul, he insists, should not be frozen in one cultural context; rather, Paul was fully conversant with his Jewish and Hellenistic worlds. Janice Capel Anderson, Philip Sellew and Claudia Setzer, Pauline Conversations in Context: Essays in Honor of Calvin J. Roetzel (JSNTSup 221; Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 2002). However, often in Pauline scholarship, the tendency has been to overemphasize one aspect of Paul's context at the expense of the other [see Abraham J. Malherbe, Paul and the Popular Philosophers (Minneapolis, MN; Fortress Press, 1989); Troels
Paul's complex socioreligious world in which scripture was read and appropriated is not much different from that of twenty-first century reading communities. Leo G. Perdue has rightly argued that Old Testament theology is breaking away from the shackles of the historical critical method to new hermeneutical approaches initiated by reading communities at the margins. Resulting readings are thus characterized by a constructive hermeneutical consciousness that refuses to be enslaved by the rigid tenets of historical criticism.\(^{35}\)

The meaning of the Bible is no longer buried in the graves of the dead who shaped ancient civilizations that formed the written texts, but now is to be situated--at least to some extent, if not, as some would argue, completely--within the myriad of interpreters with their vast networks of multiple social, sexual, gender, ethnic, and postcolonial milieus. Uniformity of meaning, once a cherished and nurtured yet highly utopian dream, has been blown by the winds of differentiated and conflicting possibilities into many situations and numerous ways of knowing and being.\(^{36}\)

---


Walter Brueggemann concurs with Perdue, insisting that this shift from "assured results’ of the critical consensus of scholarship” to the plurality of methodologies and interpretive communities gives rise to fresh endeavors away from dominant approaches to Old Testament theology. The verbal, nominal and adjectival syntax of the text of the Hebrew Bible reflects an odd tension that juxtaposes Israel's "core testimony" of Yahweh's faithfulness and reliable governance and "countertestimony" of divine "ambiguity," unreliability and "negativity"--an interplay of testimonies that renders a shift in biblical interpretation inevitable. To embrace one testimony over the other is to distort the biblical record and misinterpret the crucial dialectic of faith that presents God as "a character who has a profound disjunction at the core of the Subject's life."

The dialectical tensions inherent in the textual traditions of the Hebrew Bible are also characteristic of the New Testament texts and faith with respect to how Paul

37Brueggemann, Theology, xv, xv-xvi.

38Brueggemann, Theology, 318. Brueggemann's avoidance of the pitfalls of the historical critical method forced him to reduce divine revelation to Israel's rhetoric about God; namely, in Israel's speech. He has been rightly critiqued for holding to endless dialogues between communities of faith. At some point dialogue must yield to a life guided by decisive faithful actions (Leo G. Perdue, review of Walter Brueggemann, Theology of the Old Testament: Testimony, Dispute, Advocacy, Christian Century 115/16(May 20-27, 1998), 8.

39Brueggemann, Theology, 268. The arising tension between testimony and countertestimony “belongs to the very character and substance of Old Testament faith, a tension that precludes and resists resolution” (Brueggemann, Theology, 400).
reads and understands the Torah. According to Watson, "Paul and his fellow-Jews read the same scriptural texts, the Torah and the prophets" to configure their world--a reality held in tension by an interplay between world and text.  

Paul, he avers, is a reader who interprets scripture intertextually and cites selected texts

on the basis of a radical construal of the normative shape of the Pentateuch as a whole, highlighting and exploiting tensions between Genesis and Exodus, Leviticus and Deuteronomy. Many of the apparent contradictions within Paul's "view of the law" in fact originate within the pentateuchal texts themselves, at least as Paul reads them.

In his dialogue with voices, countervoices of the Old Testament and those of his fellow hermeneuts, Paul finds the promissory prophetic voice of Habakkuk (Hab 2:4) compelling for his apostolic hermeneutical discourse in which soteriology is a function of christology and ecclesiology. I would argue that the rise of marginal voices destabilizing "the dominant paradigm of historical criticism" is generated by

---

40 Watson, *Paul and the Hermeneutics*, 1. Interpretation is a dynamic task. However, Watson has been faulted for speaking of Paul's reading of the Hebrew Bible in terms of "plain or literal sense." The reviewer's contention is actually the point Watson is making about Paul's interpretation of the Hebrew Bible [see Mark Gignilliat, review of Francis Watson, *Paul and the Hermeneutics of Faith*, RBL (May 2005): 4.


intense interactions between scripture and sociocultural contexts which, in turn, yields alternative hermeneutics.

Paul, therefore, was not only in dialogue with Judean traditions which maintain unresolved tensions, he was also conversant with the Galatian and contemporary socioreligious traditions. His hermeneutical discourse counts colonial discourses by creating new typologies that would best describe members of his communities (Gal 2:11-15; 3:27-29). In other words, Paul counters imperialistic and colonial metaphors such as *Hellenize, Romanize, Judaize or Frencize.* These interactions between interpreting communities raise profound hermeneutical questions arising from the sociopostcolonial horizons.

Insights from Social Sciences: A Concise History

The flowering of social-scientific criticism as a sub-field of biblical studies in the early 1970s owes its inception to nineteenth century scholarship; especially to the work of Max Weber\(^4\) whose application of the Marxist theory led to the conclusion that change is a function of socioeconomic and political realities that governed the

Israelite community. These conclusions have since been re-conceptualized by Norman Gottwald who insists that Yahweh was the pervasive force that regulated Israel's egalitarian socioreligious world and was experienced as an accessible deity.

Since faith in Yahweh is an integral part of Israel's social experiences the sociological contribution to biblical hermeneutics is that the Israelite traditions must not only be interpreted within their original matrices, but must be interpreted from out of the social matrix of the interpreter. An adequate biblical hermeneutics will require the investigation of the evolution of social forms and systems from biblical times to the present!

Applying the same Marxist analysis to New Testament documents led to the idea that the earliest Christian communities were marked by sectarian movements.

---


arising from underprivileged classes; namely proletarians and slaves.\textsuperscript{48} Adolf Deissmann somewhat bolstered this conclusion positing that

die soziale Struktur des Urchristentums weis uns durchaus in die unteren und in
die mittleren Schichten. Nur ganz spärlich sind am Anfang die Beziehungen zu
den oberen Schichten. Jesus von Nazareth war Zimmermann, Paulus von Tarsos
Zeltmacher, und das Wort des Zeltmacher über die Herkunft seiner Gemeinden
aus den Unterschichten der Großstädte gehört zu den historisch bedeutsamsten
Selbstzeugnissen des Urchristentums. . . . Zur antiken Hochkultur stand das
Urchristentum in einem natürlichen Gegensatz, nicht erst als Christentum,
sondern schon als Bewegung der Unterschichten.\textsuperscript{49}

\textsuperscript{48}Karl Kautsky, \textit{Der Ursprung des Christentums: Eine historische Untersuchung} (Stuttgart: J. H. W. Dietz Nachfolger, 1910), 338-70. He views Christianity as a fighting organization which arose from Judaism adopting elements such as monotheism, resurrection and communism. I prefer to characterize this early movement as a debate among Judeans (Christians and non-Christians).

\textsuperscript{49}Adolf Deissmann, \textit{Licht vom Osten: Das Neue Testament und die neuendentcten Texts der hellenistisch-römischen Welt} (4\textsuperscript{th} ed.; Tübingen: Mohr, 1923), 6-7 = \textit{Light From the Ancient East: The New Testament Illustrated by Recently Discovered Texts of the Graeco-Roman World} (trans. Lionel R. M. Strachan; Peabody, MA: Hendrickson Publishers, 1995), 7-9. "The social structure of early Christianity reflects undisputably the lower classes. From the beginning, its connection to upper classes were very exiguous. Jesus of Nazareth was a carpenter, Paul of Tarsus a tentmaker, and the tentmaker's words about the origin of his churches in the lower of the great towns form one of the most important testimonies, historically speaking, that early Christianity gives of itself. . . Early Christianity naturally stood against the high culture of the ancient world, not so much because it was Christianity, but because it was a movement of the lower classes." My translation. He nevertheless complains about overdrawn generalizations that tend to collapse the upper class with the remaining segments of society. Critical assessments of Deissmann's argument are found in Abraham J. Malherbe, \textit{Social Aspects of Early Christianity} (2\textsuperscript{nd} ed.; Philadelphia, PA: Fortress Press, 1983), 37-41. See also Justin J. Meggitt, "Response to Martin and Theissen," \textit{JSNT} 84(2001): 85-95 and David L. Balch, "Rich Pompeian Houses, Shops for Rent, and the Huge Apartment Building in Herculaneum as Typical Space for Pauline House Churches," \textit{JSNT} 27/1(2004): 27-46, on the socially mixed nature of Pauline churches.
Even though these conclusions have gained much resonance with New Testament scholars, the lack of consensus among practitioners of social sciences is a function of models or categories they utilize. That being the case, I would argue for a shift from characterizing Pauline Christianity as a mere sectarian movement to an inclusive movement with sociopolitical concerns.

Social Sciences and Galatians

Social scientific investigations of Paul's epistle to the churches of Galatia have been limited to thematic explorations of specific pericopae. For instance, John H. Elliott, Jerome H. Neyrey and Susan Eastman insist that "fear of the Evil Eye" was a pervasive phenomenon in the ancient world--a socio-anthropological reality most commentators of the epistle overlook. Others like Philip F. Esler, James D. G.

50Martin, "Social-Scientific Criticism," 125. Descriptions range from "social historians," social-scientific critics, cultural anthropologists or ethnographers.


52John H. Elliott, "Paul, Galatians, and the Evil Eye," Cross Current in Theology and Mission 17(August 1990): 262-271, argues that the word βασκαίνω connotes the idea of "envy" and "to injure the Evil Eye" instead of "bewitched" as most English translations would have it. Like his contemporaries, Paul believed in malevolent forces ascribed to deities, animals and people with disabilities such as
Dunn, Paula Fredriksen, John Barclay and Mark D. Nanos investigate Judean and non-Judean social interactions. These scholars agree on the salient nature of socio-anthropological dimensions of the nascent Christianity in Galatia. Although Esler's sociological commentary remains the most comprehensive study, major social scientific readings of Galatians have since appeared. A crucial aspect of these studies has to do with the making of Galatian communities.

Like John Elliott, Neyrey laments the fact that socio-anthropological aspects of Paul's *Sitz im Leben* are ignored at the expense of theology. Susan Eastman, "The Evil Eye and the Curse of the Law: Galatians 3.1 Revisited," *JSNT* 83(2001): 69-87. She argues that Paul's converts learned that the curses recorded by the Deuteronomist are tantamount to the casting of the "evil eye" (Deut 28:53-57).

---


54Esler, *Galatians*, 93-140.

That being the case, community formation, identity and freedom are categories central to earliest Christians as they are to modern Christians. Two sociological models will be utilized in this work. First, a significant sociological model is one that "investigates biblical texts as meaningful configurations of language intended to communicate between composer and audiences."56 The epistle communicates a poignant message designed to reaffirm and shape the identity of his Galatian converts in Christ.57

Like any religious movement originating from a parent religion, Christianity arose from Judaism and due to ensuing discords over the nature of grace, laws governing circumcision, and foods along with human and cosmic transformation, it


distanced itself from Judaism.\textsuperscript{58} That being the case, for a Senegalese Diola
hermeneut to bring this message to bear on his/her communal experiences, he/she
would have to be attentive to the cultural distance between his/her communities and
those of Galatia and France. This way of delving into the epistle to the churches of
Galatia is what Esler calls "biblical interculturalism, a hermeneutics derived from
social rather than philosophical sources."\textsuperscript{59} This would be a dialogue between what
Bruce J. Malina and John J. Pilch refer to as "high context" and "low context"
cultures.\textsuperscript{60}


\textsuperscript{60}Bruce J. Malina and John J. Pilch, \textit{Social-Science Commentary on the Letters of Paul} (Minneapolis, MN; Fortress Press, 2006), 5-9. Both authors argue that "high context culture" (such as first century Mediterranean context) have a keen knowledge of elements and concepts embedded in their conversations missing in those of a "low level culture" (such as in modern and postmodern worlds dimensions of communication are missing leaving gaps to be filled). So, when Paul speaks of Jews and Gentiles, he does not have to say that he meant "Judeans" and "Everyone Else." They knew that in their Mediterranean context, one is either Greek (civilized ) or barbarian including Judeans. This is the argument Esler made in his \textit{Galatians}, 3-5. To bring the meaning of these communications to bear on our own context, an investigation into socio-anthropological elements is necessary to clarify the gap between ancient authors and modern readers [Elliott, \textit{What is Social-Scientific Criticism}? Malina, "Reading Theory," 1-23. Crucial to this discipline is its salient function in safeguarding against the "twin pitfalls of ethnocentrism and anachronism"
Language according to Ludwig Wittgenstein is a form of life that operates in a communal setting based on agreed principles that can be defined as *language games*—modes of communication ranging from giving and obeying orders, reporting on events, creating and reading a story, playacting, "Bitten, Danken, Fluchen, Grüsen, Beten."²⁶¹ A written communication therefore is a social phenomenon in which words convey what would have been otherwise spoken.²⁶² For instance, Paul reaffirms the identity of his addressees insisting that it is διὰ τῆς πίστεως ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ "through faith in Christ" that they were liberated from being compelled to *Judaize*


²⁶²Robbins, "Social-Scientific Criticism". Historical criticism implemented with social sciences make both methods crucial for the task of biblical interpretation because it is a heuristic tool indispensable for delving into sociolinguistic and cultural complexities that shaped biblical texts [Gottwald, "Sociological Method," 27; Elliott, *What is Social-Scientific Criticism?*, 107-9].
The term *κτίσις* denotes the act of creation (Rom 1:20), that which is created (Rom 1:25; 8:19-22; 9:11; Col 1:15, 23; 2 Cor 5:17; Gal 6:15; 2 Peter 3:4; Mark 10:6; 13:19), authoritative "founding actions" and governance, colonization (in Greek literature). In the Hebrew Bible, *κτίζω* is "almost exclusively reserved" to God's creative act (*ארב*). See also H. H. Esser, "κτίσις," *NIDNTT* 1:378-87. Of the sixty six occurrences in the LXX, sixteen translate *κτίζω* (see W. Foerster, "κτίζω, κτίς, κτίσμα, κτίσμα," *TDNT* 3.1000-1035). The LXX however translates *κτίζειν* variably: *κτίζω* (to create, he created or creates), *τις*, *της*, *τος*, *της*, *τοις*, *την*, and *την*. [See Hatch and Redpath, *CS*, 795].

Second, the process of shaping spheres of existence is a "world-building" effort through which humans create and maintain their social worlds by ways of

---

63 *LSJM*, "κτίσις," 1003; *BDAG*, "κτίσις," 572-3. The term *κτίσις* denotes the act of creation (Rom 1:20), that which is created (Rom 1:25; 8:19-22; 9:11; Col 1:15, 23; 2 Cor 5:17; Gal 6:15; 2 Peter 3:4; Mark 10:6; 13:19), authoritative "founding actions" and governance, colonization (in Greek literature). In the Hebrew Bible, *κτίζω* is "almost exclusively reserved" to God's creative act (*κτίζω*). See also H. H. Esser, "κτίσις," *NIDNTT* 1:378-87. Of the sixty six occurrences in the LXX, sixteen translate *κτίζω* (see W. Foerster, "κτίζω, κτίς, κτίσμα, κτίσμα," *TDNT* 3.1000-1035). The LXX however translates *κτίζειν* variably: *κτίζω* (to create, he created or creates), *τις*, *της*, *τος*, *της*, *τοις*, *την*, and *την*. [See Hatch and Redpath, *CS*, 795].

64 H. Balz, "κόσμος," *EDNT* 2:309. This term belongs to a broad semantic field and is variably used by classical, Hebrew Bible and New Testament writers. In this work, the term *κόσμος* will be discussed in conjunction with *καὶν ἡ κτίσις*. Against J. Baumgarten who understands Paul's *ἐὰν τις ἐν Χριστῷ, καὶ καὶ κτίσις* "if anyone is in Christ he/she is a new creation," only in anthropological terms (J. Baumgarten, "καὶν ἡ κτίσις," *EDNT* 2:229), this work argues that the expression *καὶν ἡ κτίσις* (2 Cor 5:17; Gal 6:15) has anthropological and cosmic implications because Paul understands *τὰ στοιχεῖα τοῦ κόσμου* to have affected human life as well as the cosmic realm (Gal 4:1-3). The Christ-event on the cross (Gal 3:10, 13) not only transforms but also moves those in Christ into a new theonomy. In Josephus, *καὶν ἡ κτίσις* conveys the idea of "founding" or "constructing" of "new settlements" (Josephus, *Ant*, 1.52; 18.373; *J.W.* 4.533; 6.269, 408, 437, 441; see also *κτίσις*, *κτίσμα*, *κτίσμα* in Rengstorf, *CCFJ*, 1.1087).
"externalization, objectivation, and internalization." Peter L. Berger argues that humans continuously engage in creating worlds/cultures in which they could live and interact (externalization); but these created worlds/cultures become objective realities acting back on their producers (objectivation)--a process that obliges them to re-assimilate these objective realities "into structures of the subjective consciousness" (internalization).66 This project of cultural formation or "world construction" is an ordering of life experiences in which religion is a pertinent human enterprise by which a sacred cosmos is established. Put differently, religion is cosmization in a sacred mode. By sacred is meant here a quality of mysterious and awesome power, other than man and yet related to him which is believed to reside in certain objects of experience.67

The resulting sacred cosmos is transcendent and yet immanent as it shapes, confronts and directs humans to a new theonomy against the powers of chaos from


67Berger, Sacred Canopy, 25, 15-28. The sacred takes varying forms from one culture to another ranging from "particular customs or institutions. Space, and time may be assigned the same quality, as in sacred localities and sacred seasons. The quality may finally be embodied in sacred beings, from highly localized spirits to the great cosmic divinities. The later, in turn, may be transformed into ultimate forces or principles ruling the cosmos. . ."
which it emerged. In the end, the created world must be maintained and legitimated in order to be transmitted to future generations, the end result of which is a "symbolic universe" which regulates the boundaries and deportment of those who live in it. A *symbolic universe* is a way in which groups of people define themselves and conceptualize their society. Such groups would likely define and distinguish themselves from each other using positive and negative typologies such as "superior" and "inferior." Colonists often present their civilizations as superior and normative--a claim that justifies the colonization of those they consider inferior, primitive and subhuman.

---


70 First French colonists who came to Sénégal truly believed in their superiority to Senegalese people. Beslier is convinced that Senegalese people should be thankful. French culture has not only civilized some Senegalese people, it built the country (Beslier, *Le Sénégal*, 221-222).
Postcolonial Contributions

Classical and Hellenistic Examples

In what ways does the message of the apostle Paul create and shape communities? Luke is believed to have marshaled his "theme" through literary conventions shared by Graeco-Roman historiographers that seek to explain divine involvement in the κτίσις of their communities. Ancient Greeks produced a mixture of historical, literary, mythical and legendary texts to emphasize a divine approval for their colonial and imperial impulses. Their descendants (post-classical Greeks) appropriated and construed these texts into κτίσις genres for their actual self-definition, legitimating their new colonial ambitions. Colonization, they adduce, arises from some crises (overpopulation, political insurrection and murder) resolved

---


73 Carol Dougherty, "Archaic Greek," 45; idem, *The Poetics*, 8, 31-34.
through a ritual consultation at Delphi\(^74\) during which the culprit(s) is transformed from a political insurrectionist or murderer into a hermeneut\(^75\) who, after interpreting the complex Delphic oracle, "is told by Apollo to found a colony in order to be ritually cleansed."\(^76\) The complexity involved in this riddle-solving is indicative of

\(^74\)Carol Dougherty, "It's Murder to Found a Colony," in *Cultural Poetics in Archaic Greece: Cult, Performance, Politics* (ed. Carol Dougherty and Leslie Kurke; New York: Oxford University Press, 1998), 178-98. Although a detailed discussion of the founding hermeneut will be provided in the next chapters, it is important to note that Dougherty's thesis is that "the Greeks used Apollo and the purification process that murder demands as a conceptual analogy, a metaphor to describe colonization" (180).

\(^75\)The emphases are mine and serve to explain henotheistic claims to divine mandate in colonial discourses in order to differentiate them from the Pauline pre-Christian life, call-conversion and universal message of being in Christ. Strikingly, Luke's Paul was a persecutor and murderer [Σαυλός δ' ἦν συνειδοκόων τῇ ἁναγρέσει αὐτοῦ (Acts 8:1); see also Acts 9:1-2, 4-7; 22:4-5; 26:9-11]. Paul himself identified himself as διώκων τὴν ἐκκλησίαν (Gal 1:13-14; Phil 3:6a).

its divine origin that legitimizes the colonizing act. This means that later Greeks generated this creation/foundation genre to sanction their new Hellenistic colonial and imperialistic ambitions.

Plato proudly posited that "sound and healthy was the spirit of freedom among us, and the φύσει μισοβάρβαρον, 'natural dislike of the barbarians', because we are pure Hellenes, having no admixture of barbarism in us" (Plato, Menex. 245d). So, ὁ τί περ ὅν Ἔλληνες βαρβάρων παραλάμβωσι, κάλλιον τούτο εἰς τέλος ἀπεργάζονται "whatever Greeks receive from barbarians, they transform into a better end" (Plato, Epin. 987d). In sum, to the Greek colonists, their culture is the ideal civilization and everyone else is inferior and by nature barbaric. They saw themselves as divine agents to establish colonies and civilize barbarians.

A Roman Example

Ἐλληνισμός "Hellenization" and Romanization have similar rationales for colonization. Colonial/Imperial Rome is depicted "as divinely sanctioned with the

77 Dougherty, The Poetics, 158-9. "The Delphic oracle is famous for its ambiguous language, in particular for riddles and puns, and the duality of a bilingual, etymological pun allows the Greek colonists to interpret local phenomena in Greek terms."


79 Simon Hornblower, "Hellenism, Hellenization," OCD, 677-79. The verb ἔλληνιζε, (to Hellenize) developed from its initial linguistic contextual use to a broader culture significance. During the Hellenistic period, the word was stood for
mission to civilize the barbarians." David L. Balch argues that Luke's "policy of receiving all nations" is similar to the Claudian "Romanization and not a Hellenization, of God's people" -- a policy Luke exercised in countering charges that Paul altered Mosaic traditions. Like assimilation or accommodation, this policy would enable Christians to endure hardship without jettisoning their Christian convictions. Hellenism instilled in the Roman psyche "a growing consciousness of the spread of Greek culture. Whether non-Greek people were violently forced to adopt Greek culture during the onset of Hellenism is debated. The violence and repression associated with Hellenism was more evident during the Seleucid reign over the Palestinian world (2 Macc 4:13). For a helpful study of Hellenism, see Hans Dieter Betz, "Hellenism," ABD 3:127-135; Thomas W. Martin, "Hellenists," ABD 3:135-6; F. W. Walbank, The Hellenistic World (rev. ed.; Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1992). See also Acts 6:1; 9:29. Stephen Mitchell, "Romanization," OCD, 1321-22. It is important to note that this similarity is limited. Hellenism is cultural whereas Romanization was not.

Greg Woolf, "Beyond Romans and Natives," World Archaeology 28/3(1997): 1; idem., Becoming Roman, 48-76. Although Romans viewed their colonial efforts as a mission to receive foreigners, the romanized did not all share equal status [Richard Alston, Aspects of Roman History: AD 14-117 (New York: Routledge, 1998), 208-226].


that Romans were destined by the gods to conquer, rule and civilize the world.\textsuperscript{83}

This new colonial horizon appeals not to Delphian sending oracles but an appropriation of the idealized view of civilization. Pliny captures this conviction saying that Rome:

\begin{quote}
\ldots chosen by the providence of the gods to make heaven itself more glorious, to unite scattered empires, to make manners gentle, to draw together in converse by community of language the jarring and uncouth tongues of so many nations, to give mankind civilization, and in a word to become throughout the world the single fatherland of all races.\textsuperscript{84}
\end{quote}

This belief would have profound consequences for the Gauls/Galatians as well as the entire world. It will be shown how French colonists brought this claim to its ultimate conclusion to justify their colonial ambitions. The Apostle Paul claims a divine rationale for his mission to the Gentiles (Gal 1:11-12). Most scholars agree he stood in the traditions of the prophets Jeremiah and Isaiah.\textsuperscript{85} Just as Greeks and

\textsuperscript{83}Woolf, \textit{Becoming Roman}, 48.

\textsuperscript{84}Pliny, \textit{NH}, 3.5.39-42. Cicero thinks that Romans are the new agents for universal civilization not as the Greeks did but through inclusion of the barbarians (Cicero, \textit{Ad Quintum fratrem}, 1.1.27; idem., \textit{De Republica}, 1.58. See Woolf, \textit{Becoming Roman}, 54-60.

Romans esteemed their cultures, Paul, too, was proud of his Judean heritage (Phil 3:5; Rom 11:1; 2 Cor 11:22). He tells his Galatian churches that his unwavering zeal for the holiness of Israel led him to violently persecute the church (Phil 3:6; Gal 1:13, 23; 1 Cor 15:9).

Paul argues that God ἄφορίσας ("separated") and καλέσας ("called") him to εὐαγγελίζωμαι αὐτὸν ἐν τοῖς ἔθνεσιν ("preach the Good News among non-Judeans") (Gal 1:16-17)–a new religious experience referred to as either a call⁸⁶ or conversion-call.⁸⁷ I wish to emphasize only his encounter with the risen Christ as the catalyst for his new self-understanding as apostle to non-Judeans (Gal 1:15-16). He, too, was sent by God—a divine mandate to create communities.

Torah observation and sets the stage for his mission to the nations like the prophet Jeremiah (Jer 1:4-5 and Isa 49:1-6).


A French Example

Divine appeal to justify colonization is not just an ancient practice, it is modern as well. Like the Greeks and the Romans, French people also claimed to have constructed and scrutinized their ancestors' experiences for their own present self-image and self-identification: The Gauls. To construct such an identity, Napoleon III ordered an excavation of three key Iron Age settlements where Vercingetorix opposed Roman invasions. He then had a huge bronze statue of Vercingetorix "modeled after his own face" made and erected at Alésia. Ever since,

88Michael Dietler, "Our Ancestors the Gauls: Archaeology, Ethnic Nationalism, and the Manipulation of Celtic Identity in Modern Europe," American Anthropologist 96/3(1994): 589. The excavated sites are: "Alésia (the site of the final Celtic defeat), Gergovia (the site of a victory of the Celts over Caesar), and Bibracte (where Vercingetorix attempted to rally united opposition against the Romans)." For a parallel to French colonization, see Henry Wyles Cushman, Historical Genealogy: The Descendants of Robert Cushman, the Puritan, From the Year 1617 to 1855 (Boston: Little, Brown, and Company, 1855), 33-34. Cushman preached to his fellow Puritan pilgrims, settlers of the Plymouth colony in America, insisting that settling the New World is a divine and moral imperative to spread Christianity, to make use of the spacious land and civilize its savage occupants. Dougherty, Poetics, 3-4, cites and links Cushman's colonial rhetoric to ancient Greek colonial justifications.

89Caesar, Gallic War, 7.75. The Celts/Gauls in question belong to the Arverni people other than those of Asia Minor (Caesar, Gallic War, 1.31.3; Strabo, Geogr. 4.2.3; John Frederick Drinkwater, "Vercingetorix," OCD, 1587-8). Vercingetorix is reported to have said to his fellow Gauls that his campaign against Caesar was not driven by a personal ambition but for the libertatis, "freedom," of his community (Caesar, Gallic War, 7.89). Vercingetorix said these words before bravely handing "deditur," himself over to Caesar for the sake of his fellow Celts/Gauls. The term used to depict his surrender parallels παραδοσίαματι to "give," "hand over/deliver" and "surrender" especially in the passion narratives (see "παραδοσίαματι," LSJM, 1308; R. C. Palmer, ed., "dēdō," OLD, 1.496).
This self-understanding instantiates a French claim to a superior civilization with an ideal democracy of which Michelet spoke saying:

Ce génie démocratique de la France n'est pas d'hier. Il apparaît confus et obscur, mais non pas moins réel dès les premières origines de notre histoire. Longtemps il grandit, à l'abri et sous la forme même du pouvoir religieux. Avant les Romains, avant César, je vois le sacerdoce gaulois, rival des chefs de clan, surgir . . . de l'initiation, c'est-à-dire de l'esprit de légalité. Les Druides, sortis du peuple, s'allient au peuple des villes contre l'aristocratie.  

This democratic genius of France was not yesterday's. It appeared confused and obscured, but no less real to the first origins of our history. Long ago it grew, sheltered under the form of religious power. Before the Romans, before Caesar, I see the religious ministry of the Gauls, rival of clan leaders, emerged . . . from the initiation, namely of the spirit of equality. The Druids, came from the people, allied with urban dwellers against the aristocracy.

This would be done in conjunction with the translation of the Christian message of freedom and equality to the world, especially to the barbarians. The binary mindset

---

90 adducit, "Our Ancestors the Gauls," 590.

91 Michelet, Autobiographie, 223.

92 Ibid., 223-33. Capitaine Froelicher is forthcoming in describing French colonization as a project of exploitation during which the native would be awarded deplorable salaries. He delineates three types of colonies: colonies to populate, to exploit, and to exploit and populate. Sénégal happens to be classified as a colony of exploitation [Capitaine Froelicher, Trois Colonisateurs: Bugeaud, Faidherbe, Galliéni (Paris: Henry Charles-Lavauzelle, 1904), 5-15]. For Froelicher, natives have to be studied and slowly educated in order to reach a satisfactory moral and intellectual level; namely civilized. Simultaneously, "on examine le sol: sa configuration, sa constitution géographique, le régime de ses eaux, ses condition
discussed above originating from Greek antiquity\textsuperscript{93} is unfortunately a lively modern nightmare that destabilized many African nations including Senegalese Diola communities. For this reason, Senegalese postcolonial contributions must be negotiated and retrieved from the lived experiences of the colonized.

\textit{A Diola Sociopostcolonial Hermeneutics}

Although there are helpful historical analyses of works championed by pioneers of Négritude and Pan-Africanism who daringly wrote and spoke against colonialism in metropolitan France,\textsuperscript{94} the growing interest in postcolonial studies still discussed above originating from Greek antiquity\textsuperscript{93} is unfortunately a lively modern nightmare that destabilized many African nations including Senegalese Diola communities. For this reason, Senegalese postcolonial contributions must be negotiated and retrieved from the lived experiences of the colonized.

\textit{A Diola Sociopostcolonial Hermeneutics}

Although there are helpful historical analyses of works championed by pioneers of Négritude and Pan-Africanism who daringly wrote and spoke against colonialism in metropolitan France,\textsuperscript{94} the growing interest in postcolonial studies still

\begin{itemize}
  \item Edward Said, \textit{Orientalism} (New York: Vintage Books, 1978), 57. "In classical Greece and Rome geographers, historians, public figures like Caesar, orators, and poets added to the fund of taxonomic lore separating race, regions, nations, and minds from each other; much of that was self-serving, and existed to prove that Romans and Greeks were superior to other kinds of people." Dougherty, \textit{Poetics}, 3, 157-81. Against Said, some scholars locate the rise of binary thinking in the Hellenistic period [Irad Malkin, "Postcolonial Concepts and Ancient Greek Colonization," \textit{MLQ} 65/3(Sept. 2004): 341-4; Mary E. White, "Greek Colonization," \textit{JEH} 21/4(1961):443-54].
  
  \textsuperscript{94}For a first hand account of colonial and postcolonial experiences, see Léopold Sédar Senghor, "The Spirit of Civilisation, or the Laws of African Negro Culture," \textit{Présence Africaine} (1959): 52-4; idem, "What is Negritude? Atlas" (1962): 54-55; Aimé Césaire, Cahier d'un retour au Pays Natal (2\textsuperscript{nd} edition; ed. Abiola Irele;

95Musa Dube, "Post-Colonial Biblical Interpretations," *DBI*. 2.299-303. She elaborates on various semantics involved in postcolonial studies. She also contrasts the colonizers' usages of the Bible to bolster their claimed supremacy over native cultures with those that seek to decolonize along with diaspora appropriations. She also highlights limitations and challenges facing interpreters when it comes to interpreting texts and methodology. She keys on the resourcefulness of the Two Third Worlds readers who spoke from their experiences. R. S. Sugirtharajah, *Postcolonial Criticism and Biblical Interpretation* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2002). Sugirtharajah discusses issues shared by both postcolonial and liberation theologies, especially the need to reinvent the distastefulness of the present. Interestingly, he thinks liberation theology failed to measure up to its promissory liberating stance to an extent that it has almost become a relic of the past. However, he thinks both disciplines should join and articulate their common cause (Sugirtharajah, 112-23). Both Dube and Sugirtharajah agree on the role of experience in postcolonial studies. Fernando F. Segovia, *Decolonizing Biblical Studies: A View from the Margins* (New York: Orbis Books, 2000), remained in the theoretical realm, and thus has little to say about biblical texts. There are however significant postcolonial works on selected biblical passages, see Musa Dube, *Postcolonial Feminist Interpretation of the Bible* (St. Louis, MO: Chalice Press, 2000).
perdues. Elles naissent avec la *croix* de la mort au front."\(^{96}\) Instead of taking their cues from the pioneers of Négritude and Pan-Africanism, postcolonial theories being discussed today are sequels of western post-structural thought which says little if anything about the lived experiences of the colonized. Nevertheless, it remains a promising maze capable of actualizing the freedoms liberation theologies failed to secure.\(^{97}\) A postcolonial biblical interpretation emerges as a socioreligious and cultural consciousness which like the "*phoenix that mounts and sings with spread wings*"\(^{98}\) strives to emancipate and set the colonized into a new theonomy. Similarly, *a Senegalese postcolonial thought arose from an identity crisis which can only be reclaimed through an articulation of a biblical hermeneutical discourse that would address the actual self-understanding of the Diola amidst postcolonial shambles.*

Tseney Serequeberhan calls for an African philosophical hermeneutics "aimed at disclosing a future in congruence with the humanity of the human in African

\(^{96}\)See Henry de Montherlant, *La Rose de Sable* (Paris: Éditions Gallimard, 1968), xii. The italicized word is mine for emphasis.


existences." Similarly, Itumeleng J. Mosala thought an effective black theology for the liberation of his fellow oppressed and exploited South Africans is yet to be fully developed because black hermeneuts failed to convince "white theologians against whose theology it was supposedly first originated." He consequently posits that "a clear ideological and theoretical break with the dominant practices and discourses is necessary if a biblical hermeneutics of black liberation is to emerge." 

The question of freedom from colonial powers has preoccupied many African thinkers ever since the advent of colonialism. Africans suffered a debilitating identity crisis—a chronic socioreligious, cultural and economic displacement that led to a


101 Mosala, *Biblical Hermeneutics*, 4. Mosala has echoed this break in a much earlier work where he argued that the African hermeneut should appeal to African Traditional religion which he characterized as a "weapon" or "protest against alienating forces" wrought by the advent of colonialism (idem, "The Relevance of African Traditional Religions and Their Challenge to Black Theology," in *The Unquestionable Right to Be Free: Black Theology from South Africa* (ed. Itumeleng J. Mosala and Buti Tlhagale; New York: Orbis Books, 1986), 91-100; idem, "The Use of the Bible in Black Theology," 175-99. Although his point is well taken, I suspect that a wounded conscience would engage in an unending quest to maintain the tension arising from its wounds because life will never be the same again. My emphasis.
suppression of their traditional life experiences and an irretrievability of the vitality of their languages.\textsuperscript{102} For this reason, it would be wise to indigenize certain elements of western ideology that originally helped legitimate colonization and alienate many cultures. First, Hans Georg Gadamer argues that "consciousness of being affected by history (wirkungsgeschichtliches Bewußtsein) is primarily consciousness of the hermeneutical situation."\textsuperscript{103} That human experience is an ongoing reflection on the past and present horizons because "understanding is always the fusion of these horizons supposedly existing by themselves."\textsuperscript{104} This way of seeing human


\textsuperscript{104}Gadamer, \textit{Truth and Method}, 306. Against Esler, \textit{New Testament Theology}, 79, 83, who thinks that Gadamer does not allow room for the dynamic aspect of human life, Gadamer believes in an ongoing reflective task. "To acquire a horizon means that one learns to look beyond what is at hand--not in order to look away from it but to see it better, within a larger whole and in truer proportion" (305).
experience is not limited to Germany but transcends German life experiences to those of other peoples. The Senegalese Diola comes to the biblical text with his/her lived experiences of denigrating atrocities of colonialism, and it is from that vantage point that something new emerges: a meaningful self-understanding that must explain something new if it is to be effective.

Second, a conversation or "language-game" is a "life-form" that operates based on agreed principles bound by a specific Sitz im Leben. Since a text is a "fixed discourse by writing" it transcends its original writer and reader to speak to readers in different life settings. This is possible because text encodes possible worlds which when decoded could offer humans a meaningful world in which they can live. So, the interaction between reader and text gives rise to kind of hermeneutics that would yield a plethora of possible meanings. Gadamer's fusing


108 Ricoeur, *Interpretation Theory*, 30-34.

109 Ricoeur, *Interpretation Theory*, 32. He see metaphors as crucial vehicles of meaning, or imaginations in and of themselves with a subversive power to reconfigure the readers' world. See also Anthony C. Thiselton, *New Horizons in Hermeneutics: The Theory and Practice of Transforming Biblical Reading* (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Publishing House, 1992), 347-64.
Serequeberhan, The Hermeneutics, 15, quips that in the "midst of famine, political terror, western or Eastern ('democratic' or 'socialist,' as the case may be) military interventions, 'liberation' and 'freedom' have become the words with which Occidental power imperiously proclaims its military might and political preeminence."

of the horizons should not be limited to a mere reflection on one's misunderstanding, but rather, it should move to an explanation of one's misunderstanding and imagine a new world in which freedom would be an actuality. Therefore, one's consciousness of colonial oppression and dehumanization should lead to a concrete discourse. Serequeberhan finds current usages of terms such as liberty and freedom marred with ambiguity because

today it is these very terms that post-colonial "independent" Africa misunderstands itself. What seemed to be clear and unambiguous has become obscure and opaque. Thus the lethargic inertness of neocolonialism passes for the actuality of "freedom" and "liberation".110

As stated above, like ancient colonial discourses that appeal to divine legitimation for their colonial efforts, the Apostle Paul understood himself as one sent by God to shape and create communities whose members' identity is defined in Christ rather than by culture, social status, ethnicity or gender (Gal 2:11-14; 3:28). The cross of Christ would become the anchor for a postcolonial biblical discourse that would invite the colonized to exercise a new identity in Jesus Christ and to assiduously investigate ancient colonial discourses and biblical texts in the context of Senegalese Diola communities. Aline Sitoé, a Diola prophetess, was also sent by

110Serequeberhan, The Hermeneutics, 15, quips that in the "midst of famine, political terror, western or Eastern ('democratic' or 'socialist,' as the case may be) military interventions, 'liberation' and 'freedom' have become the words with which Occidental power imperiously proclaims its military might and political preeminence."
Emitai "God" to create communities whose members are equal before God.\textsuperscript{111} She was a fearless countercolonist against French hegemony. That being the case, I would call my method a sociopostcolonial hermeneutics. In my second and third chapters, I will discuss how Gauls/Galatians and the Senegalese Diola people were pictured under imperial rule and discuss their socioreligious parallels. Whereas the fourth chapter will deal with an exegesis of Gal 2:11-14 and 3:26-29, the fifth will serve as a conclusion to my sociopostcolonial hermeneutics.

IDENTITY AND THE SOCIORELIGIOUS CONTEXT OF PRE-CHRISTIAN AND CHRISTIAN GALATIANS

The History of Research

In the methodological discussion, emphasis on socioreligious and colonial contributions are re-framed in terms of a sociopostcolonial hermeneutics. The epistle to the churches of Galatia was written to people living under imperial/colonial Rome. Paul calls his addressees Galatians (Gal 3:3). Who these peoples were has been the object of unresolved debates linking their identity to the dating of the epistle [often reconstructed from the accounts of both Paul (Gal 2:1-10) and Luke (Acts 13-16) expressed through the so-called southern Galatian (Acts 13-14) and northern Galatian (Acts 16:6; 18:23) hypotheses]. Since these debates remain unresolved as to

112 For details on the hypotheses, see F. F. Bruce, The Epistle to the Galatians: A Commentary on the Greek Text, NIGTC (ed. I. Howard Marshall and W. Ward Gasque. Grand Rapids, MI: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1982), 3-18; Richard N. Longenecker, Galatians, WBC 41 (ed. David A. Hubbard and Glenn W. Barker; Dallas, TX: Word Books, 1990), lxiii-lxxii; Martyn, Galatians, 156-7. Debates over when Paul's confrontation with Peter occurred range from a post (Bruce, 128; Longenecker, 64), to a pre-Jerusalem conference date. A reading of Acts 15 in conjunction with Gal 2:11-14 led Gerd Lüdemann to conclude that the conflict at Antioch was behind the reason for the Jerusalem conference [Gerd Lüdemann, Paul, Apostle to the Gentiles: Studies in Chronology (trans. Stanley Jones; Philadelphia, PA: Fortress Press, 1984), 77, 75-77]]. Adopting this assertion does not mean the argument has no weaknesses. Gal 2:1-10 appears to have been overlooked by Lüdemann. This passage seems to refer to an earlier visit subsequent to Paul's experience of Christ's revelation. Keeping this in mind, Gal 2:1-10 can only be read in conjunction with Acts 9:26-30. In this case, the conflict at Antioch would be the
whether Paul is referring to Northern\textsuperscript{113} or Southern Galatia,\textsuperscript{114} a shift to the socioreligious context of the Galatians is necessary because the above mentioned discussions say little or nothing\textsuperscript{115} about the socioreligious convictions of Paul's addresses.

Who Were the Gauls/Galatians?: Gauls in Classical Literature

To flippantly identify the ancestors of Paul's addressees as \textit{Κελταί}/\textit{Κελτοί}

---

\textsuperscript{113} Betz, \textit{Galatians}, 4-5; Martyn, \textit{Galatians}, 15-16. Proponents of this hypothesis believe Galatians were of Celtic or Gallic descent.

\textsuperscript{114} Bruce, \textit{Galatians}, 8-10; Longenecker, \textit{Galatians}, lxii-lxxii. Advocates of this view hold that Galatians were of mixed Gentile origin. Both views appeal to texts such as Acts 16:6 and Act 18:23 to bolster their hypotheses.

\textsuperscript{115} Paul addresses his readers as Galatians (Gal 3:1)--probably an eponym (Gal 1:2 and 1 Cor 16:1). The account in Acts 13:13 and 14:28 shows Paul and Barnabas evangelizing in Pisidia, Iconium, Lystra, and Derbe in the province of Galatia in agreement with 2 Tim 3:11. These passages do not provide much evidence on the identity of Paul's addressees.
"Celts," and Γαλάται "Galatians"\textsuperscript{116} may be somewhat overdrawn. Philip Freeman agreeably states that after the 4\textsuperscript{th} century B.C.E. Greek writers use both names invariably to refer to the Celts of Europe. Γαλάται, however, is used of the inhabitants of Asia Minor\textsuperscript{117} and "whenever Roman authors write of the Galatae, we can be fairly confident they are referring to the Galatians of Asia Minor."\textsuperscript{118}

Ancient Celts first appeared in the classical world arena as mercenaries hired by Dionysius to help in the Peloponnesian war in 369 B.C.E. (Xenophon, \textit{Hell.})

\textsuperscript{116}See Bruce, \textit{Galatians}, 3 who considers Κέλται/Κέλτοι (Celts) as variants of Γαλάται. This conclusion must have been based on earlier Greek writers. In contrast to Bruce, Longenecker rightfully identifies the Celts and Gauls geographically but also chronologically following their invasions.


\textsuperscript{118}Freeman, 6. The spread of ancient Celts throughout Europe and Asia Minor is crucial for determining who these Κέλται/Κέλτοι (Celts) or Γαλάται were. Whereas biblical and Judean authors knew of a people called "Γαλάται" (1 Macc 8:2; 2 Macc 8:20; \textit{Sib. Or.} 3.508-110, and Gal 3:1; Josephus, \textit{Ant.} 1.123; \textit{J.W.} 1.5, 397, 672; 2.371 ) located in a territory known as "Γαλατία" (Gal 1:2; 1 Cor 16:1; 1 Peter 1:1; 2 Tim 4:10; Acts 16:6; 18:23; Josephus, \textit{Ant.} 12.414; 17.344; \textit{J.W.} 4.440, 494, 547, 634; 7.88), New Testament writers make no mention of the Celts. Josephus appears to differentiate the Γαλάται from the Κέλτοι (Josephus, \textit{J.W.} 1.5) in speaking of the Celtic legion to which German guards of Gaius belonged (Josephus, \textit{Ant.} 19.119). James M. Scott makes an important contribution by discussing Galatians in a broader context: The so-called Table of the nations in Genesis (James M. Scott, \textit{Paul and the Nations: The Old Testament and Jewish Background of Paul's Mission to the Nations with Special Reference to the Destination of Galatians} (WUNT 84; ed. Martin Hengel and Otfried Hofius; Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 1995).
7.1.20-23, 28-31). As in ancient Greek colonial discourse, overpopulation and internecine conflict led to their massive migration throughout Europe. Their division into three tribes is either due to a discord or strategic war tactic that ensured their victory over Macedonia in 280 B.C.E.

Eventually they crossed the Hellespont into Asia Minor by 278/277 B.C.E. where they were later referred to as τοὺς ἐν Ἄσιᾳ Γαλάται. "Asiatic Gauls" or οἱ Γαλλογράικοι "Gallogrians" in distinction from the Celts of Western, Central and Southern Europe. Once in Asia Minor they continued their raiding habits until

119 Justin, Epit. 24.4a. See Cunliffe, Ancient Celts, 68-82.


122 Polybius, Hist. 21.38.2.


124 Barry Cunliffe, Greeks, Romans and Barbarians: Spheres of Interaction (New York: Methuen, 1988), 36-58; 80-105. See maps illustrating the Celtic
Attalus I defeated\textsuperscript{125} and confined them to the Galatian region by 235-232 B.C.E. which, according to Strabo, came to be known as Γαλαταί in the Phrygian territory where they settled in three tribe settlements: Τρόκμιοι, "Trocmi," Τεκτοσάγες, "Tectosages," Τολοστόμιοι, "Tolistobogii."\textsuperscript{126}

Afterwards, Galatians sided with Pompey against the Mithradates in 64 B.C. E. and then later against Julius Caesar in 48 B.C.E. Amyntas, the last Gallic/Galatian king, was appointed by Mark Anthony in 39 B.C.E.; during that time Galatia was expanded southward.\textsuperscript{127} After Amyntas died in 25 B.C.E., Augustus annexed his migratory trajectories. Idem, \textit{The Ancient Celts}, 176-80.

\textsuperscript{125}Pausanias, \textit{Descr.} 1.25.2, spoke of this defeat at Mysia and the related monuments erected by Attalus I commemorating his victory. See also Pol. \textit{Hist.} 18.41.7-8; Strabo, \textit{Geography}, 13.4.2. For a helpful chronology of the Attalid dynasty and their encroachments with the Galatians, see Allen, \textit{Attalid Kingdom}, 27-65.

\textsuperscript{126}Strabo, \textit{Geogr.} 12.5.1. Respective capitals of Trocmi, Tolistobogii and Tectosages were Pessinum, Ancyra and Tavium. These three tribes were divided into four tetrarchies each of which is headed by a tetrarch under whom a military commander, two sub-commanders and a judge serve. Pliny concurs with Strabo on the Gallic settlement in Phrygia [Pliny, \textit{NH}, 5.146.7. See Pausanias, 1.4.5 and Stephen Mitchell, "Population and the Land in Roman Galatia," in \textit{ANRW} II.7.2 (ed. Hildgard Temporini; Berlin: de Gruyter 1980), 1057]. Attalus' victory was celebrated as the victory of Hellenic civilization over barbarism and encapsulated in his Pergamene representational art. This symbolic representation is crucial to the "Greek mythopoetic custom of assimilating the terrifying and the unknown to Greek notions of a prehistoric past" (Rankin, "Celts," 22). See also Cunliffe, \textit{Ancient Celts}, 17-77; Mitchell, \textit{Anatolia}, 1:13-21.

\textsuperscript{127}Bernard Rémy, \textit{L’ évolution administrative de l' Anatolie aux trois premier siècle de notre ère} (Collection du Centre d'études Romaines et Gallo-Romaines. Nouvelle Série n. 5; Lyon: Boccard, 1986), 21, 21-47; idem, \textit{les fastes sénatoriaux}
kingdom into a Roman province. That being the case, Paul's addressees were likely those ethnic Gauls/Galatians--the descendants of the ancient Celts.

Writing the Gauls/Galatians: Classical Typologies

A common practice of Hellenistic authors to filter their actual life experiences through the lenses of their ancestors' mythical experiences changed significantly with the advent of Rome's conquest of Greece. Identity construction is a dynamic process with complex subjective criteria--"a process of self-definition in opposition to


129Dougherty, Poetics, 4-6, 157-62; Cunliffe, Greeks, Romans and Barbarians, 12-37. Herod. Hist. 8.141-144, Herodotus offers crucial insights into Greek perceptions of foreigners and Greek self-definition--an idea taken up by Plato, Menex. 145, who talks about the unadulterated purity of the Greeks and their capacity to transform what they receive from barbarians (Plato, Epin. 987).

130Williams, Beyond, 31.
other identities; it relies as much on differences from others than on similarities within a group." This process of construing identity is reflected in Polybius' writings. He saw in Graeco-Roman conquests an opportunity for intellectual inquiries about other peoples and their lands, resulting in a revisionist historiography designed to appeal to his Greek audience (Pol. Hist. 3.59.3-8); thus, his apparent ethnocentrism should not be surprising. His characterization of the Celts/Gauls differs considerably from that of Justin's Pompeius Trogus.

First, Polybius' revisionism centers on Roman imperial triumph over barbarians, namely the Celts (Pol. Hist. 3.58-75). Ancient Celts/Gauls, especially those of Italy, are in his view, primitive nomad farmers who led a simple life devoid of scientific skills. He posits that

\[ ὃκους δὲ κατὰ κόμας ἀτείξιστους, τῆς λοιπῆς κατοικεῖσθαι ἄμοιροι καθεστῶτες. \\
διὰ γὰρ τὸ στιμβαδοκοιτεῖν καὶ κρεαφαγεῖν, ἢτι ὅ μηδὲν ἄλλο πλῆν τὰ πολέμικα καὶ τὰ κατὰ γεωργία ἀσκεῖν, ἀπλοὺς ἔξον τοὺς βίους, οὕτ’ ἐπιστήμης ἄλης, ὦτε τέξυς παρ’ αὐτοῖς τὸ παράπαν γνωσκόμενης. \]

---

131 Rebecca Preston, "Roman Questions, Greek Answers: Plutarch and the Construction of Identity," in Being Greek Under Rome: Cultural Identity, the Second Sophistic and the Development of Empire (ed. Simon Goldhill; New York: Cambridge University Press, 2001), 87. In her discussion of Plutarch's Greek identity formation, she notes that identity is a dynamic process that includes knowledge, politics and power--elements marshaled in the quest for dominance. As a result, identity is a "complex process of construction, negotiation and contestation... a complex process of negotiating a place in the world and of engaging in the contest over political power" (ibid., 88). In a colonial context, the contest for political power does not rest solely on the process of identity formation but on economic exploitation.

132 Pol. Hist. 2.17. 9-10.
they lived in unwalled villages, without any superfluous furniture; for as they slept on beds of leaves and fed on meat and were exclusively occupied with war and agriculture, their lives were very simple, and they had no knowledge whatever of any art or science.

Thus, Polybius finds it worthy to praise Attalus for conquering ὃ βαρύτατον καὶ Μαχιμώτατον ἐν τότε κατὰ ἧν Ἄσιαν "the most formidable and warlike nation in Asia" (Pol. Hist. 18.41.7) feared for its (βάρβαρος) "barbarism," (παρανομία), and "lawlessness" (Pol. Hist. 3.3.5). He ascribes what he judges to be their intellectual inaptitude "to organize themselves" (Pol. Hist. 18.17.9-12) to a serious primitive moral character flaw he calls ἀθεσία "fickleness." This treacherous behavior is responsible for their passion driven irrationality and

---

133His Histories were written τ’ αὐξήσεις καὶ Προκόπη Ἡρωμαίων δυναστείας ἔτετελεῖτο "when the growth and advance of Roman power has reached its apex" and all nations Ἡρωμαίων ἀκούειν καὶ τούτων πειθαρχεῖν ὑπὲρ τῶν παραγγελλομένων "must listen to Romans and obey their orders" (Pol. Hist. 3.4.1-4). As far as Polybius is concerned, Rome owes its apogee to pure (τύχη) chance (Pol. Hist. 1.63.9). This might have generated counter-responses from Livy [minimizing the exploits of Alexander the Great (Livy, Hist. 9.18-19)] and Dionysius of Halicarnassus [Romans were not savages. They earned their empire (Dionysius of Halicarnassus, Ant. Rom. 1.4.1-3)].

134This term conveys the idea of "setting aside" or nullifying a promise or a treaty. Polybius uses this word to characterize both the Celts/Gauls of Italy and well as the Gauls/Galatians of Asia Minor (Pol. Hist. 2.32.8; 3.78.2; 24.14.7 compare with Diodorus 18.32.4; 31.45.1). See the verbal equivalent of ἀθεσία, ἀθετεώ, "LSJM, 31; BDAG, 24; ἀθετέω, ἀθετησις," TDNT, 158-9 which is used by the Apostle Paul in Gal 2:21; 3:15 and 1 Thess 4:8 and other New Testament writers such as Mark 6:26. It is also found in the LXX Jer 20:7; Dan 9:7; 1 Macc 16:17 and 2 Macc 15:10. On Polybius' negative depictions of the Celts/Gauls, see Williams, Beyond, 79-113; Rankin, "Celts," 26.
consecutive defeats by colonial/imperial Rome (Pol. Hist. 2.35.3). Worse is their bibulousness that renders them incapable of sharing war spoils. Due to this unreliability, their allies (Hannibal and Eumenes the Pergamee) had to pay extreme precaution (Pol. Hist. 2.32.8; 3.49.3, 70.4; 78.2; 24.14.7).

Polybius' negative characterization of the Celts is not unique and could have inspired Julius Caesar's comments that "cuius consensui ne orbis quidem terrarum possit obsistere"; "a united Gaul forming a single nation animated by the same spirit can defy the universe" (Gallic War, 7.29, my translation). Rome's defeat of the Gauls is due to their apparent lack of unity (Gallic War, 33.17.15).

135Pol. Hist. 2.19.4 (trans. Paton, LCL). Τούτο δὲ σύνηθες ἐστι Γαλάταις πράτειν, ἐπειδ' ἀφετερίσωνται τι τῶν πέλας, καὶ μάλιστα διὰ τὰς ἀλόγους οἰνοφλυγίας καὶ πλησιμονάς, "This is common among the Gauls, when they have appropriated their neighbour's property chiefly owing to their inordinate drinking and surfeiting. See Diodorus, 5.26.3. For Polybius barbarism is not limited to the Celts/Gauls. He also views Romans as a savage people (Pol. Hist. 12.4.2-3). Craige Champion, "Romans as Barbaroi: Three Polybian Speeches and the Politics of Cultural Indeterminacy," CP 95/4(Oct., 2000): 426; 425-444, agreeably states that Polybius' language is a political ploy of "cultural alienation."

136Although Roman historians spoke of the annihilation of Druidism (Pliny, NH, 30.4.13; Suet. Claud. 25.5), a Gallic insurrection was later reported by Tacitus during the reign of Vespasian (Tacitus, Hist. 4.5.54). Furthermore, a Gallic prophetess warned Alexander Severus about the impending fall of Imperial Rome (SHA, sev. Alex. 60.6). The persistence of Gallic religious features on the altar of the four gods (with the deity Cernunnos) suggests an incomplete pacification of Gauls (ILS, 4613). Not all characterizations of the Gauls/Galatians are negative. Diodorus Silicus argues that Gauls/Galatians are not only clever and could learn ("μανθάνω") but also they denigrate ("μειώδω") other people (Diodorus, 31). Aristotle finds the Celts to be strong and courageous people who train their offspring to adapt to harsh weather conditions (Aristotle, Nic. Eth. 1115b.28; idem, Politics, 1336a).
Greg Woolf argues that "the Gauls' taste for Mediterranean wine is a prominent feature of classical accounts of pre-conquest societies. A trope of Greek and Roman ethnography was that Gauls over-valued wine to an absurd degree."\(^{137}\) That wealthier Celts imported wine from Italy and Marseille, which they drink either dry or mixed with water, is noted by Athenaeus' Poseidonius.\(^{138}\) Besides drinking wine, Celts/Gauls are said to have had strange eating customs such as consuming meat—λεοντωδὸς, "like a lion," grabbing with both hands and biting the meat off the

Callimachus offers a mixed picture, calling them ῥηνζεζ ("Titans") and a ἀφρων φύλω ("foolish tribe") (Callimachus, *Hymn.* 5.172-3, 184). Rather than Callimachus' ἀφρων, Gal 3:1 has ἄνοητος "foolish." However, this ἀφρων is found elsewhere in Pauline literature (Rom 2:20, 1 Cor 15:36; 2 Cor 11:1, 16-17, 19, 21; 12:6, 11; Eph 5:17). Callimachus might have been praising the Celtic invasion of Macedonia during which Delphi was almost destroyed (Rankin, "Celts," 22). Livy offers a mixed picture calling them "fierce, giant warriors and a degenerate mixed race called Gallogrecians" (Livy, *Hist.* 38.17.9-10). Speculating over the reason why Gallic Druids commit their religious teachings to memory, Caesar highlights two key reasons: to avoid their religious teachings becoming a "common property" or reduced to "writing" (Caesar, *Gallic War*, 6.14.3). Sociologically, a refusal to commit religious teaching to writing is a mode of resistance that could ensure a limited Romanization. A fascinating parallel is found among the Egyptian priests of the Isis cult who refused to have their texts translated probably led to the "decline of Isis" (Balch, "ΜΕΤΑΒΟΛΗ ΠΟΛΙΤΕΙΩΝ," 171-3).


\(^{138}\)Athenaeus, *Deipn.* 4.152b-d.
The Celts/Gauls frequently engaged in sham fights after dinner that often end in injury or death. As noted above, the deportment and eating customs of the Celts/Gaul are anything but civilized. Rather, they are barbarians.

Second, Pompeius Trogus begins his discussion of Celtic/Gallic migration in terms of ancient Greek colonial discourse from a universal historical perspective: overpopulation and *stasis*.

The Gauls had become so numerous that the lands that bred them could not hold them all; so they sent off 300,000 men, as a "sacred spring," in search of new homes. Of these a number settled in Italy, capturing and burning the city of Rome, and others, led by birds (for the Gauls are superior to everyone in the craft of augury), made their way into the recesses of Illyria, massacring the barbarians as they went, to settle in Pannonia. They were a violent, reckless, warlike people who were first after Hercules to cross the impassable heights of the Alps and regions made uninhabitable by the cold (a feat which had, indeed, earned Hercules respect for his valour and belief in his immorality).

---

139 Athenaeus, *Deipn.* iv.151e-152b. They dine sitting in a circle, with the κράτιστος ("the mightiest/noblest") seated in the middle and the υποδεχόμενος ("host") next to him while others are seated κατ’ αξίαν ἡς ἡξουσίων ("according to their worth").

140 Athenaeus, *Deipn.* iv.154b. Quoting Phylarchus (who lived in the 3rd century B.C.E.), Athenaeus relates Gallic eating customs during which a rich Galatian gave a year long lavish banquet for all the Gauls. θύματα δὲ καταβάλλεσθαι ταύρων καὶ συών καὶ προβάτων τε καὶ τῶν λοιπῶν κτηνῶν... ἐκάστης ἡμέρας πολλά... "Everyday many victims were sacrificed [such as] bulls, swine, sheep, and other cattle." Ibid., *Deipn.* iv.150f, 150d-150f. Crucially, παριόντες ξένοι were invited to μεταλάβωσι τῶν παρασκευασθέντων "share of the prepared meal" (ibid., 150f). Their eating of pork and incorporation of strangers might have been objectionable to those from James (Gal 2:11-13).

141 Justin, *Epit.* 24.4.1-4. Whereas Justin’s Pompeius Trogus emphasizes overpopulation as the impetus for Celtic/Gallic migrations, Pliny the Elder stresses
both overpopulation and quest for riches (Pliny, *NH.* 12.2.5). However, Trogus later hints of the Gallic attraction to riches (*Epit.* 25.1-2). Strabo simply says... oí Γαλάται πλανηθήντες πολὺν χρόνον "the Galatians wandered for a long time," prior to their settlement in Galatia (*Geogr.* 12.5.1. My translation). This a clear indication that the nature of ancient Celts migration into Europe was debated among classical writers. J. M. Alonso-Núñez, "An Augustan World History: The 'Historiae Philippicae' of Pompeius Trogus," *Greece and Rome* 34/1(April 1987): 59, 56-72, argues that Trogus was writing a world rather than a Roman history. Trogus' own autobiography indicates that he was a Romanized Gaul [*Epist.* 43.5.11-12; Alonso-Núñez, "An Augustan World History," 57; R. Develin, "Introduction," in *Justin: Epitome of the Philippic History of Pompeius Trogus* (APACR; trans. J. C. Yardley; Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1994), 2-3]. The suspicion that Justin might have changed some features peculiar to Trogus in his *Epitome* is well taken [See D. R. Shackleton Bailey, "Textual Notes on Justin (Trogus)," *Phoenix* 34/3(Autumn, 1980): 227-236. See also J. C. Yardley, *Justin and Pompeius Trogus: A Study of the Language of Justin's Epitome of Trogus* (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2003)].

142Justin, *Epit.* 24.7-1.8.1-16. Justin's Trogus admits that Celts' confidence in their powers sometimes appears to be unruly behavior that cost them many battles, especially Delphi. He posits that "the Gauls vanquished the Pannonians and spent many years in a succession of wars with their neighbors... Then, heartened by their success, they divided their armies, some of which headed for Greece, others for Macedonia, laying waste with the sword everything in their path. Such was the terror inspired by the name 'Gaul' that kings would actually try to buy peace from them with huge sums of money even when they were not under attack" (*Epist.* 24.4.5-7).
somewhat concurs with him on the divine sanction for Celtic/Gallic migrations\textsuperscript{143} emphasizes the barbaric process of colonialism.\textsuperscript{144}

In sum, the above different views of the Celts/Gauls show that their characterizations reflect tensions among classical writers. These negative typologies or stereotypes do not provide an accurate picture of the Celts/Gauls and should be understood as being written from a colonial and imperialistic perspective.\textsuperscript{145} That does not mean that these accounts are all false. What is clear is that Gauls/Galatians described as uncivilized barbarians is also a characterization prevalent in modern/postmodern colonial/neocolonial discourses. Beside the above literary typologies there are visual representations of the vanquished Celts/Gauls/Galatians to which I now turn.

\textsuperscript{143}Livy, \textit{Hist.} 39.54.5; ibid., 5.33.5-35.1-3. Williams, \textit{Beyond}, 113-20 highlights the similarities between Trogus and Livy. Classical characterizations of the Celts/Gauls evince serious debates. Those who follow Polybius viewed them through negative imperialistic lenses. This view however is challenged by Trogus and Livy. Trogus tends to avoid describing the Gauls as barbarians. For instance, he says that the Gauls "secured peace for Antigonus, not only with the Gauls but also with his barbarous neighbors" (\textit{Epist.} 25.2.7b).

\textsuperscript{144}Livy, \textit{Hist.} xxxiii.17.3-5 (trans. Sage, LCL). This characterization appears to have been taken up and reassessed by Livy who writes of the Asian Gauls ". . . this fierce tribe, traveling up and down in war, has almost made the world its residence. Tall bodies, long reddish hair, huge shields, very long swords; in addition, songs as they go into battle and yells and leapings and the dreadful din of arms as they clash shields according to some ancestral custom--all these are deliberately used to terrify [ad terrorem] their foes."

\textsuperscript{145}Woolf, \textit{Becoming Roman}, 177-8; Williams, \textit{Beyond}, 111, 220-222.
Barbarism Publically Displayed as Vanquished

The Attalids publically displayed Galatians as vanquished in commemoration of Greek cultural supremacy over barbarism.\textsuperscript{146} This is the Greek message to the Galatians and non-Greeks. The Apostle Paul, on the other hand, publically portrayed Jesus Christ as crucified for the Gaul/Galatians.\textsuperscript{147} This is Paul's message to the Galatians, Judeans and other people. Attalus' message was a brilliant synthesis of extant Greek literary characterization of barbarians with his Great Frieze as a testimony to both Greek cultural dominance over barbarism and theology. This

\textsuperscript{146} Pollitt, \textit{Art}, 79, 81. Monuments commemorating the Attalid victory can be found elsewhere at Delos, Delphi and later in Athens. Ferris, \textit{Enemies}, 1-16, describes how negative typologies work to reduce the foreigners to a denigrated object. Kim J. Hartswick, \textit{The Gardens of Sallus: A Changing Landscape} (Austin: University of Texas Press, 2004), 105-8. The authors agree on how representational art is used to freeze the vanquished in time. See Esther V. Hansen, "The Great Victory Monument of Attalus I," \textit{American Journal of Archaeology} 41/1 (Jan-Mar., 1937): 52-55.

\textsuperscript{147} Gal 3:1b, Deut 21:23 and 27:26. Paul asks: \textit{οἰς κατ' ὁφθαλμοὺς Ἰησοῦς Χριστοῦ προεγράφη ἑσταυρωμένος; }"before whose eyes was Jesus Christ publically displayed as crucified?" The answer to this question would be in the affirmative. Jesus was not only displayed as crucified before the eyes of the Galatians, \textit{Χριστὸς ἠμᾶς ἐξηγόρασεν ἐκ τῆς κατάρας τοῦ νόμου γενόμενος ὑπὲρ ἠμῶν κατάρα, ὅτι γέγραπται: ἐπικατάρατος πᾶς ὁ κρεμάμενος ἐπὶ ξύλου, . . . } "Christ redeemed us from the curse of the law having become a curse for us. For it is written, 'cursed is everyone who is hanged on a tree"' (Compare Gal 3:13 with Deut 21:23; 27:26). David L. Balch, “The Suffering of Isis/Io and Paul's Portrait of Christ crucified (Gal 3:1): Frescoes in Pompeian and Roman Houses and in the Temple of Isis in Pompeii,” \textit{JR} 1(2003): 24-55, points to the early Christian symbolic synthesis of text and artistic representations of the cross to emphasize the importance of the crucifixion of Jesus. The significance of Paul's argument will be taken up in the fourth chapter.
hermeneutical discourse of cultural and religious dominance is publically displayed (Pliny, *Nat.* 34.84; Ampelius, *Liber Mem.* 8.14) in the statues of the "Dying Gaul" and "Suicidal Gauls." 

As in the literary evidence, the Great Frieze proclaims the defeat of uncivilized beasts who threaten Hellenism. According to John R. Marszal this picture projected by the Pergamenes is anything but universal. He agrees that Eastern Gallic depictions proclaimed a defeat over "universal barbarians" and salvation for

---


149 Pollitt, *Art*, 79-92; Ferris, *Enemies*, 1-16 describes how negative typologies work to reduce the foreigners to a denigrated object. Hartswick, *Gardens*, 105-8. The authors agree on how representational art is used to freeze the vanquished in time.

150 John R. Marszal, "Ubiquitous Barbarians: Representations of the Gauls at Pergamon and Elsewhere," in *From Pergamon to Sperlonga: Sculpture and Context* (ed. Nancy T. de Grummond and Brunilde S. Ridgway; Berkeley: University of California Press, 2000), 211-2, 222-3, agreeably notes the fact that negative portrayals of the Gauls "was a useful political ploy since the Greek of the Meccdonian successor states actually spent much time fighting other Greeks" (222). de Grummond, "Gauls and Giants," 260-1, thinks themes of "the iconography of the Gigantomachy" often associated with the altar of Pergamon actually predate it. Although her observation may be well taken, it is clear that the artistic synthesis is Pergamene. Most commentators agree that most of these sculptures are Roman copies instead of originals [Paul Zanker, *The Power of Images in the Age of Augustus* (Michigan: The University of Michigan Press, 1988)].
civilization, namely Greek civilization, but argues that Western representations are smaller and tend to reflect individual collections enshrining a message of heroism and admiration for the Gauls. The idea that Greeks and Romans share the same prejudices toward other people and barbarians is somewhat overstated. Romans did admire Gallic bravery and even viewed people they characterize as barbarians assimilable to the Roman culture.

---

151 Marszal, 211-2, 222-3. Hartswick, Gardens, 104, explains the presence of sculptures such as the "Dying Gaul" in gardens as a "moral lesson" to the Gauls for their resilient irreverence to Apollo. These sentiments might have resulted from Brennus' ridiculing Apollo during the failed Celtic invasion of Delphi (Rankin, "Celts," 25).


153 See Balch, "ΜΕΤΑΒΟΛΗ ΠΟΛΙΤΕΙΩΝ," 139-88, on how Romans implemented their policy of incorporating all nations. Similar arguments are made by Woolf on the nature of Romanization of the Gauls (Woolf, Becoming Roman, 54-60) distinguishing it from Hellenism. De Grummond poignantly posits that "Hellenistic" is not to be confused with authentic Greek; rather it is "Greekish." In other words, a symbiosis of various cultural elements (de Grummond, "Gauls and Giants," 256). According to Woolf, Romanization created "a new imperial culture that supplanted earlier Roman cultures" (Woolf, "Beyond Romans," 341).
The Inescapable Eyes of the Gods: The Socioreligious Aspects of Anatolian Galatian Life

Socioreligious Context

Little attention has been devoted to the socioreligious convictions of the Galatians except for a handful of crucial publications determined to shift debates from the *old and new perspectives* on Paul and Southern and Northern Hypotheses to salient socioreligious aspects of Galatian life.\footnote{Richard A. Horsley, ed., *Paul and Empire*; idem, *Paul and Politics: Ekklesia, Israel, Imperium, Interpretation* (Harrisburg, PA: Trinity Press International, 2000; idem, *Paul and the Roman Imperial Order* (Harrisburg, PA: Trinity Press International, 2004). Elliott, *Cutting Too Close*; Clinton E. Arnold, "'I am Astonished That You Are So Quickly Turning Away!' (Gal 1:6): Paul and the Anatolian Folk Belief," *NTS* 51(2005): 429-49; Kahl, "Reading Galatians," 21-43.} Having settled in Anatolia, the descendants of the ancient Celts, the Gauls/Galatians "were largely impervious to Greek culture. In their new homeland they continued to speak their own Celtic language and live in loose federations of villages just as their ancestors had done in central and western Europe."\footnote{Pollitt, *Art*, 80, avers that living in "loose confederations of villages" is a sociological practice they adopted from their ancestors. Mitchell, in his *Anatolia*, 1:13 and 42, speaks of an "arrangement between leaders" and "a loose federation of tribal groups." See also Pausanias, 10.21. Brennus, according to Trogus, mocks the gods' lack of generosity and therefore should not be feared (Justin, *Epit*. 24.6.4). Caesar provides a contrasting picture of Gallic piety when he depicts them as being superstitious people who observe festivals and human sacrifice--practices administered by the Druids. He also names their gods that erroneously have Roman names (Caesar, *Gallic War*, VI.17-18b). Archaeological finds say nothing of Druid presence in Galatia and priests, if mentioned, do not have a preeminent role (See Mitchell, *Anatolia*, 1:48, 194-5).} These newcomers became neighbors to two thousand
Judean families who were sent from Babylon and Mesopotamia to squelch conflicts in Lydia and Phrygia caused by the seven year Seleucid conquest of 212-205 B.C.

E. Roman colonization/imperialism during the reign of Augustus led to the urbanization of most of the conquered Anatolian territories annexed to the initial Roman province of Galatia.

Colonies were founded for military and Romanization purposes thus conveying "the impression of being miniatures and reproductions of Rome herself." In the process, selected religious sites were honored and enhanced by

---


building enterprises of imperial portraits and temples. The openness of Roman religion paved the way for religious "assimilation and syncretism" allowing foreigners to "worship familiar gods under a different name" which Romans, themselves, often revered.

By Paul's time, Gauls/Galatians were already under colonial/imperial rule implemented through Rome's humanitas/paideia or a policy for "receiving all nations." Since Roman imperialism is thought to have been divinely sanctioned, emperor worship was not a political subterfuge, designed to elicit royalty of untutored provincials, but was one of the ways in which Romans themselves and provincials alongside them defined their own relationships with a new political phenomenon, an emperor whose powers and charisma were so transcendent that he appeared to them as both man and god.

Romanization. A crucial parallel is found in French colonization during which French colonists referred to their colonies as la France d'Outre-Mer.


160 Alston, Aspects, 308.

161 Woolf, Becoming Roman, 54-5.

162 Balch, "The Cultural Origin," 500. For an updated discussion of the Roman colonial/imperial policy, see Balch, "ΜΕΤΑΒΟΛΗ ΠΟΛΙΤΕΙΩΝ," 139-188. Rather than hegemony, it is what Greg Woolf terms the "emergence of a new, highly differentiated social formation incorporating a new cultural logic and new configuration of power" (Woolf, "Beyond Romans," 347).

163 Mitchell, Anatolia, 1:103. See also Woolf, "Beyond Romans," 1; idem., Becoming Roman, 48-76; Alston, 308-9, posits that "state-run religious practice was governed by various groups of priests organized into colleges. These colleges, often in association with magistrates, were responsible for public sacrifices and festivals.
The emperor was a member of all the important colleges and also took overall authority over religious matters through his office of Pontifex Maximus, which came to be recognized as a supreme priesthood." This interconnects politics and religion. The apparent apotheosis of the emperor is debated. Pliny insists that "never should we flatter him as a god or a divinity" because "he himself is one of us" (Pliny, Pan. 2.3-4). See S. R. F. Price, Rituals and Power: The Roman Imperial Cult in Asia Minor (Cambridge, MA; Cambridge University Press, 1984).

In a long...
and subsequent numismatic evidence show that the gods were feared for their powers to punish humans—an inescapable reality traceable back to the ancient Hittite religious cult.

Galatians, as newcomers, assimilated some of these elements as they settled in the Phrygian culture which is known to have worshiped a host of deities among which are Zeus, the Anatolian moon god "Mên or Attis," and the preeminent Phrygian "Mother of the gods" (also known as Agdistis). Intriguing is the presence of a Hittite inscription reflecting this tradition, one reads of King Tudhalia's invocation to the Sungoddess for help defeating his enemy and promises to never omit or neglect the due celebration of seasonal festivals.

Eugene N. Lane, "Men: A Neglected Cult of Roman Asia Minor," ANRW 18.3:2161-74. Dennis J. McCarthy, Treaty and Covenant: A Study in Form in the Ancient Oriental Documents and in the Old Testament (AN; Rome: Pontifical Biblical Institute, 1963), 96-177. The author discusses various aspects of Hittite treaties and covenants highlighting their similarities and dissimilarities to those found in the Hebrew Bible.

of the Ὅσιος καὶ Δίκαιος "Holy and Just" divinity known mostly in rural Phrygia\textsuperscript{169} whose chief concern is the administration of justice. The presence of these deities says something significant about the socioreligious nature of Anatolian life.

In his \textit{Die Beicht im Zusammenhange mit der sakralen Rechtspflege in der Antike}, Franz S. Steinleitner studies many inscriptions, some of which he characterizes as "die sakrale Rechtspflege" during which culprits are sued and

\textsuperscript{169}Marijana Ricl, "Hosios kai Dikaios: Première partie: Catalogue des inscriptions (Planches I-XVI)," \textit{EA} 18(1991): 1-70; idem, Hosios kai Dikaios: Seconde partie: Analyse," \textit{EA} 19(1992): 71-2; 71-102. Although most of the inscriptions associated with this cult are Phrygian, some are found elsewhere in Galatia. Mitchell, \textit{Anatolia}, 1:191, thinks that these cults are concerned with "a strict morality which was based on clearly defined notions of justice, proper behavior, piety to the gods, respect for divine authority, and a well-advanced fear of the divine vengeance." See the corroborating evidence in Eugene N. Lane, \textit{Corpus Monumentorum Religionis Dei Menis} (CMRDM III; Leiden: Brill, 1976), henceforth referred to as CMRDM III, 26, where the expression Ὅσιος καὶ Δίκαιος is associated with a messenger/angel (Ἄγγελος). Stephen Mitchell, "The Cult of Theos Hypsistos between Pagans, Jews, and Christians," \textit{in Pagan Monotheism in Late Antiquity} (eds., P. Athanassiadi and M. Frede; Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1999), 112-14, argues that Judean colonists might have influenced the Anatolian cult of Mên with the Old Testament notion of divine justice. This influence is unlikely, in spite of the late development of "epigraphic habit" (Ramsay MacMullen, "The Epigraphic Habit," \textit{AJP} 103(1982): 233-46.
defixiones or skeptron erected publically or hanged in the temple.\textsuperscript{170} This work was later implemented by Petrus Herrmann's publication of various inscriptions.\textsuperscript{171} A subsequent publication of 124 inscriptions by Georg Petzl\textsuperscript{172} along with those of other epigraphists\textsuperscript{173} has since provided crucial insights into Galatian socioreligious


\textsuperscript{171}Petrus Herrmann, ed., *Tituli Asiae Minoris: vol. 5. Tituli Lydiae, Fasciculus 1, Regio Septentrionalis* (Wien, 1981), henceforth referred to as TAM.


\textsuperscript{173}Many related inscriptions are also published by Hasan Malay, *Greek and Latin Inscriptions in the Mansia Museum* (OAW DpH 237; ETAM, 19; Vienna: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1994); idem, "New Confession-Inscription in the Manisa and Bergama Museums," *EA* 12(1988): 147-52; Marijana Ricl, "CIG 4142-A Forgotten Confession-Inscription from North-West Phrygia," *EA* 29(1997): 35-43. Her dissertation entitled, Švest o grehu u maloazijskim kultovima rimskog doba: Ispovedanjce ritualnih i etickih sagresenja u Meoanskim i Frigijskim Kultuvima (Beograd, 1995) = *La conscience du péché dans les cultes Anatoliens à l'époque romaine: La confession des fautes rituelle et éthiques dans les cultes Méoniens et Phrygiens* (Belgrade, 1995) has not been accessible to me in the United States of America; however, she has been so kind to send me a copy summarizing the work in French (pages 131-142). See also CMRDM III. Various inscriptions are collected by Stephen Mitchell, *Regional Epigraphic Catalogues of*
convictions. These works confirm that, inculcated in the psyche of Anatolians, is the conviction that life is ordered and directed by omnipresent, omnipotent and omniscient gods.  

These deep convictions are etched on tablets of stone, the content of which scholars variably characterize as either "confession," reconciliation" or "propitiation" inscriptions. As he listens to their structural and verbal syntax, Aslak Rostad hears reconciliatory voices between culprits and deities. Eckhard J. Schnabel, on the

---


176 Rostad, "Confession," 147, 145-164. Rostad's point may have been well taken but he failed to acknowledge the fact that in spite of his syntactical analysis of the inscriptions, there is a clear indication that a confessional aspect of these inscriptions is obvious, as Schnabel argued. Against Schnabel, confession for
other hand, insists Galatian traditional leaders dedicated these public confessions to their local deities as a counter-response to the early Christian confessional movement.

To bolster his argument, he marshals evidence from Judean and New Testament authors to show how Judeo-Christian traditions might have given rise to these confessions --an effort, he insists, was designed to solidify Galatians' socioreligious convictions. 177 Although the above views may be well taken, the unique Eastern character of these "confessional rituals" is undeniable. 178 What is the nature of these inscriptions? What do they reveal about the people and their faith in the gods?


177Ibid., 182-88. These similarities are limited in that Christian confessions do not anticipate punishment from God (see page 186). Schnabel citing Pliny, Epist. 10.96.8-10 shows that Roman leaders also tried to resist and displace the Christian message. See Vernon H. Neufeld, The Earliest Christian Confession (NTTS; Grand Rapids, MI : Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1963), 13-29, on what the earliest Christian meant by the term confession. The earliest Christians confessed Jesus as the Christ. This confession underwent some developments soon after the resurrection and was "broadened in meaning to express the significance of the death and resurrection of Jesus" (142). Interestingly, Neufeld seems to ignore the act of confession related to sin.

178Marijana Ricl, "A Forgotten Confession-Inscription," 36. This argument is supported by Raffaele Pettazzoni, "Confession of Sins and the Classics," HTR 30/1(January 1937): 1-14, who further supports the idea that "confession of sins, in the Greek world as well as among the other Indo-european peoples, did not belong originally to the Indo-european element;" rather it was an oriental practice (14).
Curses, Prayers and the Quest for Divine Justice

The use of defixiones, κατάδεσμοι or curse tablets is widely attested in the Graeco-Roman world. Most defixiones were inscribed on small metallic sheets either buried in the tomb of an ἁώρος "a person untimely dead," placed in chthonic sanctuaries or in wells. According to Christopher A. Faraone, they serve as "effective preemptive

---


180 Plato, Laws, 11. 933; idem, Resp. 2.364. Pliny, NH. 28.4.19, insists that "there is no one who does not fear to be spellbound by curse tablets." Of a person who fails to repay his or her debts, Seneca angrily charges: "...you invoke curses upon him and call down terrible imprecations upon someone who ought instead to be sacred to you" (Seneca, On Benefits, 6.35.4). Tacitus thinks that spells and curse tablets were responsible of the death of Germanicus, the adoptive son of Tiberius (Tacitus, Ann. 2.69). Emperor Tiberius was also targeted by a cousin of Germanicus' wife with curse tablets and spells (Tacitus, Ann. 4.52. See also Ann. 12.31, 65).

strikes' against a formidable foe in anticipation of a possible or even probable future defeat by incapacitating their victim in binding or nailing them down.\textsuperscript{182} Hendrik S. Vernel discusses another group of \textit{defixiones} he characterizes as "judicial prayers' or 'prayers for legal help'"\textsuperscript{183} because, in distinction to ordinary \textit{defixiones} or curses, their authors pled for divine retribution on those who wronged them.

The justice or revenge often sought has to do with various offenses; and strikingly, the worshiper relies on the deity's capacity to avenge and administer justice on his/her behalf.\textsuperscript{184} In texts unearthed at the Knidian sanctuary of Demeter (Asia Minor), the invoked deity was expected to inflict culprits with some illness which

\textit{defixiones} in graves, see Tacitus, \textit{Ann.} 2.69.

\textsuperscript{182}Faraone, "The Agnostic Context," 4; 4-6. They are used against a rival, in business transactions, love spells, and other mysterious usages. Versnel, "Beyond Cursing," 61, insists that the binding or nailing down effect could lead to illness and even death.

\textsuperscript{183}According to Versnel, "Beyond Cursing," 60-74, some of the \textit{defixiones} were written on materials other than lead tablets. Their relentless quest for justice from some wrongdoers and the kind of transactions they imply between the deity and the author has parallels in Diola communities.

\textsuperscript{184}Versnel, "Beyond Cursing," 72-3, discusses crucial terms such as \textit{\'\nu\nu\varepsilon\rho\omega}, \textit{\'\nu\nu\varepsilon\rho\omega}, \text{\'\nu\nu\varepsilon\rho\omega} indicating that the culprit is consecrated/dedicated to the deity. In cases involving theft, the author simply transfers either the stolen property or the culprit to the divinity thereby dedicating him/her to the god. The stolen property is expected to be returned to the temple and, sometimes, recovered by the plaintiff upon paying a fee. See also John G. Gager, \textit{Curse Tablets and Binding Spells from the Ancient World} (New York: Oxford University Press, 1992); Marvin Meyer et als., \textit{Ancient Christian Magic: Coptic Texts of Ritual Power} (New York: HarperCollins Publishers, 1994), 175-6.
would force them to offer a public confession of their transgressions. Lycians, in Asia Minor, not only prayed to the gods, they also placed imprecations on the graves of their loved ones who have died to warn off possible tomb raiders, threatening them with fines and divine liabilities. Curses are not only used for tombstone protection; their utilization covers a wide range of social concerns in the ancient world.

For the purpose of this work it suffices to note that whereas prayers for justice include arguments designed to motivate the deity to action, confession/propitiation

\[\text{Chaniotis, "Under the Watchful Eyes," } 7, \text{ also points to the fact that curses were pronounced against "theft, slander, embezzlement" or "physical injury" and notes that the variable words describing the punishment are } \pi\epsilon\rho\rho\mu\mu\nu\omicron\upsilon\varsigma \text{ "burning" (rarely } \kappa\omicron\lambda\alpha\sigma\iota\nu, \kappa\omicron\lambda\alpha\zeta\epsilon\omicron\nu \text{ "punish"). The idea of burning stands for either "burning with fever' or 'burning in shame.'"}

\[\text{Strubbe, "Cursed be," 33-59. Curses' various characterizations of the culprit range from } \acute{\alpha} \sigma\epsilon\beta\varphi\omicron\varsigma, \text{ "impiety," } \iota\epsilon\rho\omicron\sigma\upsilon\lambda\omicron\varsigma, \text{ "temple robber," to } \acute{\alpha} \mu\alpha\rho\tau\omega\lambda\omicron\varsigma, \text{ "sinner." In some cases, the culprit's sin and punishment are specified while in others they are not. Judeans and Christians did follow similar practices to a varying degree. Also, defixiones "judicial prayers" tend to focus on divine help for vindication [see Versnel, "Beyond Cursing," 61-105; idem, "May He Not Able to Sacrifice. . .": Concerning a Curious Formula in Greek and Latin Curses," ZPE 58(1985): 247-69]. For a related work discussing defixiones and anger as it relates to curses and magic, see Christopher A. Faraone, "Thumos as Masculine Ideal and Social Pathology in Ancient Greek Magical Spells," in Ancient Anger (YCS; vol. 32; ed. Susanna Braund and Glenn W. Most; New York: Cambridge University Press, 2003), 144-162.}

inscriptions show that such a divine action has already been taken. The Apostle Paul also pronounced curses against unspecified individuals who would dare propagate another gospel [(ἀνάθεμα) Gal 1:8-9]. "Curses" are a widespread phenomenon in Asia Minor as attested in pagan and Judean tombstones in


189 ἀνάθεμα occurs 5 times in Paul's writings: once in Romans (Rom 9:3), twice in 1 Corinthians (1 Cor 12:2; 16:22) and twice in Galatians (Gal 1:8-9). Derived from ἀνατίθημι, "lay down, set up as a votive offering, . . ." (ἀναθέμεν Gal 2:2) with its Hebrew equivalent ἁγιάζω, its passive meaning ἄνακείμαι further evinces the significance of the word in Galatians (see LSJM, 107; BDAG, 63; 73-4). The Hebrew term ἁγιάζω and its derivatives (BDB, 355-6) have a chilling resonance with a postcolonial witness. Paul also warns: Μὴ πλανάσθε, θεός οὐ μυκτηρίζεται ὁ γὰρ ἐὰν σπείρῃ ἄνθρωπος, τούτο καὶ θερίσει: "Do not be deceived for whatever a person sows this he will reap," (Gal 6:7). Paul's cautionary words would have been at home with Anatolians. Douglas Stuart, "Curse," ABD 1:1218-9, says curses are used to enforce covenant relationship. Behind the μυκτηρίζω "I mock," is the idea of contemptuous evasion of God's governance of human affairs --a conduct God would not tolerate (see "μυκτηρίζω ἐκμυκτηρίζω," TLNT 2.534-5; TDNT 4.796-99). Further discussions on curses and their relevance to this work will be further discussed in the following chapters.

190 Strubbe, "Cursed be," 36-41. These curses appear to include magical and religious elements.

Anatolia. They are also found in Jewish and Christian texts as ἀρα or its equivalent κατάρα. Paul uses the latter in his crucial dialogue with the Deuteronomist(s), his fellow Judeans and Galatian converts.

Voices Etched on Stones: The Divine Demand for Confession-Propitiation

Anatolians not only used defixiones to curse, pray, and protect the graves of their loved ones who have died, they also confessed their transgressions to the gods. Most of the confession/expiation inscriptions were unearthed in Lydia and Phrygia. These texts convey a revealing message about the people's self-understanding, their faith and the role of the gods in regulating their socioreligious life. Although

---


193 That Χριστὸς ἡμᾶς ἔφηγόκασεν ἐκ τῆς κατάρας τοῦ νόμου γενόμενος ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν κατάρα, ὅτι γέγραπται: ἐπικατάρατος πᾶς ὁ κρεμάμενος ἐπὶ ξύλου, . . . (Gal 3:13). This idea will be taken up in the fourth and fifth chapters for it is crucial to my sociopostcolonial hermeneutics in Diola West African context.

194 Rich, "CIG 4142," 35-6. Some of these inscriptions are collected and commented on by Kevin M. Miller, "Apollo Lairbenos," Numen 32/1(1985): 46-70. According to Rich, a handful of inscriptions with similar content were unearthed in neighboring areas indicating that these ritual practices were not restricted to Lydia and Phrygia. See also Mitchell, Anatolia, 1:191.

scholars disagree as to whether these Lydian and Phrygian inscriptions should be characterized as mere confessions—a disagreement based on structure, syntax and vocabulary, they recognize that these inscriptions tell crucial stories about the Anatolian socioreligious life.

A common Greek belief is that misfortune and natural disasters were divine punishments on the polluting effect of human transgression/sin—the "ἀκαθαρσία of some individual" (SEG, xix.127; Gal 5:19). Confession/propitiation inscriptions variably record specific wrongdoings ranging from grave violation, theft, perjury, refusal to pay debts, defiling sanctuary precincts, sexual offenses, failure to perform agrarian service for the gods, sorcery, and failure to quickly (ταχέως) obey divine

---


197Mitchell, Anatolia, 1:188-94. The author of BWK, 116, admits to have entered sacred space unknowingly (see Petzl, BWK, xii-xiii, for further discussion). See also CMRDM III.16-38, where Lane discusses various elements associated with the worship of Mên in Lydia in the vicinity of Kula. His discussion is devoted to prayers made by worshipers inscribed in monuments. First, worshipers of Mên in Lydia prayed to the gods for various needs. In votive inscriptions which bear many of these prayers, are answers by the gods and "the thankfulness of the worshipper" (CMRDM III.17). According to Lane, the dates range from the earliest 66/7 A.D. to the latest 260/70 A.D. This dating is slightly different form Petzl's [compare with Ἄρα = 57/58 n. Chr., die späteste (11) von 348 sull. Ἄρα = 263/264 n. Chr" (BWK, viii, 35, 69); Steinleitner, Die Beicht, 10-46]. They prayed to the gods to heal their eyes, feet, legs, breasts, property such as mules, their own or foster children, brothers, and "to get a wife" (CMRDM III.18).
orders.198 The process is such that an illness199 or a theophany ὅτε ἄγγελον "by an angel" or ὧπο ὅνειρον "by a dream" would force the culprit to visit the sanctuary for oracular consultation.200 This would then lead a culprit to offer, in some cases, a propitiatory sacrifice "λύειν, ἱλάσκεσθαι, ἐξιλόσκεσθαι," a public confession "ὁμολογεῖν, ἐξομολογεῖν," that would affirm the power "δύναμις, δυνάμεις," of the gods, the setting up of a stele "στηλογραφεῖν," often requested "ἐπιζητέω, αναζητέω," by the god, and conclusive remarks of a renewed commitment to praise the deity thereafter "ἀπὸ νῦν εὐλογῶ." 201

Anatolians, especially those of Phrygia and Lydia, saw themselves as servants and slaves of their deities whom they revere with religious acts of prostration, prayer

198 BWK, 57 = TAM, 5.1.460. See also CMRDM III. 1.24, with reference to ways of divine communication (κατ’ ὁνάρ). In some inscriptions the command (κατ’ ἐπιταγή) is left unclear as to how such a command is conveyed to the culprit.

199 Angelos Chaniotis, "Illness and Cures in the Greek Propitiatory Inscriptions and Dedications of Lydia and Phrygia," Ancient Medicine in its Socio-Cultural Context: Papers Read at the Congress Held at Leiden University 13-15 April 1992 (vol. 1; ed. Ph. J. van der Eijk et als.; Atlanta, GA: Institute for the History of Medicine, 1995), 323-4. Wrongdoers are tracked down (ἐπιζητέω) by the gods whose anger can only be appeased by divinely orchestrated rituals that would often require confessions engraved on steles in praise to the powers of the gods. Chaniotis characterizes these texts as honest expressions "of the feelings and attitudes of simple people towards illness, cure, medicine and doctors" (ibid., 325).


201 Versnel, "Beyond Cursing," 60-106. See also BWK.
for mercy and confession of sin.202 For instance, an inscription has Athenaios agreeing to the many punishments (κολάσεις)203 by god (ὑπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ ὑπὲρ ἁμαρτείας ἁγνοιαν) he received for sins of omission revealed to him ὑπὸ ὀνείρου during which the deity demanded (ἀπητήθην, ἀπαίτεω) στήλην καὶ ἀνέγραψα τὰς δυνάμεις τοῦ θεοῦ. Εὐχαριστῶν ἐσοστηλογράφησα. . .204

Another text reads: "I was punished and I ἐξωμολογήσαμην, "confessed," and ἀνέθηκα εὐλογίαν, "I set forth a praise," because ἐγενόμην ὁλόκ[ληρος, "I became whole."205 A word (ἐξ)λάσκομαι) about the pleasure of the god (s) is supplied with a

202Steinleitner, Die Beicht, 76-82. This kind of religious devotion is understood to be an exclusively Oriental phenomenon. According to H. W. Pleket, "Religious History as the History of Mentality: The 'Believer' as Servant of the Deity in the Greek World," in Faith, Hope and Worship: Aspect of Religious Mentality in the Ancient World (ed. H. S. Versnel; Leiden: Brill, 1981), 155-6, earlier traces of religious prostration in Greek religion resulted from Eastern religious influence. See also Arrian, Anab. 4.9-11.9.


204BWK, 11, Rostad, "Confession," 151, argues only inscriptions where terms like ἐξωμολογήσαι "to confess," "agree," "admit," and ὀμολογεῖν "to agree," "concede," "confess," "promise," . . .) occur can be defined as confessions.

205BWK, 43, TAM, 238. Antonia, the daughter of Antonius also agrees/confesses to have entered the sanctuary precincts of Apollo Bozenos with
concluding word of thanks and praise (ἐυχαριστεῖν or εὐλογία). The concern for ritual purity, social justice and obedience to the gods (in acknowledging answered prayer and related religious responsibilities) are vital elements of filthy cloths.

Rostad, "Confession," 145-63, provides a simple but good structure to these inscriptions observing key introductory features such as divine name(s) in the dative case, a limited or detailed account of the sins, in some cases, preceded by a divine investigation giving rise to a reconciliatory word [ἰλάσκεσθαι] between the culprit and the god(s). Respective statements such as "I wrote down the powers of the god on a stele" or "ἀνέθηκα, ἀνέστησα "I set forth" would often be supplied, and often conclude with expressions of gratitude ἐυχαριστῶν ἔστησενγράφημα and promise ἐνεργάσαι τὰς δυνάμεις τοῦ θεοῦ.

BWK, 6, 19, 36, 43, 55, 98, 106, 110, 112, 115, 116, 120 (sexual impurity), BWK, 117 (failure of female temple servants to keep purity laws after sexual intercourse), BWK, 82 (sexual misconduct), BWK, 1, 123 (eating the meat improperly sacrificed).

BWK, 56. BWK, 60 = TAM 5.1.251. Μηνὶ ἀξιοτητικῷ Ἑπὶ Ερμογένης Γλύκωνος καὶ Νιτωνίς Φιλοξένου ἐλοιδόρησαν Ἀρτεμιδώρουν περὶ Οίνου, Ἀρτεμιδώρος πιττάκιον ἔδωκεν. Ο θέας ἐκολάστε τιν Ἐρμογένην, καὶ Εἰλάστε τὸν Θεὸν καὶ ἀπὸ νῦν εὐδόξει (?). "Το Μεν Αξιότητον. Because Hermogenes, son of Glykon, and Nitonis, daughter of Philoxenos, slandered Artemidoros on account of wine, Artemidoros submitted a tablet (with written complaint). The god punished Hermogenes, and he propitiated the god and henceforth praises him." The tablet "πιττάκιον" is either fixed or hung in a public area of the temple so it can be visible to or read by worshipers (Versnel, "Beyond Cursing," 45). Scepters were also raised in the temple with a similar purpose (44-5). For a similar inscription that names the culprit, see BWK, 68 = TAM 5.1.317; Mitchell, Anatolia, 1:192. In another inscription parents were punished for abandoning their children (BWK, 35 = TAM 5.1.231. BWK, 71 (disobedience such as mocking the deity) and unbelief (BWK, 114 = MAMA 4.287).

Authors of BWK, 45, 65, 101, confessed their failures to keep various vows.
Anatolian faith. In an inscription concerned with purity a worshiper says:

\[ \ldots \ldots \] λύον Ἀπολλωνίου δι τὸ ἡμαρτηκένε, ἐπεὶ τὸ χωρὶς πιστεύει καὶ διήθα τὴν κόμη β’ ἁνάγινα: λημόνησα: παρῆμ φις τὴν κόμη, παραγέλω μηδεὶς καταφρενήσει τὸ θεόν, ἐπεὶ ἐξεὶ τὴν σελήνη ἐξοπράετο[ν]. Ἐπόσο ἐτοίμετον ἢ προγεμένε [Ἐὔτυχεις καὶ ἐξομολογήσα]το[ν] καὶ εἰλάθη.\textsuperscript{210}

I went up to the place and I went through the village twice unpurified. I forgot. I returned to the village. I announce that no one should despise the god since he will have the stele as an example. The aforementioned Eutycheis did this of his own accord and confessed and has supplicated.

In a mid-second century confession found in Lydia (Kula), Tatias, the supposed culprit publically placed or hung curses in the temple to refute false charges leveled against her.\textsuperscript{211} This inscription includes key terms characteristic of a

\textsuperscript{210}BWK, 112 = MAMA, 4.285.

\textsuperscript{211}BWK, 69... Ἐπὶ ἵουκοῦνδος ἐγένετο ἐν διαθέσι μανική καὶ ὑπὸ πάντων Τατιας διαφημίσης ὡς ὑπὸ Τατιας τῆς πενθερᾶς αὐτοῦ φάρμακον αὐτῷ δεδόθη, ἡ δὲ Τατιας ἐστήσεσαν σκῆπτρον καὶ ἀράς ἔθηκεν ἐν τῷ ναῷ ὡς ἱκανοποιούσα περὶ τοῦ πεφημίσθαι αὐτῇ ἐν συνείδησιν τοιαύτῃ, οἱ θεοι αὐτὴν ἐποίησαν ἐν κολάσει, ἣν ὡς διέλυγεν ὦμοιος καὶ Σωκράτης ὁ υἱὸς αὐτῆς παράγων τὴν λοισοῦν τὴν ἵς ἐκ ἀλόγου ἀπέγονον ὀρέσφον κατετιθέμον, ἕκ τῆς χειρὸς ἐπέσεν αὐτῷ ἐπὶ τὸν πόδαν καὶ ὦμοτα μοισιές κολάσει ἀπηλλαγή. Μεγάλοι οὖν οἱ θεοὶ οἱ ἐν Αἰτίτοις ἐπέζησαν λυθήναι τὸ σκῆπτρον καὶ τὰς ἀρᾶς τὰς γενομένας ἐν τῷ ναῷ· ἐρέαν τὰ ἵουκοῦν καὶ Μοσχάς, ἐγγονοὶ δὲ τῆς Τατιας, Σωκράτεια καὶ Μοσχάς καὶ ἦουκοῦνδος καὶ Μενεκράτης κατὰ πάντα ἐξειλασάμενοι τοὺς θεοὺς, καὶ ἀπὸ νοῦν εὐλογοῦμεν στηλογράφησαντες τὰς δυνάμεις τῶν θεῶν. "when Jucundus got into a manic state, and it was being rumored about by everyone that poison was being given him by Tatia, his mother-in-law, Tatia set up a specter and placed curses in the temple so that she would get her satisfaction about her being talked about in such a blameworthy way. But the gods put her in a punishment from which she did not escape. Likewise, her son Socrates, as he was going through the entrance that leads to the sacred grove holding a grape-cutting sickle in his hand, dropped it from his hand onto his foot, and so he died of the punishment that same day. Great then are the gods in Azitta. They commanded that the scepter and the curses that were in the temple be cancelled, and Jucundus' and
Moschius’ children, Tatias’s grandchildren, Sokrateia and Moschas and Jucundus and Menekrates did cancel in all ways propitiating the gods. From now on we bless them, writing the deities’ deeds of power on a stele” (trans. Elliott, Cutting Too Close, 69-70). Versnel provides three key aspects of the term ἐπεζήτεω from a syntactical perspective. The word conveys the idea of “demand” when the direct object is a thing, “to prosecute, to punish” when it is a person, and when used in transitively “to investigate,” probe or inquire (Versnel, “Beyond Cursing,” 78-9. BWK, 108 = MAMA 4.281 refers to the divine act of investigating). The word covers a wide range of meanings, especially when one considers its derivatives such as ἀναζήτεω and ἔξεζήτεω. For details on the meaning of these variants of ἐπεζήτεω see BWK, 10 and 35. A divine demand or prosecution occurs in case of property theft of all sorts (BWK, 3, 13, and 46 equivalent to TAM 5.1.159; 5.1.180; and 5.1.510). Although the innocence of Tatias is debated, she appears to be falsely accused.

Whereas some inscriptions do not specify the wrongdoings that gave rise to confessions, others do with detailed descriptions including the affected body parts.213

In a lengthy inscription, Zeus explains that he punished Theodoros’ repeated sexual

Moschius’ children, Tatias’s grandchildren, Sokrateia and Moschas and Jucundus and Menekrates did cancel in all ways propitiating the gods. From now on we bless them, writing the deities’ deeds of power on a stele” (trans. Elliott, Cutting Too Close, 69-70). Versnel provides three key aspects of the term ἐπεζήτεω from a syntactical perspective. The word conveys the idea of “demand” when the direct object is a thing, "to prosecute, to punish" when it is a person, and when used in transitively "to investigate," probe or inquire (Versnel, "Beyond Cursing," 78-9. BWK, 108 = MAMA 4.281 refers to the divine act of investigating). The word covers a wide range of meanings, especially when one considers its derivatives such as ἀναζήτεω and ἔξεζήτεω. For details on the meaning of these variants of ἐπεζήτεω see BWK, 10 and 35. A divine demand or prosecution occurs in case of property theft of all sorts (BWK, 3, 13, and 46 equivalent to TAM 5.1.159; 5.1.180; and 5.1.510). Although the innocence of Tatias is debated, she appears to be falsely accused.


213Affected body parts range from eye ailments (BWK, 5,16,29, 45, 49, 50, 85, 90, 93 and TAM, 238), speech impediment (BWK, 1), affected breasts (BWK, 63,95,70,84,95; TAM, 324), feet (BWK, 70, 89; TAM, 252), to backside (BWK, 75). In TAM, 323, the author drew two feet above the text. See CMRDM III. 18.
offense. The apparent dialogue between the culprit and the deity led some epigraphists to conclude that it was an actual legal courtroom proceeding while others view such a linguistic scenario as being metaphorical. Either way, Theodoros was ordered by Zeus, impersonated by a priest, to atone for his persistent violations of sexual abstinence and to be jailed.

214BWK, 5; Chaniotis, "Under the Watchful Eyes," 27-29; see Malay, "New Confession-Inscriptions," 147-154, for his translation and proposals on reconstructing the same inscription.

215Petzl, Beichtinschriften Westkleinasiens, 10-11; Chaniotis agrees with Petzl.


217Ender Varinlioğlu "Eine Gruppe von Sühneinschriften aus dem Museum von Uşak," EA 13(1989): 37-9; Riel, "Appeal to Divine Justice," 72-3. Both scholars agree that the jail is symbolic blindness. However, Merkelbach, Isis regina, 178, 172-3; 178-80, has shown that the Isis priesthood ordination often engages in what he terms "heiliges Theater"--a hint of priestly impersonation of the deity. Priestly impersonations found among Senegalese Diola priests will be discussed in the next chapter.

218BWK, 5. . . ἐκολασθὸν τὰ ὁματα τοῦ Θεόδωρου κατὰ τὰς ἁμαρτίας, ἢς ἐπήρθεν. Συνεγενόμην τῇ πεδίσχῃ τῷ Ἀπλοκόμῳ, τῇ Τροφίμῳ, τῇ γυναικὶ τῇ Εὐτύχηδος εἰς τὸ πλετῶριν ἀπαίρη τὴν πρώτῃ ἁμαρτίᾳν προβήτῳ[ν], πέριεκα, ἀσφάλακα. Δευτέρα ἁμαρτία ἀλλὰ δοῦλος διὸ τῶν θεῶν τῶν ἐν Νονοῦ συνεγενόμην τῇ Ἀριάγην τῇ μοναυλίᾳ 'παίρῃ χύρῳ, θείνῳ ἔχθειε. Τῇ τρίτῃ ἁμαρτίᾳ συνεγενόμην Ἀρεθούσῃ μοναυλίᾳ 'παίρῃ ὄρνευθε, στρουθῷ, περιστέρῃ κύρι, πρω. κρεσθοῦρων, πρό(χωρ) ὀύνου κύρι, προ(χοῦ) α Ἑσχα παράκλητον τῶν Δείαν εἶδαί, κατὰ τὰ πυήματα πεπηρώκειν, νῦν δὲ εἰλαξομένου αὐτοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ κε στηλογραφοῦντος ἀνερύεστον τὰς ἁμαρτίας Ἡρωςτάμανιος ψύ τῆς συκῆτον ἐλεεὸς εἰμαι ἀναστανομῆνης τῆς στήλης μου, ἢ ἡμέρα ὀρίσεις ἀνύλας τὴν φυλακήν, ἐξαφίω τὸν κατάδικον διὰ ἐνιαυτό φέ μην πτο περιπατοῦντων. "... I have punished Theodorus in respect to his eyes in
Priestly assistance cannot be denied even if it is not specifically mentioned in
the confession. ²¹⁹ What is important is that the peoples of Lydia and Phrygia testify
publically about their experiences of suffering and healing which they dedicate to
their local deities. ²²⁰ Generally, the worshiper is instructed by a priest to erect a stele
to appease the wrath of god(s) from which he/she incurred the illness. ²²¹ Some

consequence of the sin, which he committed.  In the praetorium I had sexual
intercourse with Trophine, the servant of Haplokomas, who is the wife of Eutyches.
He (i.e. Theodorus) takes the first (the sin) away with a sheep, a partridge, a mole.
Second sin: although I was a sacred servant of the gods in Nonou, I had sexual
intercourse with an unmarried Ariagne. He (i.e. Theodorus) takes (the sin) away with
a piglet, a tuna fish. At the third sin, I had sexual intercourse with an unmarried
Arethousa. He (i.e. Theodorus) takes (the sin) away with a chicken (or rooster), a
sparrow, a pigeon, and with a kypros of wheat mixed with barley and with a prochos
of wine. Being pure (Theodorus gives) to the sacred personnel a kypros of wheat and
one prochos of wine. As my legal advisor I got Zeus. Behold I had blinded him in
consequence of his actions, but now he has made good his mistakes by propitiating
the gods and by erecting an inscribed stele. Asked by the council: I am merciful,
because my stele gets set up the very day I have fixed. You may open the jail, I
release the condemned after one year and ten months have passed" (translated by
Hasan Malay, "A New Confession-Inscription," 151-2). The occurrence of the word
ἀμαρτία "sin" [three times in Paul's crucial dialogue with his converts (Gal 1:4; 2:15,
17; 3:22)] and in this inscription (five times) is striking. See Petzl's earlier discussion
of the same inscription in Georg Petzl, "Sünde, Strafe, Wiedergutmachung (Zur


²²⁰ Chaniotis, "Illness and Cures," 323-4. Chaniotis characterizes these texts as
honest expressions "of the feelings and attitudes of simple people towards illness,
cure, medicine and doctors" (325).

²²¹ Following Mitchell, Rostad concurs that the evidence for priestly
involvement is lacking (Anatolia 1:194; Rostad, "Confession," 149) but rightly insists
that the genre of these inscriptions presupposes that an expert was involved in the
confessions characterize deities as autocratic in their governance exercising a "direct control over man's affairs."^222 Strong verbs are often used to describe the exercise of their powers^223 often balanced with those emphasizing human response.^224 Given the above discussion, it is clear that sanctuary visitors do so for various reasons beyond their control—a fact that necessitates priestly assistance of some kind.

*Priests and their Function*

Most of the rural peoples of Asia Minor who visited sanctuaries were in a desperate need to resolve a wide range of social issues,^225 especially for healing.^226 It

---


^223^ νεμεσάω "to be angry, to find fault with, . . ." (BWK, 3), ποιέω, "to do, perform, . . ." (BWK, 3, 69), κολάζω, "I punish, . . ." (BWK, 6, 35, 57, 62), κελεύω, "to commend, exhort, . . . (BWK, 9, 47, 71), ἀποτελέω, "to achieve, to satisfy, . . . (BWK, 37, 72), ἐκζητέω, "to search eagerly," (BWK, 35).

^224^ ἐρωτάω, "to ask, inquire, request" (BWK, 17), δίδωμι, "to give" BWK, 17, 60), ἀποδίδωμι, "to give away, to perform, . . ." (BWK, 17, 36, 71, 103), στηλογραφέω, "to inscribe on a stele" (BWK, 19, 33, 37, 50, 58, 71), εὐχαριστέω, "to give thanks" (BWK, 19, 58), εὐλογέω, "to praise" (BWK, 20, 37, 54, 64, 68, 101), ἀνίστημι, "to set up, to raise, . . ." (BWK, 27, 76), ἀνατίθημι, "to set up, to erect, . . . (BWK, 12, 45, 101, 105, 114), ἰλάσκομαι, "to propitiate, to reconcile, to appease" (BWK, 33, 68; NewDocs 3(1983): 6.24-26), μαρτυρέω, "to witness, to confirm, to agree, . . . (BWK, 68).

^225^ Just to list a few, Anatolians inquire about causes of the death of a loved one, personal suffering from illness, and stolen property (including farm animals). For details on these various needs, see Mitchell, *Anatolia*, 1:187-97; Chaniotis, "Under the Watchful Eyes," 22; idem, "Illness and Cures," 330. Rural Lydians and
Phrygians believe that the gods would punish wrongdoers with illness and cure those who would confess. See Dio, Or. 10 where Chrysostom's Diogenes probes a worshiper bound to Apollo's Delphic sanctuary to investigate the whereabouts of his runaway slave.

Pierre deBord, Aspects Sociaux et économiques de la vie religieuse dans l'anatolie greco-romaine (EPRO, 48; Leiden: Brill, 1982), 27.

Chaniotis, "Under the Watchful Eyes," 37, 30-38, 43. The case of Aristodemos, priest of Zeus Pigindenos and Leon of Stratonikeia, priest of Zeus at Panamara, discussed by Chaniotis, quoting SEG, XLV. 1515, SEG, XLV. 1557.4-8 clearly highlights the importance of priestly mediation between the gods and humans. These priests are models in contrast to Theodoros (see BWK, 5; Malay, "New Confession-Inscription,"151-2). Some scholars argue priestly mediation between the worshiper and the gods is not central to the process because "any individual could make an offering or conduct a sacrifice at an altar if he saw fit to do so; the confession inscriptions never mention any intermediaries between the god and the offender; although the Lydian reliefs depict figures who had a part to play in regulating the ritual, as would be natural" (Mitchell, Anatolia, 1:194-5). In Diola communities, guwasena "those who perform rituals" or priests play a crucial role in the overall life of worshipers--a function I will discuss later.

Pierre deBord, Aspets, 51. Apollo's role as a deity who purifies and heals is not a unique Greek belief. Anatolians have long held the same convictions about their own deities--a fact supported by epigraphic testimonies discussed above (for details see deBord, Aspets, 27-52).
According to Strabo, the honorable status enjoyed by priests is second to that of the
king and temples in which they served are socioreligious, economic

\[229\] deBord, Aspets, 52. See Price, Rituals and Power, 78-100.

\[230\] Strabo, Geogr, 12.2.3, 6b; 12.3.31-32. From Strabo’s account, it is clear
that the authority vested in the office of the priesthood and its wealth extend beyond
rural to urban areas. He also offers insights into the making of the temple population.
For instance, visiting the temple of Enyo, called "Ma" in Comana, Strabo saw many
"divinely inspired people" (\(\text{θεόφορος}\)) and 6000 \(\text{ἱεροδούλοι}\) "temples-servants"
residing in the temple. The land does not belong to the temple; the revenue belongs
to the priest. The temples of Zeus at Venasa [3000 "temple-servants" (12.2.5)] and
"Mên at Pharnaces, as it is called, --the village-city Ameria" (12.31-32) are also
similar in hierarchical structure. Priests of the temple of the "Mother of the Gods..." called Agadistis" at Pessinus also enjoyed its lucrative \(\text{ἐμπορίον}\) ("markets") (12.5.3).

For detailed discussions of the priesthood and its wealth, see deBord, Aspets, 76-262.
T. Robert S. Broughton, "New Evidence on Temple-Estates in Asia Minor", in
Studies in Roman Economic and Social History in Honor of Allan Chester Johnson
(ed. P. R. Coleman-Norton; Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1951), 245,
refers to these temples as banks. Turcan, The Cults, 36, argues that "at the head of the
priests or galli of Cybele were an 'Attis' and a 'Battakès'. The Attis presided as high
priest, and the Battakès played the role of vice-president of the priestly community."
This temple-state is said to have been a "theocratic principality" that was once a
vassal of Attalus and later of "the Roman Republic, then of the emperor until 25 BC."
The black stone, symbolizing the presence of the deity at this temple in Pessinus was
given to Rome by Attalus. This act might have generated frustration on the part of the
deity who now regards Rome rather than Pergamum as the new center of the gods.
"therapeutic" centers—a reality evinced in some of the confession/expiation-inscriptions discussed above. The Roman judicial system prosecuted most of the offenses except perjury which is left to the gods to enforce.

This renders the Phrygian and Lydian (Anatolian) villagers' appeal to divine justice an indispensable socioreligious need and the priesthood necessary to ensure and enforce obedience to the divine judicial system. In sum, Paul's addressees were the Gauls/Galatians, the descendants of the ancient Celts/Gauls, who migrated into Asia Minor where some of them became urban and rural dwellers. They were

---

231 deBord, Aspets, 27-40. Anatolians visit temples to purify themselves from the polluting power of illnesses. In the same way a Greek would have consulted the Delphic oracle for purification, so would the rural Mysian, Lydians and Phrygians. In fact, "l' Anatolie a été un terrain particulièrement propice au développement de sanctuaires à vocation thérapeutique, sanctuaires qui certainement plus d'autres, étaient propres à déplacer les fidèles, parfois venus de fort loin" (39). This phenomenon has parallels among the Senegalese Diola priests before and during the French colonization—an influential role in Diola society I will discuss later. For details on socio-economic functions of the indigenous priesthood, see deBord, Aspets, 26, 11-40; 185-251. See also BWK, 43; TAM 5.1.238.

232 C. Iust. 412 quoted by Chaniotis, "Under the Watchful Eyes," 42.

233 Versnel, "Beyond Cursing," 68, insightfully states that Christians also resolved their differences with their communities instead of appealing to state officials. This is an appeal to divine justice in a traditional way which, in no way, suggests an aversion to the power of authorities (See Ricl, "Appeal to Divine Justice," 69-70; Mitchell, Anatolia, 1:97). Against the above conclusions, if the indigenous priesthood resisted Hellenism, as deBord states, then it is probable that villagers' appeal to divine justice can be viewed as a mistrust of authorities.

234 BWK, 27.
labeled and portrayed in statues as barbarians who by nature behave like beasts and vanquished savages who threaten civilization—a characterization countered by Trogus, who insists that they were civilized founders who built cities rather than savage and fickle beasts. Once in Asia Minor, especially in central Anatolia and the regions of Lydia and Phrygia, the Gauls/Galatians assimilated some elements of the extant cultural symbiosis with a limited influence of Hellenization and later Romanization.

They came to believe that the gods whom they served governed and controlled their daily lives. They protected their graves with *defixiones*, prayed for the administration of divine justice and visited sanctuaries where they would, in some cases, be assisted by priests with appropriate ways to offer a public confession and propitiate for their sins. In later chapters I will argue that this concern for ritual purity and social justice parallels that found among the Diola of Sénégal which, in turn, parallel Paul's message to the Galatians (Gal 2:11-14; 3:28).
SENEGALESE DIOLA IN CONTEXT

Who Were the Diola?

Much of what is known about the Diola people and the region they inhabit has been through the works of a handful of European and American ethnographers and sociologists/anthropologists. The erudite Senegalese scientist and anthropologist, Cheikh Anta Diop, traces the origin of all black Africans back to the region of the Upper Nile valley extending to the Great Lakes--a milieu he calls "the primitive cradle of all Black peoples today living dispersed at the various points of the

continent."\textsuperscript{236} His works seek to recover linguistic ties that bind most ethnic groups populating Sénégal and other African countries.\textsuperscript{237}

Although the origin of Diola people is difficult to determine, it would be overdrawn to conclude that Diola people "did not exist as an ethnic group before the colonial period."\textsuperscript{238} There are three myths\textsuperscript{239} about Diola origin. The first links the Diola ethnic to a trader.

\begin{quote}

\textsuperscript{237}Idem, \textit{Black African: The Economic and Cultural Basis for a Federated State} (trans. Harold Salemson; New York: Lawrence Hill Books, 1974), 9; idem, \textit{Towards the African Renaissance: Essays in African Culture and Development 1946-1960} (trans. Egbuna P. Modum; London: Kamak House, 1996), 9, 27, 123. He uses Diola and Djola interchangeably without saying from whence came the actual Diola tribe and its subgroups. Diop challenges Africans to reclaim their common linguistic ties which substantiate their kinship. African unity can be achieved if Africans were to speak one language. This, of course, is the case in Sénégal where most tribes speak \textit{Valaf/Wolof}.

\textsuperscript{238}Peter Mark, \textit{The Wild Bull and the Sacred Forest: Form, Meaning and Change in Senegambian Initiation Masks} (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1992), 22. It is also insulting to assert that the Diola is whoever claims to be one. To reduce Diola identity to a mere personal preference would equally be overdrawn (Mark, \textit{Wild Bull}, 16-17). I am not denying the fact that identity is a process but it is one that does not dissolve the person into something else.

\textsuperscript{239}I call them myths instead of theories because, as a Diola by maternal lineage, I consider Diola mythology to be crucial. The Diola reconstruct their history through mythological accounts.
Un père de famille de la race des Diola actuels, vint s'installer dans le Buluf en qualité de trafiquent . . En tout cas, la prospérité de ce nouveau pays l'enchanta; il s'y installa définitivement et garda pour ses enfants le nom de Diola, déformation de Dioula. Ses descendants fondèrent par la suite, les sous-groupes Diamat, Floup, Djougout et Bayot.  

an ancestor of the actual Diola ethnic, lived in the area of Buluf as trader. . . In any case, enchanted by prosperity of this new country, he finally settled there and kept the name Diola for his children, a distortion of Dioula. His descendants henceforth founded the subgroups of Diamat, Floup, Djougout and Bayot.

The second stresses a Diola kinship with the Serer by way of twin sisters said to have left the kingdom of Kabu by canoe traveling towards the estuary of the Gambian River.  

During the trip, their canoe splits in half forcing them to swim

240 Thomas, Les Diola, 2.489-90. This theory cannot be rejected based on the Diola disdain for trading jobs as Thomas maintains. Were Thomas' arguments based on linguistic ground, it would have been more convincing. Lucie Gallistel Colvin, Historical Dictionary of Senegal (AHD 23; Metuchen, NJ: The Scarecrow Press, 1981), 216-7. The Mandinka (Malinke is a subgroup of traders) migrated from the empire of Mali to the Senegambian region between 1240 and 1260 AD. There, they founded the empire of Kabu.

241 Thomas, Les Diola, 2.490-1. Apparently, there are two versions to this story. The first, with a slight variation, has the twin sisters ("Ağen" and "Dyâmbon") sent away by the king to find mates. Whereas, Ağen traveled southward and became the mother of the Diola, her twin sister, Dyâmbon, went north where she birthed the Serere people. Thomas dismisses these myths insisting that "les légendes que nous venons de citer n'existent que chez les Diola, et le Sérère ne parle jamais d'une telle parenté de facto ou de jure." "the legends we just cited exist only among the Diola, and the Serer never talk about such kinship in reality" (491n1). Against his refutation is his admittance of key shared beliefs between the Diola and Serer such as belief in a transcendent God, communication with the deity, belief in the future, burial practices, fishing, hunting, and raising cattle (Thomas, Les Diola, 2.489-91). Baum, Shrines, 72, raises a lack of linguistic connections between the Serer and the Diola along with the absence of such a story among the "Guellewar ruling clan of Sine and Saloum." Thomas and Baum, I believe, failed to take seriously the shared beliefs between Diola
and Serer as far as their kinship is concerned. Interestingly, Thomas, Baum and Mark ignored the contributions of Diop who spent extensive time dealing with the common origins of African people. This oversight is troubling.

Of this view he writes: "the ancestors of the Diola came from the area South of the São Domingo River, an area presently occupied by Manjaco" (Baum, *Shrines*, 72). Baum highlighted only the last two myths of Diola origins.

Apparent dissimilarities in the above accounts are reflective of early group absorptions and the dynamic nature of oral tradition. This happened to the Bañounk, the original dwellers of the lower-Casamance, who were somewhat absorbed by the Mandinka and the Diola. In his reconstruction of the Diola-Esulalu, Baum argues

and Serer as far as their kinship is concerned. Interestingly, Thomas, Baum and Mark ignored the contributions of Diop who spent extensive time dealing with the common origins of African people. This oversight is troubling.

Of this view he writes: "the ancestors of the Diola came from the area South of the São Domingo River, an area presently occupied by Manjaco" (Baum, *Shrines*, 72). Baum highlighted only the last two myths of Diola origins.

Thomas, *Les Diola*, 2.492. My translation. Against Thomas, just because Diola use myths and religious instead of scientific accounts to reconstruct their history does not mean they are false or fanciful (ibid., 2.493).

Colvin, *Historical Dictionary*, 131-2. The Bañounk empire was Kasa dating from the fifteenth to eighteenth centuries. It was not until the seventeenth century that Mandinka and Diola began to infiltrate the kingdom. The Mandinka,
that "the category Diola was created from the joining of several distinct groups, who migrated into the area from the south and east, before they conquered the Koojaen."245

This work will be limited to the socioreligious convictions shared by the Diola on the southwest shore of the Casamance River--the Brin-Seleki and the Essulalu townships.246 The reason for this appeal rests on the centrality of the belief in the role and function of Ata or Ala Emit--the One who owns heaven or Owner of heaven in

from the empire of Mali, began their migration to the Senegambian region from the thirteenth to much of the sixteenth centuries. Whereas most of them settled on the shores of the Gambian River, the Malinke (a subgroup of the Mandinka) who settled in the north developed dynasties (Sine and Saloum) and those in the south formed the loose kingdom of Kabu. The largest concentration of Malinke is in the eastern part of the Casamance region (Colvin, Historical Dictionary, 216-17). See also Baum, Shrines, 63.

245Baum, Shrines, 63, 254. The Koojaen are Baïnounk. For a detailed study of Diola origins, see Thomas, Les Diola, volumes 1 and 2, Girard's Genèse and Roche's Histoire. Of these studies, those of Roche and Baum remain the most helpful for understanding and assessing the overall Diola socioreligious world.

246As mentioned above, there are many Diola subgroups. Whereas some of the subgroups share linguistic affinities, others can be considered languages. Of linguistic differences, Mark, Wild Bull, 2-3, notes that "the two main dialects, those of Kasa and Fony, are mutually comprehensible, although with great difficulty for a nonnative speaker. Other dialects, including those spoken in the Karones and in the community of Thionk-Essyl in Buluf, are not comprehensible to a Fogny-speaker; they constitute virtually separate languages." I would argue that the Diola of Brin-Seleki and Ouissouye do share some linguistic similarities with those of Fogny. Against Mark, the Fogny and Kasa are not mutually comprehensible. Resulting linguistic differences are contextual. For instance, what a Diola of Kasa means by boudial or boudialabou (forest/woods) would be understood by a Diola Fogny to mean karamba or karanbakou in spite of the etymological difference of the two words.
Diola communities and their common colonial experiences. The many subgroups that constitute the Diola ethnic reflect more of geographical differences rather than ethnic. Of these subgroups are the Diola of Bliss-Karons and Fonyi who dwell on the northern shore of the Casamance river and those of Ouussouye (Floup), Youtou/Effoc (Diamat), Diembering (Dyiwat), Kabrousse (Her/Haer), Kagnout/Mlomp (Pointe Saint-Georges), and of Brin-Selesi.

The Diola of Casamance, as an ethnic group, precedes the French colonial advent. When French colonists arrived in the area, the Diola and the inhabitants of Guinée-Bissau were already under Portuguese rule. Diola people, in particular, were known to have resisted hierarchical or any foreign governance for the sake of their liberty. They fiercely opposed colonizing Mandinka kingdoms around AD

---


249 Thomas, *Les Diola*, 2.539, 539-541, writes: "Le Diola a un sentiment très vif de sa liberté et c‘est pour elle que, dans l‘histoire, il a combattu contre l‘autorité étrangère, aussi bien celle des Manding ses voisins que celle de l‘Européen; liberté qui, il est vrai, était souvent synonyme anarchie sur le plan social. . . De nos jours encore, il reste prêt à se calibrer instinctivement devant toute discipline étrangère à son clan ou à ses coutumes. . . ." "The Diola have a lively feeling about their freedom and that is the reason why, throughout history, they waged war against foreign authorities, as well as the Mandinka, their neighbor and that of the European; freedom that, it is true, was often synonymous to anarchy in the social context. . . Still, to this day, they are ready to take on, instinctively, any foreign attempt to impose order on
1200 and waged a protracted war against Bainounk kingdom known as the "war of seven hundred years" which ultimately led to the absorption of most of the Bainounk people.250

_Africans as Barbarians and Savages_

The previous chapter established the fact that classical Greek and Hellenistic binarism not only divided the world into Greeks and Barbarians, it also considers Greeks as civilized and the rest of humanity as uncivilized barbarians. This binarism was evident in Platonic self-understanding251 as well as in the Attalid Frieze.252 As a dominant power in Europe, Rome had to face these Greek configurations of humanity their clan or customs." Thomas' words hint that he does not think much of the Diola concern for freedom and denies the Diola a real sense of freedom. See also Lambert, "Violence," 593-4.


251 Plato is emphasizing racial/ethnic purity here. R. G. Bury translates διὰ τὸ εἷλικρινῶς εἶναι "Ελληνες καὶ ἄμιγεῖς βαρβάρων, . . . "because we are pure-blooded Greeks, unadulterated by barbarian stock" (Plato, _Menex_. 245d; [trans. Bury LCL]). Plato is not using a geographical way of distinguishing Greeks from non-Greeks. His is a taxonomy separating humanity into different kinds of races. Greeks, as far as he is concerned, are superior to barbarians ethnically and intellectually (Plato, _Epin._ 987d). See also Malkin, "Postcolonial Concepts," 342.

252 Pollitt, _Art_, 81; Ferris, _Enemies_, 6-13.
in order to redefine itself from the world of barbarians to that of "honorary Greek."\textsuperscript{253} Centuries later, the French would see themselves emerging from Roman humiliation epitomized in the heroic surrender of Vercingetorix\textsuperscript{254} as mythological giants with an ambitious ideology of shaping the world in their own image.\textsuperscript{255}

Africans were among the peoples the French had in mind. Unfortunately, their knowledge of traditional Africa was based on inaccurate reports from travelers, among whom were Catholic missionaries.\textsuperscript{256} Some of them wrote for a European

\textsuperscript{253}Champion, "Romans as Barbaroi," 425-444. The question is how can a people once viewed as barbarian become Greek in the eyes of Greeks? Greek writers tried to explain to their audience that this is possible because "Greekness has no ethnic limit" (Balch, "ΜΕΤΑΒΟΛΗ ΠΟΛΙΤΕΙΩΝ," 150. See also Preston, "Roman Questions," 86-119).


\textsuperscript{255}Morton, "National and Colonial," 357-77; especially, 362-3, 368-9. Williams, \textit{Beyond the Rubicon}, 8, asserts that in contemporary parlance the Celts are considered the "founding fathers of Europe"--a common ancestry. "The Celts, as opposed to the Gauls who are too closely identified with France and the French, offer an all purpose identity in which individuals and communities from many different parts of modern Europe can take part and which they can regard as their own as part of their 'heritage'" (Williams, \textit{Beyond the Rubicon}, 9). On Alesia, see also Cunliffe, \textit{Greeks, Romans and Barbarians}, 112-13.

\textsuperscript{256}Mark, \textit{Africans in European Eyes}, discusses how Europeans learned about Africans from various sources, most of which were ill informed about Africa and Africans. Crucial early encounters with black people show that some Europeans respected blacks while others express prejudices against them. Skin pigmentation was however an obvious feature of early Renaissance paintings. Greek contacts with
audience presenting a picture of Africa and its inhabitants that would not only legitimate the slave trade, but also the subsequent colonization. Many historians place Europeans' racism toward Africans between the verge of the eighteenth to the beginning of the nineteenth centuries as a result of the slave trade.\textsuperscript{257} Georg W. F. Hegel derogatorily describes sub Sahara Africans to his European audience as a fossilized specimen waiting to be unearthed by Europe.\textsuperscript{258}


\textsuperscript{257}Philip Curtin, \textit{The Image of Africa: British Ideas and Actions 1780-1850} (Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 1964); Mark, \textit{Africans in European Eyes}, iii.

\textsuperscript{258}Georg W. F. Hegel, \textit{Philosophy of History} (trans. J. Sibree; New York: Prometheus Books, 1991), 91, thinks "Africa proper, as far as History goes back, has remained for all purposes of connection with the rest of the world shut up; it is the Gold-land of childhood, which lying beyond the day of self-conscious history, is enveloped in the dark mantle of Night." He describes peoples living in and around Sénégal as "hordes" and thinks their behavior reflected "the most reckless inhumanity and disgusting barbarism-and afterwards, when their rage was spent, in the calm time of peace, they showed themselves mild and well disposed towards the Europeans, when they became acquainted with them." He also cites missionary accounts to bolster his descriptions of Africans (Hegel, \textit{Philosophy}, 92, 93).

\textsuperscript{259}Charles de Secondat Baron de Montesquieu, \textit{The Spirit of Laws} (trans. Hon. Frederic R. Couldert; New York: The Colonial Press, 1899), 21.2. This mindset...
Africans are based on travelers', explorers' and missionary accounts is also well documented.\textsuperscript{260} In his reconstruction of European self-understanding and depictions of Africans, T. Carlos Jacques sees an epistemological process in which classical typologies that reduced Africans to savage barbarians are re-framed into a yet dehumanizing label: Primitive. He posits that the concept "primitive" is a category of thought that is born of an epistemological shift at the end of the eighteenth century. This shift was not the result of any superior knowledge that Europe suddenly acquired of "Others." It was rather the product of a new way in which Europe understood itself, a self-understanding that was manifested in many different ways. The philosophical systems of the nineteenth century integrated the "primitive" into grand historical narratives, with Africa always as the paradigm of human infancy. New sciences were born on the back of this notion. And in new guises (such as "traditionalism," "third worldism," "Afrocentrism"), it has made its presence felt in African national and postcolonial thought and practice as well.\textsuperscript{261}

Although Jacques' point is well taken, European negative typologies applied to contrived the universe into a king's cabinet, as Louis-Sebastien Mercier indicates.


\textsuperscript{261}T. Carlos Jacques, "From Savages and Barbarians to Primitives: Africa, Social Typologies, and History in the Eighteenth-Century French Philosophy," \textit{HT} 36/2(May 1997): 215. I concur with Jacques in his tracing of the French view of black Africans to Jean-Jacques Rousseau and the Cartesian epistemological conclusions. However, against Jacques, the French did not cease to describe blacks as barbarians, savages or primitives. These typologies are interchangeably applied to the Senegalese people to this day.
Africans were mixed and did not follow a particular structure as Jacques argues.\textsuperscript{262} The function of European negative typologies was to set off Africans from Europeans as racially/ethnically and intellectually inferior people to an extent that the theory of blacks' inferiority to whites was readily accepted by authorities of nations engaged in the trade of "le bois d' ébène" (the ebony wood is a euphemism for black people).\textsuperscript{263}

A colonial administrator articulated this reality saying,

> en 1685, Louis XIV promulgued the "Code Noir" que Colbert avait rédigé avant de mourir, et qui réglementait tout ce qui concernait la vie des esclaves: nourriture, travail, pénitences. Ceux-ci étaient encore qualifiés par ce code de 'meuble et de "bétail humain."\textsuperscript{264}

\textsuperscript{262}Baum, \textit{Shrines}, 9, agreeably states that Europeans "saw themselves as bringing Africa into history and into the light of religious faith. To reinforce this sense of otherness, Africa was labeled as pagan, animist, fetishist, polytheist, primitive, or oral. . . All these labels proclaim the absolute difference between European and African: The former possessed a dynamic culture and a long history, while the latter was frozen in primordial time."

\textsuperscript{263}Beslier, \textit{Le Sénégal}, 123. See page 78 where blacks are universally viewed as being drunks marked by debauchery. The expression "ebony wood" is a euphemism for "black people." Froelicher, \textit{Trois Colonisateurs}, 128-9. In Froelicher's account, one finds Faidherbe painting blacks as savages "qui manquent de caractère c' est à dire de force de volonté, de prévoyance et de persévérance, sera toujours à la merci des races mieux douées que lui sous ce rapport." "Who lack character, namely force of will, foresight and perseverance, will always be at the mercy of races better endowed than they in this."

\textsuperscript{264}Beslier, \textit{Le Sénégal}, 68, shamelessly states that "la pratique de l'esclavage coexista en antinomie avec la brillante civilisation de la seconde moitié du XVII\textsuperscript{e} siècle. . . La thèse de l' infériorité de la race noire s' était accréditée facilement auprès des chefs des nations exploitant 'le bois d' ébène'." "The practice of slavery coexisted as an antinomy with the brilliant civilization of the second half of the seventeenth century. . . the thesis of the inferiority of the black race was easily accredited before
in 1685, Louis XIV published the "black Code" that Colbert wrote before his death, that regulated everything that pertained to the lives of the slaves: from food, work, to kinds of punishment. They were qualified by this code as furniture and "human cattle."

Europeans' self-definition and reduction of Africans to objects or moveable property legitimated not only the slave trade, but also the subsequent colonization of most of the continent. How do these typologies reflect actual French encounters with Diola people? As Paul Lesourd insists, when France decided to colonize, she became a missionary nation whose missionaries served as evangelists, mediators between the metropolis and colonies, scientists, explorers, linguists, ethnographers, historians, archaeologists, and naturalists. They presented themselves as propagators of western civilization and Christianity to the uncivilized heathens.

Those without history, civilization, religion and intellectual acumen would be introduced to civilization--the apex of western civilization, Michelet claims. The rationale of French historians and ethnographers was that Africa is a rich and leaders of nations exploiting the ebony wood." Louis Sala-Molins, Le Code Noir ou le calvaire de canaan (Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 2002). See also, Jacques, "From Savages," 198.

Paul Lesourd, L' Oeuvre Civilisatrice et Scientifiques des missionnaires Catholiques dans les colonies Françaises (Paris: Sous le patronage du commissariat général de l'exposition coloniale internationale de Paris,1931), 11. This idea of colonization is intimated by Péeter, L' Effort Français, 1, who vehemently defends France's colonialism as positive. See also Froelicher, Trois Colonisateurs, 5-15, who conveys similar ideas.

Michelet, Autobiographie, 220-234.
unexploited land because Africans were plagued with ignorance; in fact, "superstition, debauchery and indolence are their shared vices."  

Barbarians and Savages: Diola People Through French Eyes

French colonists did not hesitate to describe Senegalese people as superstitious, *ivrognes*, "drunks" and savages. Les Pères du Saint Esprit, "the Holy Ghost Fathers," who came to Sénégal with the first French colonial administrators, confused their Eurocentric version of Christianity for a tool with which to civilize Diola barbarians. Diola religion was labeled a satanic path and its *ouwasena* or *kouwasena* "priests, priest-kings" as savages.

---


269 Robert Baum, "Emergence," 386. According to Baum, these labels developed as a result of a conflict between elders and the Holy Ghost Fathers over the resistance of Diola elders to the message being inculcated in the mind of their children, especially, those who were catechumen. Elders, especially the *Awasena*, responded by accusing Holy Ghost Fathers of "poisoning their children's ears"-- a countervoice that recalls the Athenean charge against Socrates. *Ouwasena* and *kouwasena* are the plural form of the singular *awasena*. Meanings of the word *awasena* range from "the one who performs rituals, priest or priest-king."
Labeling blacks as being by nature a bibulous and unpredictable people marked by an uncontrollable anger or passions parallels Polybius' characterization of the Gauls/Galatians. Christian Roche reflects this sentiment insisting that disputes over the ownership of some rice fields would readily provoke bloody brawls. These feuds were transmitted from father to son and thus were never completely resolved. All it takes is an excess of palm wine to revive old grievances.

For Thomas, Diola people were unable to accept hierarchical governance due to a natural flaw he calls "mentalité."

Politically, Roche quips, les Joola avaient par conséquent une société originale qui associait la liberté et le désir farouche d'indépendance aux vertus traditionnelles de la solidarité.

---


273 Louis-Vincent Thomas, "La mentalité du Diola (de l'anthropologie culturelle à l'ethno-psychologie)," *Revue de Psychologie des peuples* 14/3 (1959): 253-272. Both Roche and Thomas miss an important fact in Diola life. Diola people understood that only Ala/Ata Emit, "God," can provide true freedom. They saw foreign notions of freedom as intrusive and inadequate. This is the reason they could team up and fight a common enemy, and when the threat is removed, they resume their autonomous lifestyles under the aegis of Ala/Ata Emit. There is nothing awkward about this practice.
Diola people consequentially had an original society that associated freedom and the fierce desire for liberty to the traditional virtues of African solidarity. Hostile to any foreign authority, they would oppose a lively resistance active or passive to any attempt of foreign domination.

The Diola would team up against a common enemy to preserve their freedom. In spite of the fact that they are "courageous and brave in battle, Diola people often suffer defeats due to their lack of unity before a common enemy." Curiously, northern Senegalese people also regard Diola people as savages in desperate need for civilization. Ousmane Sembène captures this feeling when fictional characters grapple over their identity crises wrought by the French policy of assimilation once exercised in the so-called four communes this way:

Look at the inhabitants of the towns, Saint-Louis, Dakar, Rufisque, Gorée . . . Because of their long period of contact with Europeans, they thought themselves more civilized than other bush Africans living in the forest or savanna. The arrogance grew when they alone were given the vote and considered French citizens. People from these four communes, and their descendants, were proud of

274 Roche, Histoire, 36; Thomas, Les Diola, 2.539.

275 Roche, Histoire, 35. My translation. Polybius and Caesar made similar remarks about the Gauls/Galatians. Polybius blames their lack of unity and defeats in battle to their fickle character. Caesar must have been influenced by Polybius' account.

276 Jean-Claude Marut, "Le Mythe: penser la Casamance," in Comprendre la Casamance: Chronique d'une intégration contrastée (Paris: Editions Karthala, 1994), 21-5. Since 1983, the region was embroiled in war that claimed the life of my stepfather and caused serious injury to my cousin.
being the equals of Europeans. They began to parody them, and acquired a pretentious mentality. . . How many times have we heard a man from Dakar, Gorée, Rufisque or Ndar (Saint Louis) say contemptuously to his country cousin: "I was civilized before you were." These alienated, rootless people, enslaved from within—of whom I was and still am one—were unconsciously the most faithful and devoted servants of the then prevailing system of occupation. . .

It could be that this identity disarray is what the Diola resist even if they were to be labeled savage.

The Inescapable Presence of Ala or Ata Emit: The Socioreligious Dimensions of Diola Communal Life

The Diola Socioreligious Setting

Some ethnographers date the rise of negative attitudes of Europeans toward Africans at the verge of the eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries in connection with slavery. 278 It is clear however that European binarism dates back to the classical period as previously stated. 279 Most Europeans kept on propagating a faulty and


278 Mark, *Africans in European Eyes*, iii. For Mark, the European negative view of Africans dates back to the time "Europeans established sustained contact with Black Africa, began explorations of the West African coast, and laid the foundation for the trans-Atlantic slave trade." This conclusion ignores the ethnocentrism of Aristotle, Plato and Polybius.

279 Aristotle, *Pol.* XXI.1.1.9. Malkin, "Postcolonial Concepts," 345, thinks tracing European binarism back to the classical colonial period would be overdrawn because Greeks did use the term barbarian mostly to "differentiate themselves from non-Greek peoples, from the nomadic Scythians to the long-settled Egyptians." He
misguided picture of Africans based on sociological and ethnographical conclusions. Nowhere is this distortion of reality more poignant than in the disturbing conclusions reached by foreign commentators on Diola history and socioreligious thought. Like most Africans, the Diola symbolic world is event oriented and history is told and transmitted through inter-generational living events.

Of all the works consulted on Diola people, only Baum rightly recognizes that

"oral traditions are concerned with identifying historical events that are roughly contemporaneous and with establishing sequence of events." Historical events such as the creation of a shrine, Kahat, and especially Bukut, constitute the tapestry from which the Diola established a sense of chronology and socioreligious

concedes however that the word barbarian was used negatively in antiquity.

Baum, Shrines, 185. I agree with Baum that reconstructions of Diola origins should be more attentive to relative chronology implemented with other sources of information rather than absolute chronology. Emphases are mine. Whether one should follow absolute or relative chronology is debated. See a helpful discussion in David Henige, Oral Historiography (New York: Longman, 1982); idem, The Chronology of Oral Tradition: Quest for a Chimera (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1974). Jan Vansina, "Ethnohistory in Africa," Ethnohistory 9/2 (1962): 126-36; idem, "Comment: Traditions of Genesis," JAH 15/2 (1974): 317, is right that historians are now giving due attention to oral traditions once ignored and overlooked. These oral traditions tell of identities and origins. In spite of the importance of oral traditions, some scholars insist on the superiority of written traditions. Walter Ong, Orality and Literacy: The Technologizing of the Word (New York: Routledge, 1982), is of the conviction that orality should be complemented by writing. That oral traditions develop into distortions over time is held by many historians. It would be naive however to think written traditions are void of inaccuracies, since written traditions originate from orality.
structure. Remembered and interpreted inter-generational events are dynamic ways Diola people construct their symbolic universe through which self-understanding is reached, identity shaped and reality grasped.

The Inescapable Presence of Ata, Ala Emit or Emitai

Central to Diola life is the presence of Ata or Ala Emit. Emit or Emitai means heaven—the source of rain. Emit or Emitai ("sky") rains, Ata or Ala Emit, the "One who owns heaven or the Owner of heaven," is the supreme God. Thomas denies this central Diola belief, insisting that the "God of the Diola, like all the African gods, remains after all, . . . deus incertus et deus remotus, always surrounded by a halo of

281 According to Roche, Histoire, 38-42, Kahat ensured the independence and security of Diola society. It was an exclusively Diola ritual in which men were warriors who inspired communal confidence and cohesiveness. The Bukut replaced Kahat in order to restore the loss of cultural identity wrought by the French colonial advent. Girard associates the rise of Bukut with a deep concern for collective survival [Girard, Genèse, 91; idem, "De la Communauté traditionnelle à la collectivité modern en Casamance," Annales Africaines (Paris: Editions Pedone, 1963), 144-150]. Bukut therefore seeks to reclaim the freedom Diola communities enjoyed before foreign intrusions. A helpful discussion on another function of Bukut in the making of Diola oral historiography is found in Baum's Shrines, 186-189. Bukut plays a major role in Diola society. Males, ages three to twenty-three, circumcised the same day, are of the same generation. This is the way Diola people keep track of time, seniority and the initiation of new religious sanctuaries (see also Mark, Wild Bull, 38).

282 God is variably referred to as Ata, Ala Emit or simply Emitai. One encounters these variations from one Diola sub-group to another. As Baum rightly notes, the meaning of Ata, Ala, Emit, and Emitai ranges from rain, sky, power, thunder, to God (Baum, Shrines, 39), but Diola people do not equate God with the sky or year as some commentators would like to believe.
The Diola understands Emit or Emitai "sky" as a dynamic natural phenomenon with significant implications for human life. The cyclical changes it reflects (namely changes from night to day, from dry to wet seasons) affect human livelihood in an agrarian society. Baum, Shrines, 38, relates this reality that for so long evaded scholars such as Thomas.

What is strange is that Thomas is aware that the Diola believes in a "supreme," "indivisible," "non-representable," "immortal," "spiritual," "all powerful," "omniscient," and "omnipresent," being who "spoke the world into existence" but explained them away (Thomas, Les Diola, 2.588). On the remoteness of God in African religions, see also Louis-Vincent Thomas et René Luneau, La terre africaine et ses religions: tradition et changements (SHS; Paris: Libraire Larousse, 1975), 141-148.

Robin Horton also reduces African religious devotion to a pantheon of lesser spirits that he believes are

---

283 Thomas, Les Diola, 2.584, 587. My translation. The Diola understands Emit or Emitai "sky" as a dynamic natural phenomenon with significant implications for human life. The cyclical changes it reflects (namely changes from night to day, from dry to wet seasons) affect human livelihood in an agrarian society. Baum, Shrines, 38, relates this reality that for so long evaded scholars such as Thomas.

What is strange is that Thomas is aware that the Diola believes in a "supreme," "indivisible," "non-representable," "immortal," "spiritual," "all powerful," "omniscient," and "omnipresent," being who "spoke the world into existence" but explained them away (Thomas, Les Diola, 2.588). On the remoteness of God in African religions, see also Louis-Vincent Thomas et René Luneau, La terre africaine et ses religions: tradition et changements (SHS; Paris: Libraire Larousse, 1975), 141-148.

284 Mark, Wild Bull, 25. Sinááti, sieeti, boukine and gaassine variably mean shrine, reflecting the many spellings one could encounter from one Diola sub-group to another. As stated above, Mark is aware that the "Jola conceive of the existence of a high god, whom they call Emitay. Emitay also means year, sky and rain. Emitay is associated with the sky, in its all-encompassing, transcendent vastness. Emitay is associated with the annual cycle of agriculture, as well as with the life-giving rain without which rice -- and ultimately humans -- cannot live. Emitay is basically deus otiosus." It is overdrawn to argue that this view of God was an adaptation of Christian monotheism as Mark does. Diola cosmology in which Ata, Ala Emit or Emitai is the supreme immanent and transcendent God predates Muslim and Christian infiltrations in Diola communities, especially among those located on the southern shore of the Casamance River (Baum, Shrines, 39). Neither Thomas' deus incertus et deus remotus or Mark's deus otiosus cannot be affirmed. This doctrine is nothing more than a sad European misunderstanding of African religions, especially Diola socioreligious convictions.
immanently involved in the lives of the people at a microcosmic level, instead of a supreme deity.\textsuperscript{285}

Against the above assertions, the God of the Diola (\textit{Ata} or \textit{Ala Emit}) heals, enables humans to understand, establishes a moral order in Diola communities, sees everything, and provides for the community with rain and good harvest.\textsuperscript{286} Diola elders often relate stories about \textit{Emitai's} communications with some of them through out-of-body experiences, visions and dreams through which the deity "revealed moral teaching, instructions about new spirit shrines, and advice about community problems. Such revelations were seen as inspiring the introduction of Bukut, the male circumcision ritual, and Kasila, the rain shrine of Alinesitoué."\textsuperscript{287}

\textsuperscript{285}Robin Horton, "African Conversion," \textit{Africa}, 41/2(1971): 101; idem, "On the Rationality of Conversion: Part I," \textit{Africa} 45/3(1975): 219-220. These lesser spirits mediate the needs of community members to the supreme deity. This cosmology, Horton wrongly believes, is shared by all Africans. Unfortunately, Horton is not alone to propagate these reductionist perspectives on religion and cosmology.

\textsuperscript{286}Baum, \textit{Shrines}, 39-42. "People were accountable to Emitai for their deeds and were obligated to live in accordance with duties received from It. 'The burden that comes from Emitai is not heavy'" (Baum, \textit{Shrines}, 39). Thomas refutes the fact that the Diola \textit{Ata}, \textit{Ala Emit} or \textit{Emitai} was far from being a loving or caring deity (\textit{Les Diola}, 2:588-589).

\textsuperscript{287}Baum, \textit{Shrines}, 39. "Emitai's attempt to communicate with the Diola was Its bestowing of certain powers on individuals. These powers included the ability to 'see': to see spirits, witches, or far away events or to dream of events in the future, of spirits, or even of Emitai. 'No one can teach you to 'see'. . . Emitai shows you 'eyes' or It does not.' People with special mental powers could become messengers of Emitai or elders at important spirit shrines."
Curses and Prayers: The Quest for Divine Justice in the Hank (Community)

The Diola believe Ata, Ala Emit, or Emitai is transcendent as well as immanent in their kouhankahou, "communities." This supreme God created the world through the divine word, "Verbe." The created world includes humans, animals, spiritual beings, and spirit-shrines that affect human life. The spirit-shrines, wrongly labeled as "fetishes" by key western ethnographers, are sanctuaries with various socioreligious functions. As agrarian peoples, the Diola relegate the

---

Senghor, "The Spirit of Civilisation," 58-62; Thomas, Les Diola, 2.588. For an extensive discussion of the African understanding of the Word ("Verbe"), see Thomas et Luneau, terre africaine, 47-57; idem, Les religion d' Afrique Noire: Textes et traditions sacrés (Paris: Libraire Arthème Fayard, 1969), 5-15; Baum, Shrines, 39. This Diola cosmology parallels the first account of creation in Genesis, "God said, let there be light and there was light" (Gen 1:3). For the writer of Genesis 1, God's creative work, אֲרָא יִתֵּהָא אָרְא יִתֵּהָא אָרְא אָרְא אָרְא, "created /creates" (Gen 1:3; Isa 42:5-6), is expressed through speech. Central to Israel's speech about God is אָרְא--the verbal and semantic anchor that binds all other transformative verbs encapsulated in Israel's autobiographical rhetoric (Brueggemann, Theology, 145-212) and hermeneutics.

Baum, Shrines, 40-42. Thomas, Les Diola, 2.590-595, numbers about one hundred sanctuaries in respect of their function from various Diola subgroups, including that of my mother's group (Brin-Séléki). Linares, Power, 23-27.

distribution of goods and the implementation of divine justice to the sacred authority vested in the spirit-shrines/sanctuaries under the governance of Ata, Ala Emit. Baum posits:

Almost every economic activity of the community had a boekine associated with it, be it palm wine tapping, fishing, blacksmithing, or farming. Other shrines were important for healing, either as diagnostic shrines or for treating specific disorders. Others were concerned with the perennial problems of rain, crop fertility, and the fertility of women. There were several shrines associated with war, the well-being of the community, and township governance. Finally, there were ukine associated with extended family and the lineage.

The multivalency of spirit-shrines/sanctuaries is therefore a function of the various needs of Diola community members. A Diola may visit the sanctuary of a spirit-shrine, to pray for rain, purification, divine retribution on perpetrators of some wrongdoings, to confession, and thanksgiving. In a prayer recorded by Thomas and

---

291 Baum, Shrines, 43. According to Linares, some spirit shrines "are implicated in the regulation of land, labor and crops. In this role, they can answer prayers to bring rain and ensure abundant harvests for everyone" (Linares, Power, 24). In fact, "once a spirit-shrine is set up it should be taken care of regularly. Otherwise it can bring misfortune if it feels it is being ignored. A spirit-shrine may be brought into social prominence, may be neglected, transported to another area, duplicated sure place, or may even change form and function. The spirit-shrines can refuse to be reasoned with, cajoled, harnessed or pleaded to. . . their moods and behavior must be interpreted. This makes the authority of elders unavoidable and necessary" (Linares, Power, 25). For our purpose, this discussion will be limited to the prayers, curses and the quest for divine justice.

292 Thomas, Les Diola, 2.683-685. He provided a detailed discussion of the various rites that may land a worshiper at the sanctuary of a spirit-shrine. I reduce my discussion to the above mentioned due to the parallels I wish to draw between the Diola concept of divine justice and that of Gauls/Galatians discussed in my previous chapter. Baum has a more extensive and convincing approach to the various rites
René Leneau, a Diola worshiper thanks God this way: "To the One who owns heaven-You gave us rain-Thank you! May the guardian of rain be thanked! . . ."293 A prayer by a Diola-Dyiwat encapsulates the fundamental conviction about Diola belief in the supreme governance of Ata, Ala or Emit.294

As in the Graeco-Roman world, the Diola believe Ata or Ala Emit watches over their communities and punishes wrongdoers. That being the case, human calamities, droughts and illnesses are interpreted to mean divine punishment on some wrongdoings. Like the Gauls/Galatians in Lydia and Phrygia, perpetrators in Diola communities would either be inflicted with illness or persecuted in a dream or vision.

performed at the ukine of Kajinol and its role (Baum, Shrines, 42-48). See also Linares' treatment of the types of shrines she found in Sambujat (Power, 25).

293The above translation of the following prayer is mine. "Ata Emit, Tu nous a donné de la pluie, Sois loué! Que le Boekin Husila Soit loué! Que nos ancêtres qui nous ont donné la vie soient loués!" See Thomas et Luneau, Les Religions, 43.

294"To the One who owns heaven, Our Father, It is you who made us, As you made our ancestors, As you made the spirit-shrines, As you made everything that exists. We thank you. Give us Peace. Give us rain that would fertilize our rice fields, Give us many children, Who would honor you, And who would make us beautiful funerals. Give us the strength to cultivate. To the One who owns heaven, Our Father, You who, for us, have made the spirit shrines, That you would make them obey you. As we obey them. That our granaries be filled. That the wombs of our wives be fertile. That peace reigns among us. To the one who owns heaven, You are our Father, We thank you, We beseech you, for without you we would not exist." My translation from the French (Thomas et Luneau, Les Religions, 48-49). Another Diola worshiper prayed this way: "The One who owns heaven (God), Here is the rice That we are giving to you-Because you gave us your water, For you have compassion on us, And on our children" (Thomas et Luneau, Les Religions, 43).
This is how the divine administration of justice begins. Spirit-shrines, the *Busundun* "speaking shrines," are specialized in punishing custom violators with illness, protecting people from wrongdoers and warding off thieves.\(^{295}\)

For instance, to protect one's property from robbers, Girard relates, a peasant would get an *ewt*, "shell," from a spirit shrine filled with a mixture of sand and blood taken from the altar. The shell would be pierced, hung on a stick and planted in the middle of a rice field or hung on a property to ensure that wrongdoers would be stricken with illness and be forced to offer a public confession or suffer death.\(^{296}\)

Soon after the harvest,

\[l' \textit{ewt} \text{ sera rendu à } \textit{Busundun}. \text{ En remerciement, le paysan remettra au féticheur des poulets et des coqs à sacrifier ainsi que du vin de palme en vue d'une libation.}\]

\(^{295}\)Girard, *Genèse*, 58. Confession for wrongdoing in most Diola communities is a public event followed by "sacrifice and ritual of purification" (Baum, *Shrines*, 124, 123-124).

\(^{296}\)Girard, *Genèse*, 58. The *ewt* functions in a similar way to some *defixiones* or curse tablets used in the ancient world. In the Diola case however they are neither placed in tombs nor tombstones. Both Girard and Thomas rightly identify these spirit-shrines as speaking shrines and locate some of these in Floup and Diamat townships. In the Brin-Seleki townships, *Batonghay* is also a speaking spirit-shrine responsible for punishing murders and thefts (see Thomas, *Les Diola*, 2.590-609). Of all his negative critiques of Diola socioreligious traditions, he found the Diola judicial system inextricably linked to the Diola promise of obedience to the spirit-shrines. He concedes, "one cannot deny that the Diola judicial system, in spite of its character being fairly rudimentary, is at this point fully scrupulous" (Thomas, *Les Diola*, 1.296). My translation. Inflicting the culprit with illness recalls Chaniotis’ argument that the illness could be either "burning with fever" or "shame" (Chaniotis, "Illness and Cures," 7). See also Strubbe, "Cursed be he that moves my bones," 33-59. In the Diola case, lethal illness is not excluded as noted by Girard.
Le sacrifice est accompagné des mots suivants: "Merci, tu as bien gardé ce qui t'a été confié, voilà ta récompense." Puis l'ewt sera suspendu dans le Busundun en manière d'ex-voto.  

the shell would be returned to the speaking shrine. In thanksgiving, the peasant would hand to the priest chickens and roosters to sacrifice along with wine in view of a libation. The sacrifice would be followed by the following words: "Thank you, you have fully protected what has been entrusted to you, here is your recompense." Then, the shell would be hung inside the sanctuary of the speaking shrines as a votive offering.

Another way culprits may be forced to confess their wrongdoings, as in the epigraphic evidence from Anatolia, is through a divine theophany which compels the culprit to seek a consultation at a spirit shrine.  

A conscious or unconscious failure to perform appropriate rituals would also invite divine punishment as Baum convincingly posits:

A person who was seized with an illness that resisted ordinary cures would seek out a priest of one of the divinatory shrines or someone who had the power to see beneath the surface of things. At the divinatory shrine of Bruinkaw, the spirit was said to speak, and the priest merely translated for the supplicant, thereby revealing the cause of the illness. In other cases, the priest or elder of the shrine would delay an answer until a dream provided them with a cause of the illness; usually the priest identified the spirit that was involved, which lead to the victim's

---

297 Girard, Genèse, 57-58, points to the fact that some Diola visit a speaking shrine for mental illness or theft. I mentioned above that the Diola socioreligious system of expiation covers a broad range of many offenses. For a clear discussion of these offenses and expiatory provisions at spirit-shrines, see Baum, Shrines, 120-6; Thomas, Les Diola, 1.291-96; 2.684-88

298 BWK, 5, 11, 22. Steinleitner, Die Beicht, 76-82.

299 Thomas, Les Diola, 1.292. Spirit-shrines could make culprits ill or prosecute them in dreams to seek a purification.
confession of misdeeds or the shrine elders' accusations of wrongdoing against the victim of the illness.300

The process begins with a diagnosis aimed at revealing potential causes of illness—a procedure that ensures that the actual confession is efficacious. In fact, "the confession appears thus as an elimination procedure, while the humiliation that it provokes, especially when it is public, that is the case of gafusō of Séléki, is already an expiation."301

---

300 Baum, Shrines, 46. Following the diagnosis, an appropriate ritual would be prescribed. The spirit-shrines do not always accomplish the will of the worshiper—an indication that they cannot be manipulated. Needs mediated by shrine visitors are sometimes denied, even corporate ones. The following prayer illustrates such a reality. "To the rock, receive this water and honey. Listen to what the villagers are saying. Go before God and ask God to help me obtain water. Ask God for rain so that all the harvest—Be good and the land fertile. Let it rain! Make it so God will give us rain like I pray. All of the village has come behind me. In order that I be their intermediary between you and God. You are the shrine, I come before you to perform my libation. It is your task to go before God. This is all that I will say to you. It is for you to pardon me. Go to God, do not humiliate me before my people" (Girard, Genèse, 124). Elenkin means rock and is a metaphor applied to the spirit-shrine. Prayer to Emitai is for various needs is crucial in Diola community. Shrines do not all serve the same function in mediating the needs of the people. For instance, Linares, Power, 25, says that "some shrines are approached in case of a dispute between two or more individuals. Yet others punish those who have breached serious moral interdictions." The effectiveness of a shrine is where such prayers are offered. See also Baum, Shrines, 45-48 for more details on the various spirit-shrines throughout Esulalu townships.

301 Thomas, Les Diola, 2.686. My translation. He rightly points to the fact that there are many types of confessions in Diola communities for various crimes. Strikingly, his footnote refers to R. Pettazzoni's work on confession of sins. In these confessions, the power of words is noted and affirmed as a key agent in the expiation process and, I would add, the reconciliation between worshiper and deity.
The Role of the Priesthood

The priesthood mediates the divine will and justice to the people through the administration of rituals. Most western ethnographers, anthropologists and sociologists agree that Diola people live in a stateless society and would fight to the death to resist any centralized government to preserve their freedom. The Diola notion of freedom centers on their understanding of and experiences of Ata or Ala Emit in their hankahou (communities). For this reason, religion plays a crucial role, and the function of the priesthood is essential. The presence of the divine in Diola community is mediated through the community's gouessine or houkinn (shrines)

---

302 Louis-Vincent Thomas, "Les Diola D' antan: A Propos des Diola 'traditionnels' (1) de Basse-Casamance," in Comprendre la Casamance: Chronique d' une intégration contrastée (ed. Francois-George Barbier-Wiesser; Paris: Editions Karthala, 1994), 72-73, 71-95. It is interesting to read through Thomas' most recent work. Except for the word animist, he made an effort to use authentic Diola terms (see page 72) instead of foreign words that inaccurately describe the Diola and their society.

303 Roche, Histoire, 36. See also Thomas, Les Diola, 2.540, who previously affirmed that "true freedom," for the Diola, "does not exist," but now appears to affirm the Diola belief and exercise of freedom (Thomas, "Diola D' antan," 74).

304 Brigitte Albert-Barbier, "Le Coeur: L' histoire D' Adele D.," Comprendre la Casamance: Chronique d' une intégration contrastée (ed. Francois-George Barbier-Wiesser; Paris: Editions Karthala, 1994), 41-45. Although Albert-Barbier thinks recent Diola uprising against the government of Sénégal stems from their resistance to the French colonial, I would argue that Diola resistance is against any centralized government--a lifestyle as old as the Diola identity itself (see Andriamirado, "La guerre," 26-30). The recent Diola clashes however reflect Diola refusal to be colonized by any group of people regardless of whether they be Europeans or Africans. I will return to this topic in my concluding chapter.
under the administration of ouwasena/kouwasena "priests/priest-kings." The origin of some shrines is often traced back to the time of the first ancestors—an oral reconstruction that captures events that shaped Diola identity throughout generations.

Ata, Ala Emit or Emitai is mediated by priests or priest-kings whose role is to ensure the proper administration of rituals through which the divine is made accessible to community members. Spirit-shrines over which priest-kings preside are socioreligious, economic and therapeutic centers—a reality embedded in the above mentioned rituals and prayers. Some Diola priests-kings therefore interpreted, investigated, performed healing rituals, administrated oaths, related their visions to the people, and ensured that divine justice is enforced. This multivalent function was significantly destabilized by the colonial advent during which French missionaries

---

305 Roche, Histoire, 37. Thomas, "Les Diola d'Antan," 72. The oeyi "priest-king" is chosen by members of their community and renamed. He puts on red clothes, wears a red hat and carries a scepter symbolizing his throne. Except in the mediation of rituals, he lives in seclusion.

306 Girard, Genèse, 44; Roche, Histoire, 37-38.

307 Thomas, Les Diola, 2. 609-610. These spirit-shrines have various functions ranging from diagnostic, initiation, professional, household, ethical, "religious preservation" and therapeutic. These interrogations occurring at the sanctuaries of speaking spirit-shrines were carried out by priestly impersonations of Ata or Ala Emit. That being the case, this practice is pervasive in cultures other than those of the Graeco-Roman world in antiquity.
(the Holy Ghost Fathers) labeled their religious practices as satanic.\textsuperscript{308} As stated above, Diola \textit{hankakou} "communities" are marked by a strong sense of collectivism and egalitarianism regulated by religious rituals mediated by the \textit{Awasena}.\textsuperscript{309} Against French colonists, missionary and anthropological reports, the Diola believes in a universal \textit{Emitai}, "the one who owns the heavens," and the creator of all things. Religion for the Diola is a path that encompasses all dimensions of life and, therefore, is a lived experience.\textsuperscript{310} That being the case, what cultural and religious displacement has this caused to Diola people? To answer this question, a treatment of Diola clashes with the colonial French is necessary.

\textit{Prophecy of Resistance and Creation of an Alternative Hank "Community"}

\textit{Socioreligious and Economic Context}

Having discussed the role of the Diola priests/priest-kings in Diola communities, it is important to note that the French advent has somewhat altered the Diola socioreligious world. This was caused by French misunderstanding of the

\textsuperscript{308}Baum, "Emergence," 386.

\textsuperscript{309}\textit{Awasena} "the one who performs rituals," functions as the priest of the community in the same way the Druids mediated religious convictions to the Gauls.

\textsuperscript{310}Baum, \textit{Shrines}, 17, 36-38. In the Acts of the Apostles, followers of Jesus were know as \(\tau\eta\varsigma\,\delta\dot{o}\nu\bar{o}\,\bar{o}\nu\tau\alpha\varsigma\) "those of the Way/Path" (Acts 9:2). See also Acts 8:25; 19:9, 23; 22:4; 24:14, 22.
Diola socioreligious practices and language.\textsuperscript{311} Being the most fertile part of the country, Diola territory is known as the bread basket of the entire country. Rice has been and still is the main crop in this region--a fact recognized by most experts on Diola society.\textsuperscript{312}

For the Diola, rice is sacred because it is a divine gift that sustains life.

Originally, the Casamance was part of the Portuguese territory of Guinée Bissau and through political negotiations it was incorporated into the country of Sénégal.\textsuperscript{313}

In contrast to northerners who identify themselves as Senegalese, the Diola

\begin{quote}
\textsuperscript{311} Baum, "Emergence," 370-398. I am indebted to Baum's work for its unique grasp of Diola people. He discusses the rise of a Diola Christianity paying close attention to three eventful periods of contact with European missionaries. Idem, "Crimes of the Dream World," 201-228. The Diola language of soul eating in a spiritual dimension is understood in a literal sense by French colonists. This led to the trial and executions of many Diola people accused of cannibalism and witchcraft with no empirical evidence.

\textsuperscript{312} Olga F. Linares, "Shell Middens of the Lower Casamance and Protohistory," \textit{WAJA} 1 (1971): 23-54; idem, \textit{Power}, 17-23. In addition to farming rice, Baum, \textit{Shrines}, 28-34, notes farm animals such as "poultry, goats, pigs, cattle and sheep" in Esulalu society. Pigs, goats and sheep are often reserved for specific sacrifices or traded. Farm animals constitute a significant economic resource for the Diola.

\textsuperscript{313} Lambert, "Violence," 588. This piece of land was negotiated during the Berlin conference by the French. Whereas the Portuguese ceded the Casamance, the English refused to relinquish the Gambia to the French. The Gambian British enclave is a visible marker that allows the observer to see sociological differences between the north of Sénégal (hierarchical) and the South (egalitarian). The people of the Casamance maintain Lusophone characteristics to this day. The Casamance is not only the bread basket of Sénégal, it also has about a "billion barrels of unexploited oil reserve." The region was supposed to have been an independent French colony but after vigorous negotiations, it became part of the Senegalese colony.
eponymously call themselves the people of Casamance. For Léopold Sédar Senghor, recent crises in the region resulted from an extant problem that reaches back to the colonial era because French authorities "failed to officially recognize the independence of the region." \(^{315}\)

*Prophetic Resistance to French Colonial Rule*

The sociohistorical, religious and political dimension of Diola resistance to French colonial rule is associated with the prophetess Aline Sitoé of Kabrousse, Queen Sibeth of Siganar and Jiñaabo. Socioreligious and economic crises that

\(^{314}\) Lambert, "Violence," 587.

\(^{315}\) Lambert, "Violence," 589. Official documents supported the autonomy of the region. In fact, trade between the French and Casamance authorities was carried without Senegalese intermediaries. According to Lambert, after the Second World War, the Casamance was a Senegalese region "on paper, in practice the Casamance experienced a great deal of autonomy. In 1908, for example, the Casamance's Administrator Superior exercised powers equal to those of a Vice-governor. The scope of this control matched that of Senegal's highest colonial official." Abbé Diamacoune Senghor, who spearheaded the Casamance separatist movement, appealed to the region's quest for autonomy since the colonial advent. Besides Diola resistance to French colonial rule, the French residing in the Casamance sought the region's independence from French Sénégal (Lambert, "Violence," 590).

\(^{316}\) Girard, *Genèse*, 217-267; Roche, 40, 284-6.

\(^{317}\) Djibril Samb, *L' interprétation des rêves dans la région Sénégalienne: Suivie de la clef des songes de la Sénégalie, de l'Egypte pharaonique et de la tradition islamique* (Dakar, SN: Les Nouvelles Éditions Africaines du Senegal, 1975), 149. Aline Sitoé struggled with her dream and fled to Suzana in Guinée but frequent theophanies forced her to return to her native village to carry out her ministry. Sibeth's call was to conserve shrine traditions; namely customs were not to be
precipitated their ministries ranged from drought to colonial oppression and alienation of the "awasena 'path' or 'way'." Aline Sitoé Diatta emerged as a prophetess amidst these crises claiming to have been sent by Ata or Ala Emit who appeared to her in many dreams. When accused of leading an insurrection against French colonial authorities she answered: "I am [sic] nothing but a messenger of God who appeared to me many times. I am just transmitting the oracles of God." Striking is her claim to have been divinely sent with a message--an expression changed.

Roche, *Histoire*, 284-9. At a time when other Diola people were resigning to colonial dominance, the Diola of Seleki, Bayot fiercely rejected colonial rule and were, as a result, bombed time and again in vain. This happened from 1886 to 1906, before the birth of Aline Sitoé. They refused to pay taxes and fought to protect their rice fields. Two counties of Seleki, Baban and Baken, were known for their brave resistance under the leadership of the warrior par excellence: Jiñanaabo. The assassination of Jiñanaabo led to the defeat of the Diola of Bandial (Seleki, Enampour, Geuheul). My grandfather, grandmother and mother are all Diola of Seleki.

Waldman and Baum, "Innovation," 249. Some elders or priests were often accused and executed by French colonial administrators for being insurrectionists. In most agrarian Diola societies, livelihood and ritual life depends on good rain and harvest.

Samb, *L’interprétation*, 146. She was encountered by the divine at the Sandaga market place of Dakar in 1941. She was born about 1920.

Girard, *Genèse*, 226. My translation and emphasis. Girard records her response in third person address that she was "une envoyée de Dieu qui lui était apparu plusieurs fois' et qu'elle ne faisait que 'transmettre les directive qu' il lui dictait.'" See also Samb's rendition of the same archival quotation from Girard (Samb, *L’interprétation des rêves*, 144). A long illness left one of Aline Sitoé's legs paralyzed. This led many to speculate that her infirmity was divinely orchestrated to get her attention.
recalling eighth century B.C.E. Israelite prophetic self-understanding and the Pauline apostolic self-definition. She reinterpreted extant Diola traditional rituals of sacrifice emphasizing God's free and gracious gift bestowed on the people—a gift experienced through repentance and hope.

She insists that Baliba, "Dieu de miséricorde" ("merciful God"), shapes "a universal communion marked by divine grace—an inclusive communion devoid of ethnic, gender and religious distinctions. In contrast to written revelations, hers are

---

322 The word, τῆς (MT) and ἀπόστολος (LXX) in Isa 6:8 convey the same meaning—someone sent with an authoritative message. See "ἀπόστολος," LSJM 220; J.-A. Bühner, "ἀπόστολος," EDNT 1:142, refers to the writer of Hebrews who applies this term to Jesus Christ as one who is sent by God. This word is also Paul's preferred self-identification (see Gal 1:1; 1 Cor 1:1; 9:1-2; 15:9; 2 Cor 1:1). The cynic claims to be divinely sent (Epict. Gnom. 3.22-23). See also Herod. Hist. 1.21.

Although Aline Sitoé struggled to accept her call, she later functioned as Emitai's prophetess whose words significantly shaped her community in response to French colonization (Girard, Genèse, 218). Her claim also parallels Graeco-Roman colonial discourses previously discussed; and as a result, some Senegalese people refer to her as the "Senegalese Joan of Arc." Charles J. Sugnet, "Dances with Wolofs: A Conversation with Boubacar Boris Diop," Transition 10/3(2001): 139.

323 Girard, Genèse, 242. "l'allégeance de l'homme envers Dieu se manifeste à l'aide de formules rituelles symboliques et non plus au moyen de sacrifices et d'offrandes car l'intervention divine apparaît comme un don gratuit, comme l'accord généreux d'une grâce. L'humanité en bénéficie à la suite d'un acte de participation ouvert à tous effectué par une collectivité communiant dans le repentir et l'espérance." "Human allegiance to God 'Emitai' manifests itself through symbolic ritual formulas and not through sacrifices and offerings because divine intervention appears as a free gift like generous agreement grace. Humanity benefits from it by an act of participation open to all exercise by a collective communing in repentance and hope." My translation.

324 Girard, Genèse, 245.
continuous and her followers are assured of God's determination to restore their identities that have been shattered by the colonial advent. She calls for a communion that shapes community members, regardless of their ethnic and socioreligious backgrounds, into an alternative community like the one Paul imagined in Gal 2:11-14; 3:28.\(^{325}\)

As is customary of oral African cultures, the messages of Aline Sitoé were enshrined in memorable songs orally conveyed, heard and not written down.\(^{326}\) Her words were "performative utterances"\(^{327}\) that created and shaped a symbolic

\(^{325}\)Peoples such as Mankagne, Baynuk, Mandinka, and Wolof were welcomed regardless of their religious affiliations and ethnicity. See Samb, *L'interprétation*, 148.

\(^{326}\)Her songs were recorded by her opponents, namely French colonial administrators.

world within which some of the Diola sociohistorical, socioreligious and socioeconomic convictions would be maintained amidst crushing colonial pressures. Her followers and opponents heard the following message: "... God invites every living person in this world" and "To you God, we ask for forgiveness—And let our missteps go before you, Oh God! Forgive us... God will forgive us before we disperse from this place..." Whereas she encouraged her community members to cultivate Diola traditional crops and carefully manage their rice, she prohibited the farming of French rice and peanuts. She instructed Diola people not to enlist for military service or pay taxes.

Her *Kasila* or shrine calls for equality among peoples in such a way that "no distinctions were made between old and young, rich and poor, male and female." In summary, there are striking similarities between Diola people and the

---


330 Waldman and Baum, "Innovation," 251. Similarities between *Kasila* and Christianity are stressed by some commentators and Muslims (see Girard, *Genèse*, 240). She viewed planting the kind of rice and peanuts introduced by French authorities as a threat to Diola livelihood. She thought that the French colonists introduced one cash crop in order to monopolize Diola communities. Girard defines *Kasila* as "a gift without a counter gift" (241). For collected songs/messages of Aline Sitoé, see Girard, *Genèse*, 252-355.
Gauls/Galatians. Although the Gauls/Galatians and the Diola were negatively labeled as savages and barbarians by colonists, their courage in battle was also noted. Diola sociohistorical, socioreligious and socioeconomic worlds were regulated by the divine judicial system. For Diola people, nothing escapes the eyes of *Ata, Ala Emit*. Unlike Graeco-Roman gods who send colonists to displace others, Aline Sitoé's *Emitai* sends her with a message that creates and shapes a free alternative and inclusive community in spite of intense colonial alienation--an inclusive community that will live on under the aegis of *Emitai*. I argue that her message is a sociopostcolonial hermeneutics.
MODES OF COMMUNITY AND IDENTITY FORMATION:
AN EXEGESIS OF GALATIANS 2:11-14 AND 3:26-29

Conflict and Parting of Ways with an Enslaving Mission: Galatians 2:11-14

Now when Cephas came to Antioch, I withstood him to his face, because he stood self-condemned; for before certain people came from James, he used to eat with the Gentiles. But when they arrived, he drew back and kept himself separate, fearing those of the circumcision. And the other Judeans joined him in this hypocrisy, so that even Barnabas was led astray by their hypocrisy. But when I saw that they were not acting consistently with the truth of the gospel, I said to Cephas before them all, "If you, being a Jew, live like a Gentile and not like a Jew, how can you compel the Gentiles to become Judeans?"

Chapters two and three traced sociohistorical, socioreligious and sociopolitical dimensions of the Gauls/Galatians and the Diola. This chapter will undertake the exegesis of Galatians 2:11-14 and 3:26-29. Gal 2:11-14 however must be read in the context of Gal 1:11-2:14 with Gal 2:15-21 as its integral part and Gal 3:28 as its sociopolitical hermeneutical microcosm which Hans Dieter Betz


calls "a political slogan." Delving into the Pauline "irony" and "rebuke" argument, Nils A. Dahl reads Gal 2:11-14 and Gal 3:26-29 as micro-structures of Gal 1:6-4:11. Paul's rhetorical shift from the particle επιτα, "then" (Gal 1:18, 21; 2:1), to ὁτε ὅε, "when" (Gal 2:11), clearly alerts the reader to shifts in his gospel proclamation.

Determining the nature of the epistolary and rhetorical structures of the epistle has generated much debate since Betz's characterization of its language as forensic rhetoric, a genre consistent with Graeco-Roman epistolary and rhetorical conventions. Modified conclusions have since emerged ranging from forensic rhetoric to a "mixed rhetorical genre." Pauline multivalency is evident in his

---


incorporation of both Graeco-Roman literary conventions and features of Hebrew poetry. For our purpose, the Apostle Paul used these literary conventions as ἀπόστολος. . . διὰ Θεοῦ Χριστοῦ καὶ θεοῦ πατρὸς τοῦ ἐγείραντος αὐτοῦ ἐκ νεκρῶν, . . . " an apostle. . . through Jesus Christ and God the father who raised him from the dead," (Gal 1:1) to write the epistle to the Galatians. He received (παραλαμβάνω) the Good News "τό εἰσαγγέλλων," that he preached to the Galatians δι' ἀποκάλυψις Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ "through a revelation of Jesus Christ"--a message that justifies people διὰ πίσεως, "by faith." It is with this conviction on the central role of the nominal form, πίστις, occurs twenty one times [(1:23; 2:16, 20; 3:2, 5, 7-9, 11-]


Longenecker, Galatians, cxiv, offers a helpful discussion of Paul's use of both Graeco-Roman and Hebraic literary features in his rhetoric insisting that "Chiasmus was one of the rhetorical tools lying at hand for Paul's use. It probably reflects, in large measure, the parallelism membrorum of Jewish thought generally and Israel poetry in particular." John D. Harvey, Listening to the Text: Oral Patterning in Paul's Letters (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books, 1998), 61-118 and James D. G. Dunn, The Epistle to the Galatians (BNTC; Peabody, MA; Hendrickson Publishers, 1993), 20, reaches a similar conclusion. For detailed rhetorical structures of Galatians in recent years, see Betz, Galatians, 14-25; Longenecker, Galatians, cx-cxix; Harvey, Listening to the Text, 217-30, and pages 3-196 of The Galatians Debate.

The words Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ following πίστις are omitted in P⁴⁶, A, D², Ψ, 0278*, 33, 1739, . . . but they are recorded in Ξ, B, C, D¹, F, G, H, 81, 104 . . . . See textual apparatus in Nestle-Aland, Novum Testamentum Graece, 496. In Galatians, the nominal form, πίστις, occurs twenty one times [(1:23; 2:16, 20; 3:2, 5, 7-9, 11-}
of faith and his self-understanding as God's apostle that Paul engaged his contemporary Judeans, diaspora Judeans and the Gauls/Galatians in dialogue over what it meant to be God's free καυνή κτίσις, "new creation."

According to Josephus, diaspora Judeans of Antioch attracted and incorporated other people in their communities.

Τὸ γὰρ Ἰουδαῖων γένος πολὺ μὲν κατὰ πᾶσαν τὴν οἰκουμένην παρέσπαρται τοῖς ἐπιχορήγοις πλείστων δὲ τῇ Συρίᾳ κατὰ τὴν γειτνίασιν ἀναμεμιγμένων ἐξαιρέτως ἐπὶ τῆς Ἀντιοχείας ἦν πολὺ διὰ τὸ τῆς πόλεως μέγεθος μάλιστα δ᾿ αὐτοῖς ἀδεὰ τὴν ἑκεί κατοίκησιν οἱ μετ᾽ Ἀντίοχον βασιλεῖς παρέσχουν... 341

For, as the Jewish nation is widely dispersed over all the habitable earth among its inhabitants, so it is very much mixed with Syria, by reason of its neighbourhood, and had the greatest multitudes in Antioch, by reason of the size of the city, wherein the kings, after Antiochus, had afforded them a habitation with the most undisturbed tranquility. . . .

12, 14, 22-26; 5:5-6, 22; 6:10), the verbal form, πίστεύω, four times (2:7, 16; 3:6, 22) and one time (3:9) in the adjectival form, πίστος [John R. Kohlenberger III, Edward W. Goodrick and James A. Swanson, The Exhaustive Concordance to the Greek New Testament (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Publishing House, 1995), 4409, 4411-4412].

341Josephus, J.W. 7.43. The level of interaction between diaspora Judeans and Greeks is fascinating. Although Antiochus destroyed the Jerusalem Temple, he gave the spoils to diaspora Judeans who lived in Antioch and considered them as free and equal citizens "ἐξ ἦσον τῆς πόλεως" to Greeks (ibid., J.W. 7.44). Since Antiochus' successors continued the same practice, the mixed community grew as its Judean/Greek members attached (προσέγω) themselves to other Greeks, incorporating them into their thriving community (ibid., J.W. 7.45). This picture reflects the setting of Gal 2:11 which may have given rise to the complaint recorded in Acts 11:19-26.
Interestingly, Josephus uses the word ἀναμιμημένον\(^{342}\) to depict the social reality in social interactions between Judeans and non-Judeans in Antioch. As one might expect, Judeans and non-Judeans Christians associated as well—a social interaction some Judean Christians from Jerusalem interrupted. In verse 11, Paul asserts that Peter κατεγνωσμένος ἦν "was condemned" by his own deportment.\(^{343}\) The expression μετὰ τῶν ἑθνῶν συνήσθεν "he customarily ate with Gentiles" in verse 12 implies both regular meals and the Lord's Supper (compare Gal 2:11-14 with Acts 10:28 and 11:3).

In the Judean communities of Egypt, table-fellowships between Diaspora Judeans and non-Judeans was strongly debated as evidenced by the writer of the

*Letter of Aristeas* who advises his readers saying:

342The word "ἀναμιμημένος," *LEH*, 41, means "to mix up, to mix together" or "to be mixed with." Josephus uses ἀναμεμημένον--a participle in the perfect tense passive meaning mixed or "mixed together or with others." τε προσεγόμενοι ταῖς θρησκείαις πολύ πλήθος Ἑλλήνων κάκεινως τρόπῳ τινὶ μόριαν αὐτῶν πεποίητο "They also made proselytes of a great many of the Greeks perpetually, and thereby, after a sort, brought them to be a portion of their own body" (Josephus, *J.W.* 7.43. The term is also found in Esth 3:13.4 LXX and Josephus, *J.W.* 2.463).

343*LSJM,* "καταγιγνώσκω," 886. Against Ulrich Wilckens, "καταγιγνώσκω," *TDNT* 8.568, who thinks condemnation before God is in view here, Bruce, *Galatians*, 129, speaks of Paul being condemned by his own deportment. I concur with Wilckens that Peter's condemnation is before God whose gospel has the power to free and to condemn (Rom 1:1-18). Josephus notes, of the Essenes' avoidance of oaths, "ἡ δὲ γὰρ καταγιγνώσκοι φασιν τὸν ἀπιστούμενον δίχα θεοῦ "For they say that one who is not believed without swearing by God already stands condemned" (Josephus, *J.W.* 2.135). My translation.
Therefore our lawgiver, equipped by God for insight into all things, had scrutinized each particular, he fenced us about with impregnable palisades and with walls of iron, to the end that we should not mix with any of the other nations, remaining pure in body and in spirit, emancipated from vain opinions, revering the one and mighty God above the whole creation. . . Thus, to prevent our being perverted by contact with others or by mixing with bad influences, hedged us in on all sides with strict observance connected with meat and drink and touch and hearing and sight, after the manner of the Law.

Carl R. Holladay argues that while some Alexandrian Judeans were at home in their diaspora setting (Artapanus, Demetrius and Aristobulus), others emphasized their Judean identity (Ezekiel the Tragedian and Pseudo-Hecataeus) with a strong desire to return to their homeland. They were ethnocentric. The latter stress their ethnic identity expressed through their loyalty to "Torah and commitment to 'ancestral [laws] τὰ πάτραψα, Frg. 1.191') which take the form of openly resisting competing

344Aristeas to Philocrates, 139-42. My translation from Aristeas to Philocrates (Letter of Aristeas) (trans. and ed. Moses Hadas; New York: Harper & Brothers, 1951), 156 (Greek text). Citing Philo, Quod det. Pot. 4-7, Hadas thinks the writer's comments were counter "charges of separatism such as are adduced at Esther 3.8 and III Maccabees 3.4." Food laws enforcers were primarily concerned with promoting a spiritual worldview that would maintain socially separate identity. Those of the circumcision party certainly hold to this belief (Gal 2:12). The ψευδοδέλφοι ("false believers") could have been advocating a similar perspective (Gal 2:4).
forms of worship, even destroying non-Jewish temples and altars. Unlike Ezekiel, the Tragedian and Pseudo-Hecataeus, Paul does not seek to establish his identity ties with Palestinian Judeans. Citing Phil 3:20, Holladay insists Paul's home is heaven not Judea or the diaspora. Given the above, it is clear that Paul was in debate with

---

345 Carl R. Holladay, "Paul and His Predecessors in the Diaspora: Some Reflections on Ethnic Identity in the Fragmentary Hellenistic Jewish Authors," in Early Christianity and Classical Culture: Comparative Studies in Honor of Abraham J. Malherbe (SNT; ed. John T. Fitzgerald et als.; New York: Brill Academic Press, 2003), 442, 451-59; idem, Fragments from Hellenistic Jewish Authors (4 vols.; SBLTT; Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1983-96). Intermarriage between uncircumcised non-Judeans and Judeans is forbidden. Theodotus' work prohibits intermarriage between Shechemites the descendants of Jacob "πρὶν άν ἢ πάντας τοὺς οἰκονόμας τὰ Σίκυμα περιτεμνομένους Ἰουδαίας..." and those not requiring circumcision. He says about their need to be circumcised: "περιτεμνομένους αὐτοὺς ὅ `Ιακώβος ἣν γὰρ δέ θεμιτόν ἐκ τῶν Ἐβραίων ἄλλοθι ἕπει οὗτος τὸ ἀγίαμεν ποτί δόμα, ἄλλ' ὡστὶ γενεῆς ἐξεχεταί εἶναι ὁμοίης," "until all those living in Shechem became Judeans by being circumcised... and he says about their need to be circumcised: for indeed this very thing is prohibited for Hebrews to bring home sons-in-law and daughters-in-law from another place but only whoever boasts on being of the same race" [Theodotus, Frag. 4.10-20 in FHJA 2.116-17 (my translation)]. The term Ἰουδαίας used here is also found in Gal 2:14 and Esther 8:17 LXX. Holladay argues that the appearance of both περιτεμνομένω and Ἰουδαίας "in Esther 8:17 and Theodotus" suggests they "are used synonymously, both of these usages, if taken seriously as parallels, would require Ἰουδαίας in Gal 2:14 to be rendered not as 'live as a Judean,' but 'become a Judean,' that is, be circumcised" (Holladay, "Paul and His Predecessors," 460; idem, FHJA 2.68-70; Josephus, J.W. 2.454, 463). This is ethnocentrism. Holladay's discussion of Bezalel Bar-Kochva, Pseudo-Hecataeus, "On the Jews": Legitimizing the Jewish Diaspora (Berkeley: California University Press, 1996) is insightful. A fascinating treatment of Hecataeus in contrast to other diaspora Judeans in Egypt like Philo and those in Palestine is found in Bar-Kochva, Pseudo-Hecataeus, 168-302 and Doron Mendels, Identity, Religion and Historiography: Studies in Hellenistic History (JSPSup 24; ed. James H. Charlesworth and Lester L. Grabbe; Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1998), 324-350, 394-419. Frederick J. Murphy, Pseudo-Philo: Rewriting the Bible (New York: Oxford University Press, 1993), 60, 252, Pseudo-Philo was also ethnocentric.
diaspora Judeans in Egypt as well. Calvin J. Roetzel says

while Paul did not borrow directly from the Alexandrian community, that community provides a useful model, nevertheless, for understanding how Diaspora Jews balanced loyalty to the Jewish Torah, the temple and the land with attraction to the Hellenistic culture.\(^{346}\)

Roetzel finds an unresolved tension between universalism and particularism in both the Alexandrian and Pauline literature. The difference, he insists, is "one of degree and not of kind."\(^{347}\)

The author of \textit{Jubilees}, elsewhere in the diaspora, insists: "separate yourself from the non-Judeans, and do not eat with them, and do not perform deeds like theirs. And do not become associates of theirs. Because their deeds are defiled."\(^{348}\)

Elsewhere in the Persian empire, Esther prays, 
\[
\text{καὶ οὐκ ἐφαγεν ἥ δούλη σου}
\]


\(^{347}\)Roetzel, "\textit{Oikoumene}," 182.

\(^{348}\)\textit{Jub.} 22:16. The author went on to highlight other reasons for this separation such as unclean, polluting, and abominable practices, acts of sacrificing to the dead, idolatry, and ignorance (\textit{Jub.} 22:16-18). See James Charlesworth, ed., \textit{The Old Testament Pseudepigrapha: Expansions of the 'Old Testament' and Legends, Wisdom and Philosophical Literature, Prayers, Psalms and Odes, Fragments of Lost Judeo-Hellenistic Works} (ABRL; vol. 2; New York: Doubleday, 1985) and compare with Gal 2:12; Dan 1:8; 2 Macc 7:1.
"and your servant has not eaten at Haman's table, and I have not honored the king's feast or drunk the wine of libations." Clearly, while some of these texts are concerned with personal loyalty to food laws (Esth 14:17; Tob 1:11) and eating separately (Jdt 10:5; 12:2, 17-19), others call for a total separation from non-Judeans (Let. Aris. 142 and Jub. 22:16).350


349Esth 4:17.24 (LXX, ed. Rahlfs). She expresses her undivided loyalty to food laws in the same way Tobit did (Tob 1:11). Although Tobit says οἱ ὀδόξασα συμπόσιον βασιλέως οὐδὲ ἔπιον οἶνον σποιδῶν

350Philip F. Esler, Community, 80-3. In dialogue with Esler, Craig C. Hill agrees with Esler that the issue over table-fellowship lies on "Jewish obedience to food laws" [Craig Hill, Hellenists and Hebrews: Reappraising Division within the Earliest Church (Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 1992), 121]. His conclusion that the real issue has nothing to do with "mixed table-fellowship" is based on his evaluations of Judean texts such as Gen 43:32; Jub. 22:16; Add Esth 14:17; Tob 1:11; Jos. Asen. 7:1. Against Hill, to obey food laws inevitably leads to shunning mixed table-fellowship as was the case in both Antioch and probably Galatia. See also Esler, Galatians, 58-140. See Michael D. Goulder, Paul and the Competing Mission in Corinth (LPS; ed. Stanley Porter; Peabody MA: Hendrickson Publishers, 2001). He reduces early Christian missions into two: Petrine and Pauline--a reduction that ignores the various trends that constituted the earliest Churches [James D. G. Dunn, ed., Jews and Christians: The Parting of Ways A.D. 70-135 (Grand Rapids, MI: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1992); idem, Unity and Diversity in the New Testament (2nd ed.; Peabody, MA: Trinity International Press, 1990); Raymond E. Brown and John P. Meier, Antioch and Rome (New York: Paulist Press, 1982)].
and temple rituals, except Esther, offered various avenues of interactions between Judeans and foreigners.

Whereas associations between Judeans and non-Judeans did not abolish Judean practices, Luke's characters ("Jesus, Peter and Paul") exercised welcoming--a flexible and open sphere of existence where dialogue on "political/ethnic/theological choices" becomes a possibility.

Daniel and his fellow Judeans displaced by the Babylonian captivity in 587/6 B.C.E. were forced to face the question of their identity. Whereas the term ιουδαίζειν connotes to become a Judean (Gal 2:14), the word Χαλδαίκην stands for either a body of knowledge or ethnic group (Dan 1:4; 2:2-10; 3:8-12; 4:7; 5:7).

---


352 Balch, "Attitudes," 47.

353 BDB, "נבזז," 505. The young Judeans "were to be taught the literature and language of the Chaldeans" (Dan 1:4). From the perspective of the Senegalese experiences of colonialism, נבזז or Χαλδαίκην recalls the French policy of assimilation arrogantly called L'oeuvre civilisatrice by Michelet and Lesourd. Danna Nolan Fewell, Circle of Sovereignty: A Story of Stories in Daniel 1-6 (JSOTSup 72; ed. David J. A. Clines; England: Sheffield University Press, 1988), 33-43, rightly thinks "by assigning such a diet, Nebuchadnezzar imposes political allegiance"
The story suggests there was a concerted effort to turn the young Judeans into Chaldeans through education and eating, "ἐσθιόντας," the ration of the King (Dan 1:4, 8-16). Although Daniel did not resist education, he considered eating the king's food a violation of Judean dietary laws and therefore ritually defiling. His abstinence can be legitimated on the grounds of Lev 3:17; 11:1-47; 17:10-14. These diaspora stories would have influenced Judeans living under Rome's imperial rule.

Whereas Josephus assumes Judeans were united, he delineates philosophical differences between Pharisees, Sadducees, Essenes, and even describes "the fourth philosophy" as a distinctive group in Judaism. Josephus' account shows that Judaism was a dynamic phenomenon with competing voices. Peter could have been

(Daniel's objection has to do with ritual defilement). The aorist subjunctive passive συμμολυνομαι may be caused "to defile" or the hiphil ἐστί "caused to pollute" oneself ritually (see "συμμολυνω," LSJM, 1679 and "ἐστί," HALOT, 1542). "The captives are to be dependent upon him and indebted to him for their existence, not to mention their social success" (Fewell, Circle, 37). They "and were to be taught in the literature and the language of the Chaldeans" (scripture taken from BHS). Language and literature were key tools in the process of French colonization of Sénégal. For ιοῦδαις ἐπιλθομεν τῇ ἑλληνικῇ φράσει, see Josephus, J.W. 2.454, 463; Esth 8:17 compare with Gal 2:14.

In any case, Dan 10:3 indicates that Daniel compromised by relinquishing his convictions on dietary laws. This might be a reminder that cultic defilement, as far as displaced communities are concerned, cannot be avoided (Ez 4:13; Hos 9:3). According to the author of 2 Kgs 25:27-29, Jehoiachin ate from the king's table.

influenced by most of these traditions. The strong prohibition noted above was a socioreligious reality that might have given rise, by the time of Paul, to ὑπόκρισις, "playacting," on the part of Judeans who favored Gentile inclusion or exclusion. It is argued that Peter was simply enforcing the agreements reached during the Jerusalem conference regarding Gentile Christians (Acts 15:19-29). In any event, Peter progressively ὑπέστελλεν καὶ ἀφώριζεν ἑαυτόν, "was withdrawing and separating himself."

The use of the imperfect implies that Peter's withdrawal was progressive and, in light of his apostolic influence, the remaining Judean Christians συνυπεκρίθησαν αὐτῷ "joined his playacting" along with Barnabas. A fascinating parallel found in

---

356 Betz quotes the Kerygmata Petrou, 4 (see Betz, Galatians, 331), which appears to shed light into the conflict at Antioch from a Petrine perspective. It would make sense to identify the "enemy" with the Apostle Paul. This may be the reason the author of the Kerygmata Petrou has Peter asking James not to share his sermons with Gentiles and complaining that some Gentiles have given up his law-bound-gospel for "a lawless and absurd doctrine of the man who is my enemy." The author insists: "and indeed some have attempted, whilst I am still alive, to distort my words by interpretations of many sorts, as if I taught the dissolution of the law and, although I was of this opinion, did not express it openly. But that may God forbid. For to do such a thing means to act contrary to the law of God which was made known by Moses and was confirmed by our Lord in its everlasting continuance."

357 Betz, Galatians, 105-6.

358 My speculation is that the inceptive imperfect signaled that Peter's progressive withdrawal from table-fellowship could have been the result of much debate between James' emissaries and Paul. The context suggests the men from James never practiced table-fellowship with Gentiles, and it is conceivable that Peter was again (Acts 11:17) pressured to account for his association with Gentiles.
Epictetus illustrates this behavioral inconsistency.

Why, then, do you call yourself a stoic, why do you deceive the multitude, why do you act the part of a Jew, when you are a Greek? . . . For example, whenever we see a person halting between two faiths, we are in the habit of saying, "He is not a Jew, he is only acting the part," "ὑποκρίνεται." The reason that the majority of Christians sided with Peter lies probably in the authority vested on him as the lead Apostle to Judeans (Gal 2:7; Matt 16:16-19). As far as Paul is concerned, Peter ὀ镛 ὀρθοποδοῦσιν πρὸς τὴν ἀλήθειαν, "did not act rightly toward the truth" (Gal 2:14) by withdrawing from table-fellowship for fear of τῶν ἐκ περιτομῆς "those of the circumcision" (Gal 2:12). The arrival of James' emissaries affected Peter's deportment.

Whereas Luke paints Peter as one who is persuaded by the Spirit to go to Gentiles and not to make distinction [ἡδὲν διακρίνεται "without making any distinction" (Acts 11:12; 15:9)], Paul finds him easily swayed by people--echoing the idea that Peter was a human pleaser (πειράζω, ἀρέσκω Gal 1:10). Bruce J. Malina and Jerome H. Neyrey, Portraits of Paul: An Archaeology of Ancient Personality (Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 1996), 33-50, read Gal 1:12-2:14 through the lenses of Graeco-Roman encomia. Paul blames Peter as inferior for his insincerity to the gospel, and in the process praises himself as superior.

359 Epictetus, Diatr. 2.9.19-22. Epictetus is concerned with the consistency between faith and practice that distinguishes a true Christian from a false one (ibid., 2.9.13-22). Compare with Gal 2:13-14.

360 James D. G. Dunn, "The Incident at Antioch (Gal 2:11-18)," JSNT 18(1983): 9, 12-25. There was much pressure exerted on churches in Jerusalem and Antioch to conform to Judean practices. The ultimate goal was to uphold the "limits of Table-Fellowship in the Judaism of the Late Second Temple Period." In this case they were trying to enforce specific laws such as those governing foods (Lev 11:1-23; Deut 14:3-21) and oral traditions dealing with the Halakhoth. Bruce, Galatians, 132, rightly translates πρὸς "toward."
Polybius provides an interesting example that highlights inconsistent human behavior in the following famous assertion.

For all men are given to adapt themselves to the present and assume a character 'συνυποκρινόμενοι' suited to the times, so that from their words and actions it is difficult to judge of the principles of each, and in many cases the truth is quite overcast.  

Peter's behavior is so influential that it compels "ἀναγγέλεις" Gentiles Ἰουδαίες "to become Judeans"—an intolerable (Gal 2:11) action worthy of rebuke. Paul is shocked that Peter, who exercised table fellowship with non-Judeans has reverted back to the practice of Judean rituals of separation and thus οὐκ ὀρθοποδοῦσιν πρὸς τὴν ἀλήθειαν τοῦ εὐαγγελίου (2:14); ὀρθοποδοῦσιν  was acting straightly toward

---

361 Pol. Hist. 3.31.7 (trans. Paton, LCL).

362 Kohlenberger III, et al., "ὁρθοποδέω," ECGNT, 3974. This is a hapax legomenon. Betz insists that the difficulty of the expression οὐκ ὀρθοποδοῦσιν πρὸς τὴν ἀλήθειαν τοῦ εὐαγγελίου lies on the term ὀρθοποδέω (Galatians, 111). I am not sure if that is the case because the expression "to walk upright" could be a metaphor expressing behavior that echoes the Hebraic ethics. Contextually, to walk in line with the "truth of the gospel" means eating with non-Judeans, an act some of Paul's fellow Judeans would consider to be a violation of food laws and therefore customs. Joseph O. Holloway, PERIPATÊΩ as a Thematic Marker for Pauline Ethics (San Francisco: Mellen Research University Press, 1992), 27, 1-27, 94-106, offers an insightful discussion of the expression περιπατέω tracing its use in the Hebrew Bible, the Septuagint, Qumranian, Pseudepigraphic, Hellenistic and Pauline texts. A careful analysis of the term led to his conclusion that Paul uses περιπατέω as an ethical metaphor, whereas other Biblical writers use πορεύομαι instead to underscore ecstatic experiences. Paul's word choice is therefore influenced by his conviction that "the Christian life is not of the nature of an ecstatic heavenly ascent of the soul or has not yet reached the stage of its final ascension."
the truth of the gospel" (Gal 2:14). Instead, he ἀναγκάζει, "compels" Gentile Christians ίουδαίζειν, "to become Judeans," which, to Paul, is equivalent to propagating another gospel. As a result, he warns that his letter has divine power to enact a curse on anyone who dares to violate the truth of the gospel.\textsuperscript{364}

\textsuperscript{363} ἀναγκάζω recalls the Maccabean resistance to Hellenization. The authors of 2 Macc 6:1 and 4 Macc 5:2, 27 use ἀναγκάζω to highlight the fact that Judeans were compelled to abandon or violate their food laws. Josephus, \textit{J.W.} 1.34, Antiochus... ἴουδαίζειν ἵουδαίως καταλύσαντας τὰ πάτρια βρέφη τε αὐτῶν φυλάττειν ἀπερίτμητα καὶ σὺς ἐπιθύμειν τῷ βωμῷ... "compelled the Judeans to abandon the laws of their country, and to keep their infants uncircumcised, and to sacrifice swine's flesh upon the altar..." (See Rengstorf, "ἀναγκάζω, ἀνάγκη," \textit{CCFJ}, 1.83, 86). Like the verb form, the noun ἀνάγκη means "compelling force, affliction, oppression, ... torment, torture" or "coercion." As is evident in 2 Macc 7, Judeans were being compelled to Hellenize or be martyred. Commenting on Gal 2:11-14, S. A. Cummins, \textit{Paul and the Crucified Christ in Antioch: Maccabean Martyrdom and Galatians 1 and 2} (SNTSMS 114; New York: Cambridge University Press, 2001), 161, argues that Paul "stands in ironic relation to the Maccabean tradition as he now responds to Peter's movement in the direction of a rigorous Judaism by faithfully defending the truth of the gospel, namely, the outworking of God's grace in the martyred and exalted Jesus, Messiah and Son of God, and in the eschatological people of God together conformed to him." Paul, he argues, acts like Daniel except that Paul is now defending the way of Christ rather than Judaism (ibid., 162). In addition to food laws, Judeans avoid association with uncircumcised non-Judeans.

\textsuperscript{364} Betz, \textit{Galatians}, 321. Paul gives the impression that there were two competing traditions in the preaching of the gospel. The first is directly mediated by God through Jesus Christ--the true gospel the Galatians received by faith (is Pauline). The other is simply another gospel and to accept it would result in a curse, ἀνάθεμα ἔστω (Gal 1:9). Given the textual evidence, the latter gospel is the one his opponents were propagating. Strikingly, Anatolian \textit{defixiones}, curse tablets or Diola \textit{ewt} served a similar function. Some Anatolian confession inscriptions which record the powers of the gods being written down for public display used the term προγράφη (see \textit{BWK}, 15), Paul regards his epistle in the same way--something Galatians would have readily understood.
In fact, neither circumcision nor food laws are necessary for Judeans or non-Judeans. The unresolved conflict in Antioch over table fellowship happened in Galatia (Gal 2:11-14) and haunted Pauline ministries among Gentiles afterwards (Acts 15; Rom 15:20; Phil 3:2). As far as Paul was concerned, table-fellowship between Judeans and other people symbolizes the kind of community created by revelatory faith in Jesus Christ. Faith gave them a new identity, freed and transformed them from savage beasts, adherents of imperial cultus, to Christ-followers (Gal 3:28). They received this life changing religious experience through 

\[ \tau \varepsilon \nu \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \iota \nu \tau \varepsilon \nu \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \theta \nu, \]  "the good news announced" by Paul (Gal 1:11).

The Locus and Nature of a Freeing Mission: Galatians 3:26-29

26 for in Christ Jesus you are all children of God through faith.  27 As many of you as were baptized into Christ have clothed yourselves with Christ.  28 There is no

---

365Daniel Boyarin, *A Radical Jew: Paul and the Politics of Identity* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1994), 111. Gal 2:15-21. Paul uses the word φύσει (nature or "natural endowment," see "φύσις," BDAG, 1069-70) to refute ethnocentric argument that pervaded Judean self-definition. Most commentators consulted highlighted Judean ethnocentric binarism that reduced humanity into Judeans and Gentiles (Betz, *Galatians*, 11; Dunn, *Galatians*, 132-33; Martyn, *Galatians*, 248-9; Longenecker, *Galatians*, 83; Bruce, *Galatians*, 136-7) but all failed to see how Plato uses φύσει (nature) to divide humanity into Greek and barbarian (see Plato, *Menex.* 245d). Plato's point is that Greeks were the only pure ethnic. I will return to this passage in the next chapter.

366Betz, *Galatians*, 112. Against Betz, to think that Paul argues for a "total emancipation from Judaism" would be overdrawn. Rather, Paul was a Jew proud of his heritage (Rom 9:1-5; Phil 3:3-6).
longer Jew or Greek, there is no longer slave or free, there is no longer male and female; for all of you are one in Christ Jesus. 36 And if you belong to Christ, then you are Abraham’s seed, heirs according to the promise.

Betz places Gal 2:11-14 in the context of 1:11-2:21 and 3:26-29 in that of 3:1-4:31,367 while recognizing Gal 6:11-18 as the hermeneutical crux of Paul's thought.368 Aware of the linguistic significance of Gal 3:28, Wayne Meeks adduces that it has the "power to assist in shaping the symbolic universe by which" Anatolian Christians would be distinguished "from the ordinary 'world' of the larger society. A modern philosopher might call it a 'performative utterance.'"369 Galatians 3:28 is the

367Betz, "Literary Composition," 18-24; idem, Galatians, 19-22, 181.

368Betz, Galatians, 313-319, especially, page 313. Crucial to Betz’s observation is Paul's rhetoric enshrined in the following statements ὑπὸ τὰ στοιχεῖα τοῦ κόσμου ἡμεθα δεδομένοι "we were enslaved by the elemental spirits of the world" (Gal 4:3), ἐσταύρωμαι κάγω κόσμῳ, "I have been crucified to the world" (Gal 6:14) and οὔτε γὰρ περιτομή τί ἐστιν οὔτε ἀκροβυσσία ἀλλὰ καινὴ κτίσις "for neither circumcision nor uncircumcision is anything but a new creation" (Gal 6:15).

369Wayne A. Meeks, In Search of the Early Christians (ed. Allen R. Hilton and H. Gregory Snyder; New Haven: Yale University Press, 2002), 12. Betz quotes and elaborates on Meeks' assertion insisting that "there can be no doubt that Paul's statements have social and political implications of even a revolutionary dimension. . . These ideals include the abolition of the religious and social distinctions between Jews and Greeks, slaves and freemen, men and women. These social changes are claimed as part of redemption and as the result of the ecstatic experiences which the Galatians as well as other Christians have had. Being rescued from the present evil aeon (Gal 1:4) and being changed to a 'new creation' implies these radical social and political changes." The expression 'neither Jew nor Greek,' declares that in the Christian church the religious, cultural, and social distinctions between Jew and Greek are abolished" (Betz, Galatians, 190). Against Betz, in Gal 3:28 Paul is emphasizing equality between male and female before God. This applies to other identities as well [See Philip F. Esler, Conflict and Identity in Romans: The Social
sociopostcolonial hermeneutical microcosm of the entire epistle. Betz says the expression "'neither male nor female' is most likely a variation of the well-known Hellenistic political slogan 'Greek barbarians.'n370 This slogan powerfully "promises or proclaims the unity of mankind through the abolition of the cultural barriers separating Greeks and non-Greeks," and the Judeans adopted it, "correctly assuming that they themselves belong to the barbarians."n371

Striking formulaic pairs of opposites variably parallel to Gal 2:26-28 (οὐδὲ...οὐκ ἐνέ) are found in 1 Cor 12:13 (ἐντέ...ἐντέ) and Col 3:10-11 (οὐκ ἐνέ),372 and reading Gal 3:26-29 as a declaration of "the nonexistence of these opposites" and

370Betz, Galatians, 191. Rare in Biblical interpretation is the general consensus reached by key Pauline scholars who regard Gal 3:26-29 as a baptismal formula. See Ernest de Witt Burton, A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Epistle to the Galatians (ICC; Edinburgh: T & T Clark LTD., 1980), 203-10; Betz, Galatians, 181-201; Dunn, Galatians, 200-8; Bruce, Galatians, 183-91; Martyn, Galatians, 374-83; Lührmann, Galatians, 74-8.

371Betz, Galatians, 192.

"end of the cosmos" generated concerns in scholarly circles. At stake is how one should understand the Galatian pairs of opposites: 'Ἰουδαίος'/Ἑλλην, δοῦλος/ ἔλευθερος, ἢ Ἰουδαῖος ἢ Ἑλλήν ἢ δούλος ἢ ἄνδρας ἢ γυναῖκα. A closer look at these parallels evinces that the Gal 3:28 pair of opposites, Ἰουδαίος/Ἑλλην, are

372Martyn, Galatians, 376.

374Scroggs, "Eschatological Woman," 283-303, argues that "Paul knows that gender distinctions will remain but views all believers, in the eschatological community," as equals before God. In other words, Paul is not a chauvinist. In response to this reading, Elaine H. Pagels, "Paul and Women: A Response to Recent Discussion," JAAR 42/3(1974): 538-49, disagrees, charging that Paul contradicts himself by going against the same liberty he proclaimed when his converts actualized it.

375The variants from other parallels are also evident. Compare Ἰουδαίοι ἢ Ἑλληνες ἢ δούλοι ἢ ἄνδρες ἢ γυναίκαι "Judeans or Greeks, slaves or free " (1 Cor 12:13), with ὅπου οὐκ ἐν Ἡ Ἑλλην καὶ Ἰουδαῖος, περιτομή καὶ ἀκροβυτία, βάρβαρος, Σκύθης, δοῦλος, ἔλευθερος "is no longer Greek and Jew, circumcised and uncircumcised, barbarian, Scythian, slave and free" (Col 3:11). Troy W. Martin, "Scythian Perspective in Col 3:11," NT 37/3(July 1995): 250, argues that "racially, barbarians refers to the black race; Scythian to the white race." The pair of opposites, Barbarian and Scythian, recorded in Col 3:11 are "mutually exclusive categories" (ibid., 253). Cynics, he insists, trace their origin back to Scythians who regard non-Scythians as barbarians, even the Greeks. This binarism, Martin insists, is behind the Col 3:11 challenge that affirms that "Christ obliterates the barbarian/Scythian pair of divisive cultural categories" and other related pairs (Martin, "Scythian," 256).

Douglas A. Campbell, "The Scythian Perspective in Col 3:11: A Response to Troy Martin," NT 39/1(January 1997): 81-4, thinks Martin's reading is incorrect. By Campbell's estimation, barbarian is mutually linked to Scythian. The wandering Cynic's critique was leveled against Greek corruption. "Hence, the Scythian was an ideal antitype because of his very barbarity: the Scythians' lack of civilization was what made them morally superior in the eyes of the Cynic. . . . if 'Scythian' were to oppose 'barbarian,' the Cynic force of the slogan would be lost" (Campbell, "The Scythian Perspective," 83). I concur with Campbell against Martin.
repeated in 1 Cor 12:13 but reversed in Col 3:11 as "Ἐλλην καὶ Ἰουδαῖος, giving rise to unresolved debates over how they should be understood.376

The belief in male superiority to female was a widespread phenomenon in the ancient world. An example of this is found in Diogenes Laertius' inference from Hermippus' Lives where Socrates' followers reported to have heard him express thanks for three things: πρώτον μὲν ὅτι ἄνθρωπος ἐγενόμην καὶ οὐ θηρίων, εἶτα ὅτι ἄνήρ καὶ οὐ γυνῆ, τρίτον ὅτι "Ἐλλην καὶ οὐ βάρβαρος,"377 "first, that I was born a human and not a beast; next, that I was born a man and not a woman; third, a Greek and not a barbarian." Similarly, The Tosefta, Berakhot, 6.18 has A. R. Judah prescribing men to recite praises to the lord for having been made not "a gentile," "a boor" and "a woman."378

376Bouttier, "Complexio Oppositorum," 1-19, meticulously delved into the possible traditions that shaped this baptismal formula.

377Diogenes, Lives, 1.33c. M. Eugene Boring, Klaus Berger and Carsten Colpe, eds., Hellenistic Commentary to the New Testament (Nashville, TN: Abingdon Press, 1995), 467, think Plato's Symp. 189 d-e, is dealing with a mythological unity and equality between male and female as being a future eschatological phenomenon, whereas in Gal 3:28, "Colossians 2 and Ephesians 2 it is not the case that the unity is first attained at the eschaton, but is already present, charismatically caused." See also Meeks, In Search, 3-11.

378To bolster his hermeneutics, A. R. Judah appeals to Isa 40:17 LXX (πᾶν τὰ ἐθνη ὡς οὐδέν εἰσι καὶ εἰς οὐδὲν ἔλογίσθησαν; compare with Isa 40:17 MT כְּלַל תַּנִּים פָּנֵים נְמוּ נַפְּשׁוֹתֵןֶן וְהָרָצוֹנֶן) insisting that "a gentile," namely "all other peoples, are nothing." A "woman" is not obliged to exercise the commandments, and the "boor" does not fear sin." For negative views on women, see Sir. 25:15-26; t. Sabb. 33, 152; T. Reu. 5. The fact that other Rabbinic sources view women positively
Ps-Aristotle thinks "nature actually has a liking for opposites; perhaps it is from them that she creates harmony, and not from similar things, in just the same way τὸ ἄρρεν συνήγαγε πρὸς τὸ ἑλέ 'she has joined the male to the female." The Epicureans appeared to have been the only ones in antiquity to have exercised the equality between the sexes—an ideal proclaimed in the ancient world but hardly practiced. Against this background, Paul radically declares to his Gaul/Galatian


380Diogenes, *Lives*, 10:18-21. Meeks, *In Search*, 8-11, surveys philosophical schools, association and Hellenistic cults and concluded that they advocated the belief in equality between the sexes but failed to actualize such a conviction. The same can be said of Hellenized Judean writers like Philo (Philo, *Opif*, 151). For further discussion on the topic, see Meeks, *In Search*, 3-54. Although Meeks finds in Gen 1:27 a primeval androgyny, he thinks "the third pair of Galatians 3:28 has no connection with the immediate context nor with any of Paul's themes in Galatians." He however concedes that "only the first pair, Jew/Greek, is directly relevant to Paul's argument" (Meeks, *In Search*, 11-12). See Troy W. Martin, "The Covenant of Circumcision (Genesis 17:9-14) and the Situational Antitheses in Galatians 3:28," *JBL* 122/1(Spring 2003): 111-125, for more details. J. Albert Harrill, "Coming of Age Putting on Christ: The *Toga Virilis* Ceremony, Its Paraenesis, and Paul's Interpretation of Baptism in Galatians," *NT* XLIV/3(2002): 253, finds a parallel between Gal 3:28 and Graeco-Roman initiation rites of passage to adulthood.
converts that it is διὰ τῆς πίστεως ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ, "through faith in Christ Jesus" that all of them have become children of God (Gal 3:26). They have a new and unmediated status before God through their baptism and are entirely encompassed by the reality of Christ; this is precisely what is meant by "being in Christ." Galatians 3:28 describes baptism in regard to salvation history and its socio-political effects: "there is no longer Jew or Greek, there is no longer slave or free, there is no longer male or female; for all of you are one in Christ Jesus." The change of status granted in baptism includes a real transformation of social relationships. The first pair of contrasts is directed against the distinctions that divide all humanity into two classes: for Jews, the Jew/Gentile distinction; and for Greeks, the Greek/barbarian distinction.\(^{381}\)

Paul's statement regarding the irrelevance of pairs of opposites would have resonated among both Judeans and Greeks who were still enslaved by the στοιχεῖα τοῦ κόσμου "the elemental spirits of the world" (Gal 4:3, 9). Paul insists all Gauls/Galatians are έν Χριστῷ (one) in Christ and children of God because they ἐνδύσασθε, "clothed themselves," with Christ (Gal 3:27; 1 Cor 12:13).\(^{382}\) This daring baptismal rite counters and subverts ancient dichotomies exercised by humanity.

---


Udo Schnelle rightly posits that although the Old Testament laws concerning slavery can be considered relatively humane and, according to the sophists, the distinction between δουλος and ἐλευθερος is set by human culture instead of being a difference made by nature, this contrasting pair functioned among both Jews and Greeks. The third pair of contrasts, ἀρσεν καὶ θηλυκ (male and female; cf. Gen 1:27 LXX), likewise had fundamental significance for both Jews and Greeks because, in Jewish understanding, women were not fully capable of participating in the cult and Greek thought tended to follow the line emphasizing the superiority of men to women.  

Schnelle rightly terms freedom in Christ through baptism a "conquest" that subverts, through the guidance of the Holy Spirit, "the ancient fundamental alternatives," by shaping new community members into a "communion with Christ that not only opens eine neue Wirklichkeit of reality but indeed creates a new reality that equally includes the cognitive, emotional, and pragmatic dimensions of human existence." This way of picturing the new existence/creation in Christ is, I believe, Paul's Christocentric

---

*Schnelle, Paul, 291. In a close rereading of laws recorded in Exodus through Deuteronomy, John van Seters, A Law Book for the Diaspora: Revisions in the Study of the Covenant Code (New York: Oxford University Press, 2003), 84, notes that the Levitical Code agrees with Deuteronomy on provisions made for any indentured Israelite servants (compare Lev 25:39-46 with Deut 15:1-11). They are not to be sold as slaves but treated humanely. The Holiness Code however limits this principle to Israelites by further considering alien indentured servants as property to be "treated as slaves, that is harshly." For Plutarch, Mor. 112-13, mourning is a weak act done only by women and "barbarians." Curiously, Plutarch excludes Celts and Gauls/Galatians from barbarians, as manly and noble. See Boring, et als., Hellenistic Commentary, 466-71, for concise comments on ancient parallel texts to Gal 3:27-28.

sociopostcolonial hermeneutics orchestrated by the God who frees through the apocalyptic event of the cross of Christ (Gal 3:1-5). The baptismal ritual of putting on Jesus Christ (Gal 3:1, 10-13; 26-29) is central to Paul's identity and community formation.

Community and Identity Formation in Galatian and Diola Communities

In agreement with Berger and Thomas Luckmann, humans are continuously engaged in creating a world where meaning and order can be experienced. Although the conflict in Antioch was over table-fellowship, a consensus has yet to be reached over the identity of those to whom Paul directs his ire. Paul clearly refers to some as τίνας ἀπὸ Ἰακώβου (Gal 2:12) and others the ψευδαξιόντες (Gal 2:4).


386 J. Louis Martyn, Theological Issues in the Letters of Paul (Nashville, TN: Abingdon Press, 1997), 111-23; idem, "Galatians," 41. Paul reinterprets the Mosaic traditions and adapts them to the new creation of God as far as the new community of God in Galatia is concerned (Gal 3:16-28; 6:14-16). Members of the new community live by the spirit and the law of Christ which is ἀγάπη (See Schnelle, Paul, 293-5).


388 References to Paul's opponents range from "Jewish-Christian missionaries" (Betz, Galatians, 7), "Christian-Jewish missionaries" (Dunn, Galatians, 11), or "'Teachers' Christian-Jewish Evangelists" (Martyn, Galatians, 18). Mark D. Nanos, "The Inter-and Intra-Jewish Political Context of Paul's Letter to the Galatians," in The
Whoever they were, it is clear from Paul's argument that they disagreed with Paul on whether Gentile and Judean Christians should observe laws governing circumcision and foods (Gal 2:15-21; 3:2-22; 4:1-14; 20-31; Acts 15:1-2).

**Judean Identity Formation and non-Judean Inclusion**

Evidence from Graeco-Roman sources shows that the Judean aversion to eating pork was an issue they took seriously. For instance, Tacitus says Judeans "abstain from pork in memory of the disaster of the leprosy which had once defiled them, a disease to which that animal is subject."

Plutarch somewhat confirms this belief speculating that eating pork leads to leprosy, but Epictetus thinks eating pork is a debated issue among Greeks also, and it comes down to "whether the particular

---

*Galatians Debate* (ed. Mark D. Nanos; Peabody, MA: Hendrickson Publishers, 2002), 400-7, argues that they should not be called "opponents," "outsiders," or "missionaries" associated with the Jerusalem church. Rather, they were "influencers" and proselytes. The debate between Paul and the influencers was over Christ rather than the Torah. The influencers were persuading Paul's converts to be circumcised; otherwise, they will not be full covenant members (idem, *Irony*, 75-85; 203-7).

Against Nanos, Paul's opponents were Jewish Christians (based on Gal 1:11-2:21).

---

389Tacitus, *Hist.* 5.4.2-3. Tacitus also complains that Judeans hate people other than themselves and eat separately from everyone else (ibid., 5.1-2). For crucial information on various topics on Jew and Gentile relations in the Graeco-Roman world, see Louis Feldman and Meyer Reinhold, eds., *Jewish Life and Thought Among Greeks and Romans: Primary Readings* (Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 1996).

390Plutarch, *Festal Questions*, 4.4-5.3. In addition to leprosy, he also thinks Judeans abstain from eating pork simply because they honor pigs for having shown them how to cultivate.
act of eating swine's flesh is holy or unholy.\textsuperscript{391} Judean sources offer insightful clues on pork consumption. Josephus grudgingly says Apion, \textit{ἐγκαλεῖ γὰρ ὅτι ζῶη θύωμεν καὶ χοίρον οὐκ ἐσθίομεν καὶ τὴν τῶν αἰδών χλευάζετι περιτομῆν},\textsuperscript{392} "accuses us for sacrificing animals, and for abstaining from eating pork, and mocks at our reverence for circumcision." Sanders understands the problem over Judean and non-Judean associations as having to do with idolatry and eating pork.\textsuperscript{393}

As Balch observes, Judeans incorporations of non-Judeans includes both flexibility as well as stricture. Whereas John Hyrcanus \textit{ἐπέτρεψεν} (allowed) the Idumeans to dwell in the land in so far as they convert properly to Judaism,

\begin{quote}
Josephus, \textit{C. Ap.} 137b-37a. My translation. For relevant texts, see 2 Macc 7:1; 4 Macc 5:2, 6; 6:15.
\end{quote}

\begin{quote}
\textsuperscript{391}Epictetus, \textit{Diatr.} 1.22.4c; ibid., 1.11.12-13, hints that the act of eating pork was debated but he remains neutral on the issue. See also Petronius, \textit{Satyr. Frag.} 37; Strabo, \textit{Geogr.} 16.2.37; Juvenal, \textit{Sat.} 6.160.
\end{quote}

\begin{quote}
\textsuperscript{392}Josephus, \textit{C. Ap.} 137b-37a. My translation. For relevant texts, see 2 Macc 7:1; 4 Macc 5:2, 6; 6:15.
\end{quote}

\begin{quote}
\end{quote}

\begin{quote}
\textsuperscript{394}Josephus, \textit{Ant.} 13.257-8. John Hyrcanus \textit{ἐπέτρεψεν} (allowed) the Idumeans to remain in the land. . . \textit{εἰ περιτέμνοντο τὰ αἰδώλα καὶ τοῖς ᾿Ιουδαίοις νόμοις χρῆσασθαι θέλειν} "if they would submit to circumcision, and make use of the laws

Apion that Judeans had no desire to mix with non-Judeans; rather, they focused on educating their children, φυλάττειν τούς νόμους. . . εὐσέβειαν ἐργον,395 "to keep the laws. . . and rules of piety."

This appears to be the kind of legitimation of one's symbolic world that can lead to isolation, exclusion of others and pride in one's ethnic distinctiveness. Louis Feldman maintains that Judean proselytism/conversion did in fact occur among diaspora Judeans in great numbers and was a lively missionary activity from Talmudic time and well beyond the rise of Christianity.396 Feldman's conclusions are challenged by Martin Goodman and John M. Barclay who place active Judean proselytism during the Christian era as a response to the rise of Christianity.397

395 Josephus, C. Ap. 1.60. See also Philo, Leg. 293.

396 Louis H. Feldman, Jews and Gentiles in the Ancient World: Attitudes and Interactions from Alexander to Justinian (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1993), 288-382; he is also aware of ongoing Rabbinic debates on Jewish proselytism of Gentiles or conversion. For further discussions on Judean and Gentile relations, see Mendels, Identity, 13-34; 394-451.

Judean identity was a direct result of Roman interest in defining a practicing Judean for tax purposes after 96 AD. Although Goodman's point is well taken, Judean and non-Judean sources provide some evidence of the conversion of non-Judeans to Judaism well before the rise of Christianity.

In spite of the meager evidence of Judean proselytism before the rise of Christianity, one cannot deny that it happened, a fact Goodman does not dismiss

---

398 Martin Goodman, "fiscus Judaicus," *JRS* 79(1989): 40-44. I agree with Goodman on locating Jewish proselytizing of Gentiles during the Christian era as well as his insistence that, even then, it was not a full blown phenomenon.

399 Balch, "Attitudes," 46-47. Josephus reminds us that king Izates learned about Judaism when Ananias, a Jew, taught his wives to worship God according to Jewish tradition. The expression ἐδόθησαν αὐτῶν τὸν θεὸν σέβεσθαι "taught them to fear God" indicates that they were not full proselytes as was common in the Graeco-Roman world. However, when the king realizes that his mother admires the Jewish religion, he decided μετατρέψεται "to change," probably through circumcision, and became a full Jew (Josephus, *Ant.* 20.34, 38). Even though this kind of interaction exists, Judeans also separated themselves to avoid being polluted by aliens in order that they might observe their religious laws rigorously (Josephus, *J.W.* 2.488). How Judeans were viewed before, during and after the rise of Christianity in the Mediterranean world is mixed with disdain as well as admiration [see also Carolyn Osiek, "Romans 'Down the Pike': Glimpses from Later Years," in *Celebrating Romans: Template for Pauline Theology* (ed. Sheila E. McGinn; Grand Rapids, MI: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 2004), 149-61]. For an understanding of the dynamics and persistence of attitudes towards the law and Judean practices throughout the centuries from before and beyond the Christian era, see also Dunn, ed., *Paul and the Mosaic Law* and the writings of Judean and non-Judean authors. Petronius (frag., 37) says that some Gentiles sympathize with Jewish monotheism by abstaining either from eating pork or undergoing circumcision (Juvenal, *Sat.* 14.96-106), and Epictetus makes the same distinction (*Diatr.* 2.9.19-21). That some Gentiles observe dietary laws without becoming Judeans while others become Judeans through circumcision is attested by Philo (*QE.* 2.2) and Josephus (*Ant.* 14.110).
entirely. Ananias and Eleazar exemplify the competing views on the inclusion of non-Judeans. Whereas the former is flexible, the latter believes circumcision is imperative—a concern for Judean identity against colonial rule that often translates into rigid adherence to the Torah. From the convincing argument of Goodman and Barclay, it is conceivable that Peter, James and John were more flexible toward the inclusion of non-Judeans than the "false believers." The way Eleazar and the ψευδάδελφοι read scripture is inextricably linked to their mode of identity and community construction that required a rigid adherence to the halakoth.

_A Pauline Community and Identity Formation_

Anthropological perspectives on Paul describe him and his theology as liminal—a characterization that values his socioreligious experiences of Second Temple Judaism and Graeco-Roman imperial rule. The rise of Christianity and its subsequent transitions from Judaism into a separate group has been noted in the

---

400 Josephus, _Ant._ 20.34-48; 2.60; Acts 26:5. Eleazar is described by Josephus as being ἀκριβῆς "exact" or "strict" (see _LSJM_, 55). Josephus takes it for granted that all male Judeans were circumcised. Barclay, _Jews_, 181-191, offers an insightful discussion of diaspora appeal to textual Jewish traditions to resist imperial pressures that threatened their Jewish identity. For helpful contributions, see Paul Jewett, "The Agitators and the Galatian Congregation," _NTS_ 17(1970-71): 198-212.

401 See Asano, _Community-Identity_, 119-22.

introduction. This transition is facilitated by crucial rites that deeply influenced and shaped Christian self-definition in relation to its Judean roots and Graeco-Roman worlds. Arnold van Gennep says this self-defining process begins respectively with "the rites of separation from a previous world, preliminal rites, those executed during the transitional stage, liminal (or threshold) rites, and the ceremonies of incorporation into a new world, post-liminal rites." In each of these three stages, adherents introduce rituals or rites of passage that would affect and effect the process of self-definition to ensure its identity away from its traditional roots. This process is certainly evident in Galatians. Centuries old traditions (of observance of circumcision and food laws) τοῦς ἐκ περιτομῆς that


405 Theissen, Social Reality, 206-227. This self-definition is a mode of identity and community formation: Esler, Community and Gospel, 16-21; Watson, Paul, Judaism, 19-22; MacDonald, The Pauline Churches, 11-45.
"those of the circumcision" read, preach and enforce, Paul reinterprets and drops. He insists Jesus Christ whom God revealed "revealed" in him (Gal 1:16) was born of a woman and under the law" (Gal 4:4), "publically displayed as crucified," is the same one who ἐξηγόρασεν ἐκ τῆς κατάρας τοῦ


408 What Paul means by the word προγράφη is much debated. Lexical studies yield meanings respective of the context in which it has been used ranging from either "to write in advance or before" or "to set forth for public notice, show forth/portray publically, proclaim or placard in public" (see "προγράφη," BDAG ). Basil S. Davis, "The meaning of ΠΡΟΕΓΡΑΦΗ in the context of Galatians 3:1," NTS 45(1999): 194-212, especially in page 212, insists that the word stands for Paul's preaching in word
pictures that reflect his own embodiment of Christ, namely "Paul's display of the Crucified Christ." I am not sure how this would have persuaded his audience if all they saw were τὰ σημάτα τοῦ Ἰησοῦ, "the marks of Jesus," he bears in his body, as Davis states. A persuasive argument is made by Balch, "Paul's Portrait," 84-108, who links προγράφη to key icons that enshrined myths known to Gauls/Galatians. These myths are publically displayed in paintings and sculptures telling the stories of the deaths of the priest Laocoon, the Dying Gaul/Galatian, the Suicidal Gaul and his wife—points of connections that would have a vivid effect on how Gauls/Galatians understand the message of the cross. Προγράφη is also used by an Anatolian worshiper (BWK, 15)—a topic I will return to in my concluding chapter.

Gal 3:10-13; Deut 23:21. The idea of curse is introduced in Gal 2:17 where Paul argues that salvation happens to the individual through faith. He reads Genesis through Deuteronomy in the same way he does Hab 2:4 insisting only faith can save through faith. Israel failed to keep the law and is under its curse—a predicament only God can remove (LXX Deut 27:26; 28:58 compare with Gal 3:10-13). See Watson, Paul and the Hermeneutics, 78-126, 503-33. Interestingly ceremonial laws are not mentioned.

Martyn, Galatians, 393-408, considers Paul's language about the "elemental spirits" as intelligible to the Galatians (Gal 6:14-15; 3:28). In other words, Paul's baptismal formula explains how these pairs of opposites cease to divide people in the new creation initiated by the advent of Jesus Christ (Gal 4:1-5; Gal 3:28). For details on the elements, see Martyn's discussion of Aristotle, Metaphysics, 986. Surprisingly, Martyn fails to discuss Ps-Aristotle, On the Cosmos, 392a28-396b9—a text written probably during the first century B.C.E.
metaphorically characterized as τοὺς οἴκείους τῆς πίστεως, "those of the household of faith" (Gal 6:10), καὶ νὴ κτίσις, "a new creation" (Gal 6:15). The latter is frequently used by apocalyptic writers to speak of a "'new heavens and new earth' (Isa 65:17; 66:22; 1 Enoch 91:15; Rev 21:1; 2 Peter 3:13, Bib Ant. 3:10), 'renewed creation' (4 Ezra 7:75; 2 Apoc. Bar. 32:6; 57:2; Bib. Ant. 32:17; 16:3), 'renewal' (1QS4:25) and 'new world' (2 Apoc. Bar. 44:12)" [Edward Adams, Construction of the World: A Study in Paul's Cosmological Language (SNTW; ed. John G. Barclay ed.; Edinburgh: T & T Clark, 2000), 226].

The faith and freedom the Judean opponents of Paul promote is cloaked with what I call the soteriology of domination brokered by some commandments embedded in the law of Moses. For Paul, true faith and freedom belong to the new created community whose broker is Jesus Christ (Gal 3:1-29). I will return to this topic in my conclusion.

Paul, in effect, is constructing a world through the ongoing task of interpretation of scriptures to legitimize the new symbolic world to which his converts belong. This requires him to interact with his ancestral socioreligious cultural

---

411Whereas there is not scholarly consensus over the meaning of κόσμος and καὶ νὴ κτίσις, the latter is frequently used by apocalyptic writers to speak of a "'new heavens and new earth' (Isa 65:17; 66:22; 1 Enoch 91:15; Rev 21:1; 2 Peter 3:13, Bib Ant. 3:10), 'renewed creation' (4 Ezra 7:75; 2 Apoc. Bar. 32:6; 57:2; Bib. Ant. 32:17; 16:3), 'renewal' (1QS4:25) and 'new world' (2 Apoc. Bar. 44:12)" [Edward Adams, Construction of the World: A Study in Paul's Cosmological Language (SNTW; ed. John G. Barclay ed.; Edinburgh: T & T Clark, 2000), 226].

412The faith and freedom the Judean opponents of Paul promote is cloaked with what I call the soteriology of domination brokered by some commandments embedded in the law of Moses. For Paul, true faith and freedom belong to the new created community whose broker is Jesus Christ (Gal 3:1-29). I will return to this topic in my conclusion.

413Philip Esler, The First Christians in Their Social Worlds: Social-Scientific Approaches to New Testament Interpretation (New York: Routledge, 1994), 6-18, especially pages 7-12, building on Berger's conclusion, agreeably compares the rise of early Christian communities "with their distinct modes of organization, behaviour and symbolism," to Berger's notion of world construction of a symbolic world. He finds a clear illustration of this in the Matthean (citing Matt 5:20; 18:17-17) and Galatian communities (citing Gal 5:15, 26). For works influenced by Berger's theory, see
traditions in ongoing conversations and negotiations in order to create a new
socioreligious and cultural world for future generations. It is clear that Paul was
taken up with those who threatened to dissolve the symbolic communities' order that
his law-free-gospel had already established. Given the above analysis, one can now
draw the implications for Galatians.

The Good News being preached by Paul creates ἐλευθερία, "freedom," in
Galatia apart from παιδαγωγὸς "the tutor" (Gal 3:24) and from τὰ στοιχεῖα τοῦ
κόσμου "the elemental spirits" (Gal 4:3). The arrival of faith (πίστις) turned
Galatians into Christ-followers who are now under the guidance of the Holy Spirit
who defines their identity, and ensures their freedom as justified people. In
essence,

Paul's description of Abraham's procreative act of faith mirrors his self-
understanding as apostle to the Gentiles. Paul creates Abrahamic descendants not
through biological reproduction but through his preaching and teaching. He is a

Adams, Construction, 3-11; for more details, see Berger, Sacred Canopy, 4-17. See
also Alexander J. M. Wedderburn, Baptism and Resurrection: Studies in Pauline
Theology Against its Graeco-Roman Background (WUMT, 44; Tubingen: Mohr,
1987), 386-87, emphasizes the importance of the baptism formula in shaping the life
of the baptized as liminal and countering outside pressures.

414 Gen 15:6; 18:19, τὸ πρόγνωμα, δικαιοσύνη LXX compare with Gal
2:21; 3:6, 8, 11, 24; 5:4. The Galatians are justified by an event that turned them into
'Αβραάμ σπέρμα, "Abraham's children," and κατ' ἐπαγγελίαν κληρονόμοι, "heirs
according to the promise" (Gal 3:29). This makes Abraham a socioreligious and
sociopolitical figure—the anchor and founding ancestor from whose traditions all
identities are negotiated, forged and legitimated (this is certainly true for the three
major world religions: Judaism, Christianity and Islam).
verbal progenitor, struggling to "form" Christ in his gentile "children" (Gal 4:19; 1 Cor 4:14-15).\textsuperscript{415}

\textit{A Diola Community Formation}

As I previously mentioned, the male ritual (\textit{Bukut}) replaced \textit{Kahat} as a means of restoring Diola identity before the rise of the prophetess Aline Sitoé. There are striking similarities in self-understanding and community and identity construction between the apostle Paul and Aline Sitoé, the Diola prophetess. Diola people influenced by her mission say assuredly, "'Emitai spoke to her' or 'Emitai sent her.'"\textsuperscript{416} Emitai (with whom she had continuous communications involving "Emitai's coming to her as well as her journeying to Emitai") sent her to "establish the shrine of 'Kasila' . . . teach its 'charity,'"\textsuperscript{417} and show people "la voie du salut selon les


\textsuperscript{416}Waldman and Baum, "Innovation," 250. Girard, \textit{Genèse}, 226. She spoke of her mission as one being sent by Emitai in response to charges leveled against her by French colonial authorities. Like priest-kings/priests before her, she was considered a political insurrectionist for expressing her traditional Diola convictions (Waldman and Baum, "Innovation," 251).

\textsuperscript{417}Waldman and Baum, "Innovation," 250. Divine revelation comes to her through direct oneiric experiences but also mediated through her personal shrine: \textit{Houssahara}. Of these two modes of revelation, it is conceivable to say that her oneiric experiences can be likened unto that of a mystic. The language of journey echoes Paul's language in 2 Cor 12: 2, 4. Some modern scholars understand Paul as a mystic. See Albert Schweitzer, \textit{The Mysticism of Paul the Apostle} (trans. William Montgomery; New York: The Macmillan Company, 1956), 1-25, 376-96; Segal, \textit{Paul
enseignements divins." Love is the central concept of the Kasila ritual--an innovation that dissolved ethnic distinctions by creating a multiethnic and religious community under the aegis of Emitai. She introduced "a liturgy with prayers addressed directly to God that rendered the traditional monotheism more apparent." In contrast to older spirit-shrines, hers (Kasila) was thoroughly "public and egalitarian."

---

*Samb, L' interprétation, 146.* She was showing people "the path to salvation according to divine instructions." My translation.

*Girard, Genèse, 244-5, 329-56.* In spite of her confrontations with colonial authorities, three themes pervade her songs: rain, peace and well-being (ritual requires the sacrifice of a black bull). Adherents pray for rain which they believe would bring peace and well-being to their communities. *Samb, L' interprétation, 147-8.* The similarity of her newly introduced rite to older Awasena rituals is noted, but hers remains innovative in that it transcends ethnicity and religious henotheism. She devalued the role of the Awasena and dropped some rituals and sacrifices associated with individual shrines.

*Samb, L' interprétation, 148.* My translation. This is not just a "gestation of monotheism," as Girard, *Genèse, 242, 265-6,* states; rather it is as Samb points out, an empirical ritualization of monotheism. Against Samb, I would argue that the prophetess did in fact emphasize monotheism through her song. In Diola communities, performative words are integral features of ritual life.

*Waldman and Baum, "Innovation," 251.* Her mode of construction differs from that of French Catholic missionaries. As noted above, her community members are discouraged from planting French rice, and peanuts, and any trained person could perform Kasila rituals (in contrast to French "celibate male" clergy). Instead of written down revelations as in Christianity, hers "were ongoing, derived from Emitai’s direct intervention on behalf of the Diola communities of her day, and mediated by someone who came from their own community, spoke in their own language, and
She created a new community drawing from extant Diola socioreligious traditions. Against colonial odds, she spoke of Emitai as "the supreme" God who is directly involved in Diola communities.422 Her message was radically counter the French so-called civilizing mission--a subversive stance that led to her being called the "Jeanne d' Arc de la Casamance."423 It is therefore conceivable to view her as a countercolonist. Like the Apostle Paul, she was sent to create communities whose members share a common identity. Although the prophetess is not a Christian, the community she created included Christians, Muslims and people of other faiths.

In sum, Galatians 2:11-14 and 3:26-29 offer a good example of how the Apostle Paul created free communities based on his self-understanding as one sent by God. He did so with a sociopostcolonial hermeneutics that frees humans to live as children of God, to live in community as equals before God. Aline Sitoé defined shared their rituals and tribulations." A newly introduced reality is that anyone can serve in the newly introduced reality. For more prohibitions introduced by the prophetess, see Girard, Genèse, 218-9.

422Baum, Shrines, 24. Idem, "Emergence," 389. French colonial administrators accused her of being a political insurrectionist, and exiled her to Tambacounda where she died. Most of her followers were also imprisoned and dispersed. Although she lost the dialogue, her spiritual influence lives on among Diola people to this day. Girard, Genèse, 226-228.

423Girard, Genèse, 265. Diola receptivity to the Holy Ghost Fathers' literacy programs and medical assistance in the onset of colonialism (1880) dissolved in response to their derogatory labels and vilifications of Diola socioreligious traditions (Baum, "Emergence," 381-2).
herself as one commissioned by Emitai to found a *hank* ("community") of free people. Both spoke of receiving direct revelations for their missions from the same source: *God/Emitai*. I shall note however that unlike the prophetess, Paul talks about Jesus Christ revealed in him. Whereas Paul talks about "faith working through love" (Gal 5:6), as a key concept for life in community, Aline Sitoé talks about *charity/love* exercised under the aegis of Emitai. That being the case, I will now turn to my contributions to Pauline studies with my *sociopostcolonial hermeneutics*. 
A POSTCOLONIAL READING OF PAUL'S EPISTLE TO THE CHURCHES OF GALATIA: A CONCLUDING PROPOSAL

Divine Rationale for Colonization in Ancient and Modern Times

Greek Colonization

In the first chapter I described the Apostle Paul as a sociopostcolonial hermeneut who acted on his self-understanding as God's messenger to create/form, through faith in the cross of Christ, free communities. Building on Irad Malkin, Carol Dougherty, Walter Wilson, and Balch, I observed that Paul's self-definition strikingly shares common features with ancient Greek colonial lores. They enshrine Greek mythical explanations and legitimations of colonization by depicting the founder as ritually cleansed, by Apollo, from a political insurrectionist and murderer turned into a colonist and, I may add, a hermeneut. Apollo justifies colonization by transforming the political recusants or murderers into divine agents


through whom the deity colonizes. 426 Lurking behind this Greek self-understanding is a dangerous reduction of humanity into εἰλικρυνώς Ἐλλήνες "pure Greeks" and those who are φύσει βάρβαροι or μιξεόμελος barbarians 427 rooted in a "geocentrism replicated in ethnocentrism" that, in turn, justifies the "belief that my ethnic group represents normative human nature." 428

Surprisingly, the violence involved in the colonization process is often omitted in colonial lores. Dougherty says "the violence of conquering native populations is displaced onto the murder committed by the founder and thus is given, within the colonial tale, a ritual format in which it can be expiated." 429 This is a convenient way of discounting the humanity and presence of the would-be colonized.

426 Dougherty, Poetics, 32-33, 157, cites Callimachus, Hymn. Apoll. 55a, 55-7, who says Phoebus, (Apollo, the Ἀρχηγός, Κτίστης) Φοῖβος γὰρ ἀεὶ Πολιόσοι θηλασίως κτισόμενος "delights in creating cities and weaving their foundations." Plato, Laws, 865d-e.

427 Plato, Menex. 245d.

428 Malina and Neyrey, Portraits of Paul, 121, argue that ethnocentrism was a widespread phenomenon in the ancient world expressed in such a way that "to the Greek, Delphi was the navel of the universe (Agathemerus 1.2), a tradition celebrated by Pindar (Phyth. 4.74, 6.3), Aeschylus (Cho. 40, 166; Eum. 1036), and Plato (Rep. 4.427bc), and later by Strabo (Geogr. 9.3.6) and Pausanias (Descr. Greece 10.16.3). Archaeological investigations have uncovered a marble object there that is identified as the very navel itself. Alternately, Jerusalem served the same function for the Judeans. . . ."

429 Dougherty, Poetics, 158, cites Herod. Hist. 6.23; Strabo, Geogr. 14.1.4, who wrote about the brutality involved in clashes between colonizers and colonized.
Roman Colonization

The apogee of Rome over Greek kingdoms is interpreted in light of *deum electa* ("divine election") of the universal "fatherland of all races" in which Augustus Caesar, the *divi filius* "divine son" of Apollo, symbolized divine *Augus*

"power" and *praesens* "presence." He, too, was divinely sent not as a political

---

430 Pliny, *NH* 3.5.39-40; Polybius, *Hist.* 3.58-75, spoke of the entire known world being under Roman control. Pliny, *NH*, 2.189-90, thinks regions in which fire and dampness are well balanced determine human nature and physique. These cosmic elements shaped those who live in the central region of the earth turning them into superior people and apt to rule others. As a result, their customs are "molles, sensus liquidos, ingenia fecunda totiusque naturae capacis, isdem imperia, quae numquam extinis gentibus fuerint, sicut ne illae quidem his paruerrint avolsae ac pro immanitate natureae urguentis illas solitarias" ("gentle, senses elastic, intellects fertile and able to grasp the whole of nature; and they also have governments, which the outer races never have possessed, any more than they have ever been subject to the central races, being quite detached and solitary on account of the savagery of the nature that broods over those regions"). Pliny must have followed his predecessors. For instance, Ps-Aristotle, *Phys.*, 805a-809, describes humans and other creatures in relation to the right balance of cosmic elements (hot, cold, dry, and wet). See Plato, *Laws*, 5.747d; Aristotle, *Pol.*, 7.7, 1327. Ben Sirah believes Gentiles have ignorantly confused these elements for God (Wis 13:1-3). Malina and Neyrey, *Portraits of Paul*, discuss these texts in pages 100-52. Citing Rolf Winkes, "Physiognômonia: Probleme der Charakterinterpretation Römischer Porträts," *ANRW* 1.4. (1973a): 899-926; idem, "Physiognômonia: Probleme der Charakterinterpretation Römischer Porträts," *ANRW* 1.4. Tafeln (1973b): 217-42, on ancient cultural stereotypes, they argue that Pliny's ethnocentrism led to the "scientific" bolster of the "imperial policy of Rome and the myth underpinning it" (Malina and Neyrey, *Portraits of Paul*, 117).

insurrectionist or murderer but as a savior to bring peace and the good news to the world. By implication, this makes his empire/kingdom an agent of the gods to conquer, govern and Romanize the world.

As the Galatians would have experienced it, Roman imperialism/colonialism was carried out through humanitas/paideia or the policy for "receiving all nations." This policy was achieved through the repressive and deadly Pax Romana as Neil Elliott observes:


\[\text{Woolf, } \text{Becoming Roman, 48.}\]

\[\text{Balch, } \text{"Cultural Origin," 500. See Woolf, } \text{Becoming Roman, 54-5, for his further discussions of the Roman humanitas/paideia. See also John Dominic Crossan and Jonathan L. Reed, } \text{In Search of Paul: How Jesus' Apostle Opposed Rome's Empire with God's Kingdom (New York: HarperCollins Publishers, 2004), 183, who argue that Galatians received two letters with competing messages: "the Res Gestae Divi Augusti as that emperor's 'letter' to the Galatians" and "the Res Gestae Divi Christi, Paul's later and alternative letter to those same Galatians. Paul, of course, announced a different savior for a different world, a different salvation for a different earth, a different God and Son of God for a different creation. He proclaimed Jewish covenantal shalom against Roman imperial pax."}\]
the 'peace' that Rome secured through terror was maintained through terror, through slavery, fed by conquest and scrupulously maintained through constant intimidation, abuse, and violence; through the ritualized terror of gladiatorial games, where the human refuse of empire--captive of war, condemned criminals, slaves bought for the arena--were killed in stylized rehearsals of conquest, their fate decided by the whim of the empire's representatives; through the pomp of military processions, which often culminated in the execution of vanquished captives; . . . It was within this civilization of terror that crucifixion played its indispensable role.\textsuperscript{435}

Roman \textit{humanitas} was a practice restricted to the limits of the empire in such a way that "there were so many kinds of Romans to become that becoming Roman did not mean assimilating to an ideal type, but rather acquiring a position in the complex of structured differences in which Roman power resided."\textsuperscript{436}

\textit{French Colonization}

The French claim to be the descendants of the Gauls and missionaries responsible to carry out "la mission civilisatrice de la France."\textsuperscript{437} It is through this

\textsuperscript{435}Neil Elliott, "The Anti-Imperial Message of the Cross," in \textit{Paul and Empire: Religion and Power in Roman Imperial Society} (ed. Richard A. Horsley; Harrisburg, PA: Trinity Press International, 1997), 170-1. "The so-called Pax Romana, the cessation of 'hot' wars of expansion and competition among military rivals, was celebrated in rhetoric and ritual as a new golden age, the gift of the gods; but it was 'peace' won through military conquest, as Roman iconography clearly shows. The 'altar of the peace of Augustus' was placed on the Hill of Mars, god of war. Coins struck under Augustus link the armed and armored First Citizen with Pax, goddess of peace, trampling on the weapons of subdued enemies and Victoria, goddess of conquest, treading upon the globe itself" (page 169).

\textsuperscript{436}Woolf, \textit{Becoming Roman}, 245, 238-249.

\textsuperscript{437}Froelicher, \textit{Trois Colonisateur}s, 7. "France's civilizing mission."
rubric that France "would Frençize the other nations," because the French wants above all to imprint his personality on the vanquished. . . he believes that he can do nothing that would benefit the world more than to give it his ideas, customs, and ways of doing things. He will convert other peoples to these ways, sword in hand, and after the battle, in part smugly and in part sympathetic, he will reveal to them all that they gain by becoming French.\textsuperscript{439}

Michelet's francizing mission would be fused with Christianity as clearly expressed in the report of Gabriel Hanotaux to the Bonaparte First Consul in November 1802.

. . . The foreign Missions, even if they were regarded only as an instrument of civilization, would deserve special protection. What advantages they have gained for the governments which have seen fit to encourage them! The opportunities which the missionaries have had to set up establishment in the most distant regions have put them in a position to increase their country's commerce, to open up new lines of communication and to develop new sources of wealth. Missionaries have carried the glorious name of France to the ends of the earth, extended France's influence and built up links with people whose very existence was unknown. Missionaries have brought back with them, when they return home, valuable knowledge for the arts and sciences. Missionaries have increased our means of subsistence by naturalizing the products of other climates. Finally, it is missionaries that we must thank for teaching us the little-known art of traveling and the important art of gathering useful information.\textsuperscript{440}

\textsuperscript{438}Michelet, \textit{Autobiographie}, 220.

\textsuperscript{439}Michelet, \textit{Autobiographie}, 221. "Le Français veut surtout imprimer sa personnalité aux vaincus, non comme sienne, mais comme type du bon et du beau; c'est sa croyance naïve. Il croit, lui, qu'il ne peut rien faire de plus profitable au monde que de lui donner ses idées, ses moeurs et ses modes. Il y convertira les autres peuple l’ épée à la main, et après le combat, moitié fatuité, moitié sympathie, il leur exposera tout ce qu'ils gagnent à devenir Français." See also Lesourd, \textit{L'Oeuvre Civilisatrice}, 11, on the role of missionaries.

\textsuperscript{440}Gabriel Hanotaux, preface to \textit{L'Oeuvre Civilisatrice et Scientifiques des missionnaires Catholiques dans les colonies Françaises}, by Paul Lesourd (Paris: Sous le patronage du commissariat général de l'exposition coloniale internationale de
Hanotaux further refers to Catholic missionaries as "agents prédestinés de l'empire civilisateur" ("predestined agents of the civilizing empire"). Like Robert Cushman who appealed to ancestral Biblical accounts as a rationale for settling North America, G. G. Beslier cites Isaiah 35:1, 7 to justify the French colonial efforts in Sénégal. Geneviève Nemo says French missionary expansion and colonization

Paris, 1931), 7. "... Les mission étrangères ne fussent-elles considérées que comme moyen de civilisation, méritereraient une protection spéciale. Mais quels avantages n'ont-elles pas procurés aux Gouvernements qui on su les encourager! Les facilités qu'ont eues les missionnaires de former des établissements dans les centrees les plus lointaines, les ont mis à portée d'agrandir le commerce de leur pays, d'ouvrir de nouvelles de communications et de préparer la source de nouvelles richesses. Ce sont des missionnaires qui ont porté jusqu'aux extrémités du globe de la gloire du nom français, qui on étendu l'influence de la France et qui on donné de nouveaux rapports avec les peuple dont on ignorait l'existence. Ce sont des missionnaires qui on rapporté, en retouchant dans leurs foyers, des connaissance précieuses pour les arts et pour les sciences. Ce sont des missionnaires qui ont accru les moyens de notre subsistance en naturalisant parmi nous des productions nées sous un autre climat. Enfin, ce sont des missionnaires à qui nous sommes redevables de l'art si peu connu des voyages et de l'art si important de faire et de recueillir de bonnes observations." My translation.

441 Hanotaux, Preface, 8. As I previously mentioned, Delavignette, Christianity, 65, expressed his frustrations over this fusion of Christianity and colonization. He was not alone in contesting this collapse of Christianity into an Imperial ideology. Whereas some missionaries were advocating imperial policies, others believed they were "to win converts for Christianity."

442 Cushman, Historical Genealogy, 34, cites Gen xiii. 6, 11-12; xxxiv. 21; xli. 20, to justify the colonization of the Americas. Not only does he use scripture to legitimize colonization, he also thinks the laziness of the natives explains the reason why the land was unused.

443 Beslier, Le Sénégal, 93. "The wilderness and the dry land shall be glad, the desert shall rejoice and blossom; like the crocus. . . the burning sand shall become a
were so mixed that "christianisation, civilisation sont pratiquement synonymes."[^444]

Just as Hellenization and Romanization (*Pax Romana/humanitas*) were, *la mission civilisatrice* was barbaric because Diola villages were bombed time and again and most of the leaders who resisted French rule were killed or exiled.[^445] In sum, Greeks, Romans and French all appeal to the divine to justify their colonial endeavors. That being the case, a postcolonial hermeneutics cannot overlook these claims to divine mandate.

### Sociopostcolonial Hermeneutical Contributions

Political theologians heuristically revitalized the Enlightenment's functional critique of religion (*Freiheitsgeschichte* "freedom history"), which they considered analogous to the Pauline notion of Christian freedom (and thus, appropriate for the

[^444]: Nemo, *Mission et colonisation*, 171. "Christianization and civilization mixed in practice" (my translation). This work focuses on the work of the first female missionaries sent to Sénégal led by Mère Anne-Marie Javouhey the founder Sister of Saint Joseph De Clunny in Dakar, Sénégal (1819-1904).

[^445]: Roche, *Histoire*, 281-9, Seleki and Bayot were bombed by the French many times. See also Girard, *Genèse*, 214-28; Lambert, "Violence," 595. Becoming French was limited those who live or were born in the Quatre Communes (Four Communes) of Sénégal. The rest of the Senegalese population lived in the protectorate. Whereas the Four Communes dwellers were exempt from the indigénat (body of law applied to subjects), the entire Diola territory was in the protectorate (see Vaillant, *Black, French*, 34-63; Colvin, *Historical Dictionary*, 192).
critique of politics, law and socioreligious structures that dehumanize and reduce people into objects.\textsuperscript{446} They scrutinized socioreligious and theological doctrines in order to subvert their oppressive, alienating, ethnocentric effect and advocate their liberating, humanizing and faithful Christocentric "ortho-praxis."\textsuperscript{447} Unfortunately, the Christocentric orthopraxis of political hermeneutics is reduced by liberation hermeneutics to a mere construal of selective texts with deference solely to the economic freedom of the poor and oppressed.\textsuperscript{448}


\textsuperscript{448}James Cone, \textit{Black Theology and Black Power} (Minneapolis, MN: Seabury Press, 1969), 36, reacts against John S. Mbiti, "An African Views American Black Theology," in \textit{Black Theology: A Documentary History, 1966-1979} (eds. Gayraud S. Wilmore and James Cone; Maryknoll, NY: Orbis Books, 1979), who argues that the theology Cone promotes has little to say about African theology. Sugirtharajah, \textit{Postcolonial Criticism}, 103-116. Practitioners of liberation theology claiming to actualize the prophetic vision of deliverance end up saying little if anything about other religious perspectives, but focus on eliminating poverty. This reading of scripture advocates a hermeneutics of, rather than by, the underprivileged. The Bible is therefore reduced to a narrower canon that dismisses stories dealing with dreams and various types of healing as superstitious.
It is from this reduction of scripture that new promissory voices enshrined in the exercise of sociopostcolonial hermeneutics would arise. Postcoloniality is a sociohistorical, socioreligious, sociopolitical and socioeconomic condition in which the colonized find themselves—a distasteful world created for them against their will from which they assiduously seek to emancipate themselves. Postcolonialism is therefore a multivalent open-ended reading stance. Experiences of the reality of colonialism and its heavy consequences on the lives of Africans is the locus for a liberating sociopostcolonial biblical hermeneutics. The socioreligious and cultural

---


450 Homi Bhabha, The Location of Culture (New York: Routledge, 1994), 171. This multivalency, I would argue, enables postcolonial criticisms to "intervene in those theological discourses of modernity that attempt to give hegemonic 'normality' to the uneven development and the differential, often disadvantaged histories, of nations, race, communities, peoples." In effect, postcolonialism is a radical way of existence. For a provocative discussion of trends and trajectories in postcolonial studies, see Perdue, After the Collapse, 280-339.

traditions from which most Africans have been displaced\textsuperscript{452} can be effectively shaped by the Pauline notion of freedom in Christ.

\textit{Current African Postcolonial Voices}

Earlier, I lamented the lack of methodology in current postcolonial studies and the limited interactions with voices that paved the way for decolonization that can easily stifle its capacity to deliver. The writings of Senghor and Césaire and later Frantz Fanon reflect their deep conscious struggles against colonial alienation and assiduous attempts to decolonize Africans.\textsuperscript{453} Recent works, due to the

\textsuperscript{452}On the physical level, displacement can be voluntary or forced. Whereas some people, like myself, voluntarily migrate for intellectual or religious quests, others are forced to migrate due to political and social unrest. By displacement, I mean the repression of Africans in all dimensions of life wrought by the colonial advent and its aftermaths. European civilization was imposed on Africans thus stripping individuals of their cultural and spiritual convictions by generating a host of other kinds of displacements. See Daniel L. Smith-Christopher, \textit{A Biblical Theology of Exile} (OBT; Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2002), 28, for a concise discussion of colonial displacements.

\textsuperscript{453}Senghor, "Spirit of Civilisation," 52-4; idem, "What is 'Négritude?" 54-55; Césaire, \textit{Discourse}, 91-4; idem, \textit{Cahier}; They sought to free Africans from the negative typologies that enslaved most Africans mentally and altered their thought processes to think of themselves as inferior and subhuman. Clear examples of how typologies affected human psyche is evident in their writings. Fanon, \textit{Black Skin}, 147-8. "The black schoolboy in the Antilles, who in his lessons is forever talking about 'our ancestors, the Gauls,' identifies himself with the explorer, the bringer of civilization, the white man who carries truth to savages--an all white truth. . . As a schoolboy, I had many occasions to spend whole hours talking about the supposed custom of the savage Senegalese. . . Because the Antillean does not think of himself as a black man; he thinks of himself as an Antillean. The Negro lives in Africa. Subjectively, intellectually, the Antillean conducts himself like a white man. But he is
ineffectiveness of black theologians to persuade white hermeneuts of its effectiveness to free the oppressed, propose an ideological and theoretical break to ensure a genuine black liberation hermeneutics.\textsuperscript{454} Since the "people's reading of the Bible is framed by their history and culture," Mosala insists, a biblical hermeneutics of liberation must be indigenous.\textsuperscript{455} Unfortunately, most postcolonial biblical studies devote little time to strong biblical exegetical studies.\textsuperscript{456}

\textsuperscript{454}Mosala, \textit{Biblical Hermeneutics}, 4. Mosala situates this lack of effectiveness in an "ideological and theoretical enslavement to the biblical hermeneutics of the dominant theologies"--an enslavement that "often leads to the promotion of those theologies rather than black theologies."


Musa Dube argues that Western scholarship reads scripture in a way that legitimates imperialism. Following Kwesi Dickson, she believes Paul tromps the very freedom he championed among the Galatians in his unwillingness to accept their socioreligious convictions (Gal 4:8-11)—an exclusivism, she thinks, is inherent in the New Testament. She thus purposes to rid scripture of its colonizing effects, especially on women. Mercy Oduyoye holds similar convictions and defines theology as faith that seeks to understand and free the dignity of human life. She, too,
seeks to decolonize Biblical stories in order to retrieve their liberating power to help women resist the oppression and violence perpetrated against them. All these voices agree that biblical hermeneutics is contextual. Against Dube, I find crucial differences between Graeco-Roman authors and Paul in their divine legitimation for community and identity constructions.

I concur with Mosala that an ideological and theoretical break is necessary, but the African hermeneut should remain conversant with dominant theologies. Since the colonized experience history, economy and religion in a social milieu, I propose a shift in terminology from a postcolonial biblical hermeneutics to *sociopostcolonial biblical hermeneutics.*

---


460 The festivals Paul refers to in Gal 4:8-11 should not be read in isolation from the στοιχεῖαι τοῦ κόσμου (elemental spirits of the world) of Gal 4:3, 9. As a Jew, Paul does not exclude his Jewish traditions from being affected by στοιχεῖαι τοῦ κόσμου. They effected the binarism that culminates into colonizing, romanizing, judaizing and francizing ideologies Paul decolonizes from the minds of his readers. My critique is aimed at Dube's reading of Kwesi Dickson, *Uncompleted Mission: Christianity and Exclusivism* (Maryhall, NY: Orbis Books, 1991), 59-83, where the author addresses Paul's exclusion of Galatian socioreligious convictions. See Bruce, *Galatians,* 205.
Paul as a Countercolonist and Sociopostcolonial Hermeneut

The socioreligious convictions of Gauls/Galatians, especially the religious rites of purity and confession/reconciliation engraved in the inscriptions, made it easier for them to embrace Paul's message. Gauls/Galatians relied on the gods to pursue (ἐπιζήτεω) or discover (ἀναζήτεω) and punish (κολαζώ) culprits with a view to extracting public confessions for all sorts of wrongdoings. They employed curses to ward off tomb raiders, prayed for divine justice, and offer public and inscribed confessions as testimonies to the powers of the gods (sometimes with priestly mediation) for all community members to see. Paul also evokes a curse on anyone who would preach another gospel (Gal 1:8-9). To preach or accept another gospel is to violate the votive offering (Jesus Christ) publically displayed (Gal 3:1-2, 10-13,

---

461 For details on key confession inscriptions, see Steinleitner, Die Beicht; Petzl, Die Beichtinschriften; some are recorded by Herrmann, Tituli Asiae Minoris; Ricl, "CIG-4142," 35-43; idem, "Appeal to Divine Justice," 67-76. A ground breaking discussion of these confession inscriptions in relation to Galatians is found in Arnold, "I am Astonished," 429-49, and Elliott, Cutting Too Close, 58-93. Arnold, "I am Astonished," 438, rightly disagrees with Elliott insisting that Paul's opponents are in view here. As mentioned in my first chapter, Schnabel, "Divine Tyranny," 160-188, credits the rise of confession inscriptions as a rural Anatolian reaction to the Christian proselytism. Again, epigraphical evidence seems to point otherwise as Ricl has shown (Ricl, "Hosios kai Dikaios," 1-70; idem, "Appeal," 68).

26-29) which is the very source of their new identity. The term ἐλάβετε [you received (Gal 3:4)] suggests Galatians initially received the gospel preached by Paul (Gal 1:1-9)—a message aimed at decolonizing their minds from a negative self-understanding (beast-like warriors, unreliable and vanquished barbarians) to a new empowering self-definition as children of the God revealed in Jesus Christ (Gal 3:26-27). Paul's birth, mission and message are divinely orchestrated.  

Unlike Greek and Roman colonists who found colonies, Paul the countercolonist was sent to create not "colonies or cities but houses (Luke 10:5; 19:9; Acts 2:46; 8:3; 11:14; 16:15, 31; 18:8; 20:20) and churches (ἐκκλησίαι; Acts 8:3; 9:31; 15:3, 41; 20:28)." As Balch avers, "the early baptismal confession—'there is no longer Jew or Greek' (Gal 3:28a)—is an assertion from below: '[we] Jews [Asians, ..."

---


464 Balch, "ΜΕΤΑΒΟΛΗ ΠΟΛΙΤΕΙΩΝ," 163. Additionally, the founding of house-churches suggests a return to the basic family-community concept which, in Diola parlance, is called hank (community). Many Diola houses are constructed in such a way that different families live under the same roof. See also Wilson, "Urban Legends," 77-99, who draws crucial parallels between Graeco-Roman colonization and Luke's account of early Christian missions.
non-Europeans] are nor inferior!" In a world dominated by colonial repression and filled with enslaving, dehumanizing ideologies and typologies, God through Paul creates and purifies (Gal 3:26-28; 6:15) Gauls/Galatians turning them into τον Ἰσραήλ τοῦ θεοῦ "the Israel of God" (Gal 6:10-15).

As a persecutor of the church whom God purified, transformed and empowered into an apostolic hermeneut (Gal 1:13-16; 1 Cor 15:9b), Paul reconfigures his world by reinterpreting his former socioreligious and ethnocentric convictions (Gal 1:13-14, 23; 2:15). Jesus creates through Paul new and egalitarian communities (Gal 2:20-21) in which οὔτε περιτομή τι ἱερύει οὔτε ἀκροβυστία ἄλλα πίστες δι’ ἀγάπης ἐνεργομένης. To observe laws governing circumcision, foods

\[465\text{Balch, "ΜΕΤΑΒΟΛΗ ΠΟΛΙΤΕΙΩΝ," 153.}\]

\[466\text{"Neither circumcision nor uncircumcision is of any empowerment but faith working through love." My emphasis and translation.}\]

\[467\text{Elliott, Cutting Too Close, 12-13, 14, says Paul uses the mountain allegory to dissuade his converts from "being circumcised" because of his aversion to "the cult of the Mother of the Gods and an abhorrence of self-castration." Worshipers of the "mountain Mother" castrate themselves for her service--a practice "too similar" to the Jewish ritual of circumcision. According to Elliott, the law is not the issue but the Anatolian cult. Paul's hermeneutics in Galatians cannot be isolated from his reading of the Torah that paves the way to a hermeneutical dialogue with his converts over religious imperialism. Against Elliott, Paul's central concern lies on God's promise and the naming of the ancestors (Abraham, Hagar and Sarah) are illustrative. Dale B. Martin, review of Susan Elliott, Cutting too Close to Comfort: Paul's Letter to the Galatians in its Anatolian Cultic Context, CBQ 66(2004): 647-49, finds her use of a mountain as connecting Hagar and the Mother of the gods unlikely. See also Arnold, "I am Astonished," 431.}\]
and festivals in this new reality (Gal 2:11-14; 4:10-11) is πάλιν ἀνωθεν δούλευειν ["to be enslaved again" (Gal 4:9)].

The στοιχεία τοῦ χώσμου ["elemental spirits of the world" (Gal 4:3, 9)], the κόσμος ["world" (Gal 6:14)], and Paul himself are crucified in the cross of Jesus Christ--an apocalyptic event that introduced καινὴ κτίσις "a new creation" (Gal 6:15). This new experience freed and empowered him to proclaim the continuous power of the cross-event to crucify Graeco-Roman binarism that legitimate colonization, repression and derogatory labels, boundary markers of James' emissaries, and of the "false believers," and French claims to supremacy. Against Edward Adams, Paul was not constructing an exclusive Christian community, "separate from the Jewish community." Rather, as a diaspora Judean, Paul was debating some of his fellow diaspora and Palestinian Judeans insisting that the cross of Christ universalizes or internationalizes Judaism.

468 Adams, Constructing, 232.

469 Theissen, Social Reality, 202-87. Theissen argues that Christianity (daughter) evolved out of Judaism (mother) into a universal religion. In reaction to Theissen, Wolfgang Stegemann insists Christianity is not an abstract religion devoid of family and sociocultural realities. Taking his cues from Boyarin and Cohen, Stegemann insists that ethnicity was crucial to the rise of early Christianity. Kinship enshrined in ethno-religious debates marked the ministries of Jesus and Paul (Abram and Jesus). Although Stegemann's point is well taken, he fails to explain how Christianity would fare beyond the Graeco-Roman world. Wolfgang Stegemann, "The Emergence of God's New People: The Beginnings of Christianity Reconsidered," Annali di Storia dell' Esegesi 21/2(2004): 497-513; idem, "Is Christianity a Universalized Judaism? Some Questions Concerning Gerd Theissen's
It does so by faith through love shaping Christocentric people into *other-centered* people—a project through which Greeks, Romans, diaspora and Palestinian Judeans, French, Diola and other peoples would change the world. This way of creating communities does not *ethnocentrize* but contextualizes or inculturates the Christian message in such a way that

the table of the new age and world order where Jews and Gentiles, Greek and Barbarians, free and slave, male and female Goddesses and Giants ate together—it is a table where everybody is admitted but not everything is permitted. Both Self and Other must make peace with their former enemies, share food with those declared poison and pest for ages. It is a table where the poor belong and all children are fed, because they are all perceived as children of God. 470

Paul reminds the Gauls/Galatians that the Jerusalem Apostles agreed to his Gentile mission471—that he had to confront and shame Peter publically for his judaizing

---

470 Kahl, "Reading Galatians," 40.

471 Gal 2:2-10 hints that Paul's visit led to an intense dialogue over his propagation of a law-free-gospel among the Gentiles (expressions such as but Titus οὐδὲ... ἡναγκάσθη περιτιμηθῆναι... ὥραν ἐξέλευ τῇ ὑποταγῇ, "he was not compelled to be circumcised, ... we did not give in for a moment," indicate that the meeting turned into a confrontation). Many commentators reduce Titus' presence to a practical "test" (Bruce, *Galatians*, 111; Luhrmann, *Galatians*, 39. Dunn, *Galatians*, 91, simply thinks Titus may have been a helper and test). Whether Titus is circumcised or not is debated but most scholars concur that he was not [Betz, *Galatians*, 89; Dunn, *Galatians*, 96. See also Martyn, *Galatians*, 218, who draws a theological link between the false brothers and the teachers in Galatia. F. C. Burkitt, *Christian Beginnings* (London: University of London, 1924), 118, maintains Titus was circumcised]. Luke has James say μὴ παρενοχλεῖν τοῖς ἀπὸ τῶν ἑθνῶν ἐπιστρέφοις ἐπὶ τῶν θεόν "we should not annoy those from the Gentiles who are
actions that compromised table-fellowship in Galatia (Gal 2:11-14). Reasons for this failure include circumcision and "laws governing food or purity." Both Gal 2:11-14 and Acts 15 suggest that "at the time of the Jerusalem meeting the church of Antioch was ordering its common life on the assumption that law observance was a matter of indifference." 

This mode of community construction counters Graeco-Roman ethnocentrism and the divide between Judeans and non-Judeans [divisive symbolic worlds: Greek and Barbarian (civilized versus uncivilized) and Judeans versus non-Judeans (God turning to God"


Martyn, *Galatians*, 222.
and law versus Godless and lawless)].

Paul, a diaspora Judean (Gal 2:15; Phil 3:3-6; Acts 22:3) by birth (φυσικος), eats with Gauls/Galatian Christians (Gal 2:11-14), stresses their unity in Christ, calls them σπέρμα (seed) of Abraham and κληρονόμοι (heirs) according the promise (Gal 3:26-29) making him a countercolonist whose message is the Good News. Exercising this Good News turned the Gauls/Galatians into τὸν Ἰσραὴλ τοῦ θεοῦ ["the Israel of God" (Gal 6:16)]: καινὴ κτίσις (a new creation) indeed! This makes Paul a countercolonist hermeneut par excellence with a liberating sociopostcolonial message.

A Liberating Inculturation of the Biblical Message

Inculturation is a hermeneutical discourse and counterdiscourse elders exercised at the onset of their religious conflicts between Diola elders and the Holy Ghost Fathers. The poignancy of colonial influence in Sénégal lies heavily on its capacity to ensure a national cultural and religious displacement.

Prior to the

---

475 Kahl, "Reading Galatians," 29. She argues that Paul views the judaizing actions of Peter and Barnabas in Antioch "as a return to the law of the emperor. That is why he accuses Peter to live in a Gentilish, idolatrous way right at the moment when he has proven his Jewishness by separating from the Gentiles (Gal 2:14). What Paul 'sees' is that the apocalyptic order of the word peace revealed to him as new creation was replaced by the old imperial battle order again" (idem, 42). I would argue that Paul's ire is directed toward both Roman imperialism, the Judean law and any structural power that excludes people of any social group.

476 Cheikh Amidou Kane, L'aventure Ambiguë (France: Julliard, 1961), 65-66, describes the colonial advent as "étrange aube" (strange dawn) to which the African continent awakens. The French colonists were warriors and healers. "They were
ministry of Aline Sitoé, Diola leaders were bewildered over the origin and effectiveness of French suppressive power which they thought was unmatched by that of their spirit shrines.\textsuperscript{477} Initially (1880-1918), Diola elders welcomed the ministry of the Holy Ghost Fathers (medical, literacy programs, and their sensitivity to Diola socioreligious convictions and socioeconomic needs).\textsuperscript{478} However, literacy through catechism soon turned into a process of acculturation that negated the values of Diola socioreligious and cultural practices. Upon hearing catechumens say they were "being taught that the ukine were satanic and that many of their customs were evil,"

\textsuperscript{477}Robert M. Baum, "Shrines, Medicines, and the Strength of the Head: The Way of the Warrior among the Diola of Senegambia," \textit{Numen} 40/3 (1993), 287; idem, "Emergence," 372. French colonial pressures "challenged the efficacy of Diola institutions in all spheres of life. The imposition of colonial government, its policies of taxation, forced labour and military conscriptions all raised profound questions about the sources of power in the community. The origin of French power remained mysterious and was not really understood through Diola historical experience." Diola responses to French power ranged from conversion to Christianity, syncretism and reconversion.

\textsuperscript{478}Baum, "Emergence," 378-381. Most of the catechumens were raised by missionaries. Holy Ghost Fathers prayed for rain and healing in competition with elders and priest-kings. They sought to convince the populace that their medical exploits were divinely orchestrated. They learned national languages and communicated directly with nationals.
elders and priest-kings "withdrew their co-operation from mission programmes and began to resist the new teachings."\textsuperscript{479} Hence began the process of inculturation up to the ministry of Aline Sitoé (1940-42), whose religious resurgence "led a revitalization movement that led to a reaffirmation of Diola religion that has carried into post-colonial era."\textsuperscript{480}

Similarly, Diola priest-kings were engaged in a mythological struggle with European Christians, "who were trying through Christian Diola to substitute a foreign exclusivism for indigenous pluralism."\textsuperscript{481} The Diola \textit{hank /hankahou} (community/communities) \textit{Emitai} initiated through the ministry of Aline Sitoé were opened to all people regardless of their religious background, ethnicity, gender, and social status. To many Diola people, her ministry would have been seen as precursory to the Pauline egalitarian community (Gal 2:11-14; 3:28). Aline Sitoé, too, was involved in a mythological battle with European Christians through their Diola converts who

\textsuperscript{479}Baum, "Emergence," 380-1. Reading proficiency not only increased their chances for employment in urban settings, it led some of the catechumens to challenge the authority of priest-kings and elders. Missionaries began to make more demands on their converts to drop Diola socioreligious convictions. For instance, marriages between believers would have to be restricted to Christian rituals. In the subsequent period (1918-1945), missionaries became more stringent and determined to annihilate Diola socioreligious customs by marshaling efforts to label all Diola socioreligious world as savage, pagan and Satanic (Baum, "Emergence," 386-9).

\textsuperscript{480}Baum, "Shrines, Medicines," 288. She dropped the role of the warrior that was crucial during the armed resistance against French authorities.

\textsuperscript{481}Waldman and Baum, "Innovation," 269.
reinterpreted traditional view of Emitai through European ethnocentric Christian lenses.\footnote{Girard, \textit{Genèse}, 218. Aline Sitoé reinterpreted Diola traditions by instituting "un jour férié tous les cinq jours" ("sixth day of rest"), which Baum calls a Diola day of rest for the rice paddies as opposed to the Diola Christian Sabbath (Waldman and Baum, "Innovation," 270). She restructured Diola life in order to compete with emerging Christian Diola voices claiming their new found faith provided them with superior ways of relating to Emitai.}

She created an inclusive and egalitarian community—a mode of community construction that echoes Paul's countercolonizing and decolonizing message of liberation (Gal 2:11-21; 3:26-29). Against Justin S. Upkong, Dube is right that inculturation is an earlier movement of liberation\footnote{Musa Dube, "Villagizing, Globalizing, and Biblical Studies," \textit{Reading the Bible in the Global Village: Cape Town} (ed. Justin S. Upkong; Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 2002), 41-63; especially pages 49-59. Justin S. Upkong, ed., "Reading the Bible in a Global Village: Issues and Challenges from African Readings," \textit{Reading the Bible in the Global Village: Cape Town} (Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 2002), 9-39. African scholars are divided to this day on how liberation theology relates to African theology. Some find African American liberation, as practiced by African Americans and South Africans, narrow in perspective as mentioned above. For details on these serious debates, see Martey, \textit{African Theology}, 5-57, 95-137; Parratt, \textit{Reinventing Christianity}, 1-24, 137-62; Parratt, ed., \textit{A Reader}. Given their different concerns, inculturation and liberation theologies should be conversant in order to improve on their limitations.} that resisted the demotion of Diola socioreligious, historical, political, and economic realities. Inculturation, the common thread shared by Négritude, Pan-Africanism and the ministry of Aline Sitoé,
worked to reaffirm past African traditional customs as anything but barbaric.\textsuperscript{484} The Holy Ghost Fathers failed to capitalize on crucial parallels between Diola socioreligious systems and Christianity. As Baum noted,

\begin{quote}
Christian concepts of God and of community of saints have parallels in many African religions. Like Christianity, \emph{awasena} religion has sacraments of spiritual purification at birth and has rituals of confession and of offering prayers with wine. In their concept of the \emph{ooeyi} or priest-king, a man who is both sacred and slave, all-powerful yet a prisoner of his power, Diola converts could readily understand the sacrifice of Jesus as a way of securing divine favour for his people. Thus new adherents could see strong similarities between their old and new faiths and, while accepting a new religious authority, retain some sense of continuity with older views of their world.\textsuperscript{485}
\end{quote}


As noted above, the message of the gospel was initially received but conflicts began when the ethnocentrism of the French Holy Ghost Fathers turned it into another gospel, namely repressive, bad and derogatory news to Diola people. They despised what they perceived to be Diola Christian syncretism but as Lamin Sanneh quips, "syncretism is the term we use for the religion of those we don't like. No one calls himself or herself syncretistic!

To this day, Diola inculturation of the Christian message is still debated between Christian and non-Christian Diola over the observance of traditional rituals.

---

486 Baum, "Emergence," 386-9. The Diola were considered a people without religion. According to Mark, "Fetishers," 93-98, Europeans unleashed dehumanizing typologies characterizing the Diola as indolent, barbaric and morally depraved people. The prevalence of polygamous marriages and public nudity show how uncivilized they were compared to European culture. Baum, "Crimes of the Dream," 202-28, talks about Diola people being charged by French authorities with cannibalism and witchcraft. Some believed the rise of spiritual witchcraft and cannibalism polluted their communities to an extent that it was vulnerable to French powers. The confessions of culprits enacts their purification and reintegration. Since some accusations "arise out of personal vendettas"... Diola people often left culprits to be prosecuted and punished by Emitai (Baum, "Crimes of the Dream," 213).


Inculturation is a crucial hermeneutical tool that a Diola Postcolonial hermeneut can utilize in his/her reading or hearing of biblical texts because being in Christ is a socioreligious act. As Sanneh maintains,

indigenizing the faith meant decolonizing its theology . . . inculturated Christianity is not merely a sequel of discredited versions of the religion; it anticipates an emancipated society a situation for which local leadership is best suited.\textsuperscript{489}

That being the case, Paul is \textit{a sociopostcolonial hermeneut} and \textit{countercolonist par excellence} sent by Jesus Christ/God to create new and inclusive communities whose members are conscious of their new status and prerogatives as socioreligious beings. Could this be what it meant to become God's \textit{new creation} in Galatia as well as in Sénégal? In the Post WWII era, a new Diola clergy contextualized the gospel by giving voice to "Diola spiritual concerns."\textsuperscript{490} As argued earlier, Diola spiritual concerns are directly linked to the social, historical, political, and economic dimensions of Diola life.

In sum, I propose that Paul was a countercolonist whose message decolonizes by freeing the colonized to be conscious of their divine status as children of the promise (Gal 4:23, 28, 31), regardless of their cultural contexts, gender and social status (Gal 3:28). Such a message is implemented not through cultural

\textsuperscript{489}Sanneh, \textit{Whose Christianity}, 24-5.

\textsuperscript{490}Baum, "Emergence," 391.
transplantation as first colonial missionaries to Sénégal have done, but rather through an inculturation of the message of the cross. The above arguments bolster my thesis that the Apostle Paul was a countercolunist whose sociopostcolonial hermeneutics powerfully shapes and frees enslaved people with a view to creating new postcolonial communities in Christ. This makes him a subversive countercolunist.
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