SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Well-tempered Metadynamics Simulations Predict the Structural and Dynamic Properties of a Chiral 24-Atom Macrocycle in Solution

Riccardo Capelli,¹ Alexander J. Menke,² Hongjun Pan,³ Benjamin G. Janesko,² Eric E. Simanek^{2,*} and Giovanni M. Pavan^{1,4,*}

¹Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, 10129 Torino, Italy Email: <u>giovanni.pavan@polito.it</u> ²Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry, Texas Christian University, Fort Worth TX 76129 Email: <u>e.simanek@tcu.edu</u> ³Department of Chemistry, University of North Texas, Denton TX 76129

⁴Department of Innovative Technologies, University of Applied Sciences and Arts of Southern Switzerland, Polo Universitario Lugano, 6962 Lugano-Viganello, Switzerland

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. Computational SI
- II. Chart S1. Compounds described in the supporting material
- III. General Experimental Details
- IV. Experimental Details for Synthesis of Relevant Compounds
- V. Spectra
 - Figure S1. ¹H NMR spectrum of **G-G** in DMSO- d_6 Figure S2. ¹H NMR spectrum of **G-G** in D₂O Figure S3. Variable Temperature ¹H NMR spectrum of **G-G** in MeOD- d_4 Figure S4. Variable Temperature ¹H NMR spectrum of **G-G** in MeCN- d_3 Figure S5. ¹³C NMR spectrum of **G-G** in DMSO- d_6 Figure S6. COSY NMR spectrum of **G-G** in MeCN- d_3 at 65 °C Figure S7. COSY NMR spectrum of **G-G** in DMSO- d_6 Figure S8. The rOesy NMR spectrum of **G-G** in DMSO- d_6 Figure S9. HSQC NMR spectrum of **G-G** in DMSO- d_6 Figure S10. ¹H NMR spectrum of **3** in DMSO- d_6 Figure S11. ¹³C NMR spectrum of **3** in DMSO- d_6 Figure S12. ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** in DMSO- d_6 Figure S13. ¹³C NMR spectrum of **2** in DMSO- d_6



SECTION I – Computational Methods

S2

Figure S1: Time evolution of D1 and D2 (CVs) during the WT-MetaD simulation of G-G macrocycle in water (1st row), DMSO (2nd row), MeCN (3rd row), and MeOH (4th row). The diffusive behavior of the system in the CVs space corroborates the hypothesis

of convergence.



Figure S2: Time evolution of the G-G macrocycle in water FES during the WT-MetaD simulations in the last 30 ns projected along the single CVs D1 and D2. We can observe a substantial convergence in both the projections.



Figure S3: Convergence plot for NpT equilibration for all the 4 systems in analysis: water (top left), DMSO (top right), MeCN (bottom left), and MeOH (bottom right).

SECTION II

Chart S1. Compounds described in the supporting material



SECTION III – General Experimental Details

NMR Spectroscopy. Room temperature ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a 400 MHz Bruker Avance spectrometer. Chemical shifts for ¹H NMR spectra (in parts per million) referenced to a corresponding solvent resonance (e.g. DMSO-d₆, $\delta = 2.52$ ppm). ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra were recorded on the same 400 MHz Bruker spectrometer referenced to corresponding solvent resonance. All 2D spectra were taken on the 400 MHz Bruker Avance relative to corresponding solvent resonances. Low temperature spectra were acquired on a 500 MHz Varian NMR spectrometer at the University of North Texas in Denton. Identification of NMR signals are as follows: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, and m = multiplet. NMR solvents were deuterated and purchased as a bottle or ampule.

General Chemistry. Flash chromatography experiments were carried out on silica gel with a porosity of 60Å, particle size 50–63 μ m, surface area 500 – 600 m²/g, a bulk density of 0.4 g/mL and a pH range of 6.5 – 7.5. Dichloromethane/methanol was used as the eluent for chromatographic purification. Thin-layer chromatography experiments were carried out in sealed chambers and visualized with UV or submersion in ninhydrin (1.5g ninhydrin in 100mL of *n*-butanol and 3.0mL acetic acid) followed by heating. Excess solvents were removed via rotary evaporation on a Buchi Rotavapor RII with a Welch Self-Cleaning Dry Vacuum System. All workup and purification procedures were carried out with reagent-grade solvents under ambient atmosphere.

SECTION IV – Experimental Details

Synthesis of the glycine acid intermediate, 3. Intermediate **3** was prepared in one-pot by sequential addition to cyanuric chloride as illustrated and described below.



Cyanuric chloride (1.0 g, 5.4 mmol) was added rapidly as a solid to a stirring flask containing 25 mL of THF that was previously cooled to -10 °C using a dry ice and acetone bath. The temperature was maintained at -10 °C. Upon dissolution (which was immediate), a 25 mL solution of BOC-hydrazine (0.72 g, 5.4 mmol) in THF (0.2 M) was added dropwise over 2 minutes. Over the course of the addition, the solution turned a very pale yellow. After the addition was complete 5.4 mL of 1 M NaOH (5.4 mmol) was added over 1 minute via pipette. After 30 minutes, thin layer chromatography (1:9 MeOH:EtOAc) confirmed that a single product ($R_f = 0.7$) was observed using either short wave UV irradiation or using ninhydrin (yellow spot). At this time, the ice bath was removed, and the solution allowed to slowly warm to room temperature.

A solution of glycine (0.81 g, 10.8 mmol) in 10 mL H₂O and 10.8 mL of 1 M NaOH (required to dissolve the glycine) was added dropwise over 2 min while at room temperature. The reaction mixture was pH of 8 after the addition. After 1 hour, the solution measured pH 6 and another equivalent of 1 M NaOH was added to return to a pH of 8. The solution started a pale yellow and turned bronze in color. After 5 h, thin layer chromatography (1:9 MeOH:EtOAc) showed the starting material ($R_f = 0.7$) disappeared and a new spot at $R_f = 0.05$ appeared using both short wave UV irradiation or ninhydrin (yellow spot).

A 40% aqueous solution of dimethylamine (1.84 g, 16.3 mmol) was added dropwise over three minutes. Immediately following addition, the solution was measured to be pH 9. The reaction was stirred for another 3 h at room temperature. Thin layer chromatography (1:30:70 acetic acid:methanol:chloroform) showed a new spot at $R_f = 0.5$. The reaction was acidified to pH 4 and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. Dichloromethane and EtOAc was added to dissolve the organic-soluble product. Column chromatography (1:30:70 acetic acid:methanol:chloroform) showed yielded 25% pure material.

¹H NMR (DMSO-D₆, 400 MHz): 8.56 – 8.50 (m, 1H), 8.34 – 8.07 (m, 1H), 6.82 – 6.67 (m, 1H), 3.68 - 3.78 (m, 2H), 3.00 (s, 5H), 1.40 - 1.30 (m, 9H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (DMSO-D₆, 100 MHz): δ 173.1, 167.9, 166.0, 156.5, 43.4, 35.9, 28.6.

Synthesis of the monomer acetal, 2. Intermediate 2 was prepared as illustrated and described below.



Glycine acid (0.05 g, 0.15 mmol), diethoxypropyl amine (0.022 g, 0.15 mmol), and HOBT (0.025 g, 0.18 mmol) were added sequentially to a stirring solution of 1.5 mL DMF at room temperature. Subsequently, DIPEA (0.049 g, 0.38 mmol) and EDC.HCl (0.035 g, 0.18 mmol) were added separately, neat. After 3 hours, thin layer chromatography (1:9 MeOH:CH₂Cl₂)

confirmed the single spot starting material ($R_f = 0.25$) had evolved into new spots by short wave UV irradiation. A single spot ($R_f = 0.7$ in 1:19 MeOH:CH₂Cl₂) stained yellow with ninhydrin. ninhydrin. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and silica gel chromatography was performed using a solven gradient of 2.5% to 5% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂. This effort yielded 0.02 g (29%) of pure material.

¹H NMR (DMSO-D₆, 400 MHz): $\delta 8.55 - 8.51$ (m, 1H), 8.37 - 8.08 (m, 1H), 7.71 - 7.61 (m, 1H), 6.89 - 6.80 (m, 1H), 4.46 (m, 1H), 3.84 - 3.72 (m, 2H), 3.52 (m, 2H), 3.41 (m, 2H), 3.09 (q, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 3.00 (s, 6H), 1.64 (m, 2H), 1.40 - 1.24 (m, 9H), 1.09 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 6H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (DMSO-D₆, 100 MHz): δ 170.0, 167.6, 165.8, 155.7, 101.0, 79.0, 61.2, 44.5, 35.8, 35.1, 33.8, 28.6, 15.8.

<u>Macrocyclization to yield G-G</u>. The macrocycle was obtained by the procedure illustrated and described below.



Acetal 2 (20mg) was dissolved in 1 mL of CH_2Cl_2 in a 3 mL vial equipped with a mini stir bar. Trifluoroacetic acid (1 mL) was added over 1 minute via pipette. The vial cap was perched on top of the vial without tightening to allow for slow evaporation. Evaporation occurred over the course of 5 days. The dried residue was then analyzed by NMR. The reaction can be described as quantitative.

¹H NMR (DMSO-D₆, 400 MHz): δ 12.41 (s, 1H), 11.63 (s, 1H), 8.96 – 8.92 (t, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.86 – 7.83 (t, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (s, 1H), 4.01 – 4.00 (d, J = 6 Hz, 2H), 3.60 (m, 2H), 3.09 (s, 3H), 3.05 (s, 3H), 2.57 (m, 2H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (DMSO-D₆, 100 MHz): δ 171.6, 161.8, 154.2, 153.7, 148.1, 44.2, 36.9, 36.8, 33.7, 32.1.

¹H NMR (D₂O, 400 MHz): δ 6.58 (s, 1H), 3.21 – 3.07 (m, 2H), 2.82 (m, 2H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 1.81 (s, 2H).

¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 400 MHz): δ 11.68 (s, 1H), 7.48 – 7.47 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (m, 1H), 7.24 – 7.21 (t, J = 6 H, 1H), 4.08 (d, J = 6 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (broad s, 2H), 3.14 (s, 3H), 3.12 (s, 3H), 2.64 – 2.61 (m, 2H).

¹H NMR (MeOD, 400 MHz): δ 8.80 (m, 1H), 7.85 (m, 1H), 7.42 – 7.41 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (s, 2H), 3.77 (m, 2H), 3.19 (s, 3H), 3.13 (s, 3H), 2.67 – 2.64 (m, 2H).

SECTION V – Spectra

The spectra appear on the following pages.

S7

HNN 0.69 — 12.41 12 0.72 — 11.63 - Ţ 10 0.92 - 🖁 8 7.86 7.84 7.83 0.98 - ^QNH ⊳ 1.00 7.47 0 -т <mark>И</mark>И Ť 6 TЪ ω СNH H т QЙН DM/ ⊂4.01 4.00 2.30 4 2.09 - 3.60 **-** 0 DMA <u>3.09</u> 3.05 2.96 2.18 ω 2.57 [ppm] 0.19 0.6 0.2 0.4 0.8 [rel] - 0.0

Figure S3. ¹H NMR spectrum of G-G in DMSO-*d*₆.







Figure S5. Variable Temperature ¹H NMR spectra of G-G in MeOD.



Figure S6. Variable Temperature ¹H NMR spectrum of G-G in MeCN-*d*₃.



Figure S7. ¹³C NMR spectrum of G-G in DMSO-*d*₆.





Figure S9. COSY NMR spectrum of G-G in MeCN-*d*₃ at 65 °C.





Figure S10. The rOesy NMR spectrum of G-G in DMSO-*d*₆.



Figure S11. HSQC NMR spectrum of G-G in DMSO-*d*₆.

Figure S12. ¹**H NMR spectrum of 2 in DMSO-***d*₆**.** Note the existence of multiple resonances for most signals due to the presence of rotational isomers resulting from the hindered rotation about the triazine-N bond.



Figure S13. ¹³C **NMR spectrum of 2 in DMSO-***d*₆**.** The existence of rotational isomers resulting from the hindered rotation about the triazine-N bond preclude facile identification of triazine carbons which appear as broad 'lumps' and leads to multiple resonances for others.



Figure S14. ¹**H NMR spectrum of 3 in in DMSO-***d*₆**.** Note the existence of multiple resonances for most signals due to the presence of rotational isomers resulting from the hindered rotation about the triazine-N bond.



Figure S15. ¹³C **NMR spectrum of 3 in DMSO-***d*₆. The existence of rotational isomers resulting from the hindered rotation about the triazine-N bond preclude facile identification of triazine carbons which appear as broad and leads to multiple resonances for these carbons and others.



References

- Gaussian 16, Revision C.01, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2019.
- [2] J.-D. Chai and M. Head-Gordon, "Long-range corrected hybrid density functionals with damped atom-atom dispersion corrections," Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 10 (2008) 6615-20. DOI: 10.1039/B810189B
- [3] (a) R. Ditchfield, W. J. Hehre, and J. A. Pople, "Self-Consistent Molecular Orbital Methods.
 9. Extended Gaussian-type basis for molecular-orbital studies of organic molecules," J. Chem. Phys., 54 (1971) 724. DOI: 10.1063/1.1674902; (b) W. J. Hehre, R. Ditchfield, and J. A. Pople, "Self-Consistent Molecular Orbital Methods. 12. Further extensions of Gaussian-type basis sets for use in molecular-orbital studies of organic-molecules," J. Chem. Phys., 56 (1972) 2257. DOI: 10.1063/1.1677527; (c) P. C. Hariharan and J. A. Pople, "Accuracy of AH equilibrium geometries by single determinant molecular-orbital theory," Mol. Phys., 27 (1974) 209-14. DOI: 10.1080/00268977400100171; (d) T. Clark, J. Chandrasekhar, G. W. Spitznagel, and P. v. R. Schleyer, "Efficient diffuse function-augmented basis-sets for anion calculations. 3. The 3-21+G basis set for 1st-row elements, Li-F," J. Comp. Chem., 4 (1983) 294-301. DOI: 10.1002/jcc.540040303; (e) M. J. Frisch, J. A. Pople, and J. S. Binkley, "Self-Consistent Molecular Orbital Methods. 25. Supplementary Functions for Gaussian Basis Sets," J. Chem. Phys., 80 (1984) 3265-69. DOI: 10.1063/1.447079.
- [4] A. V. Marenich, C. J. Cramer, and D. G. Truhlar, "Universal solvation model based on solute electron density and a continuum model of the solvent defined by the bulk dielectric constant and atomic surface tensions," J. Phys. Chem. B, 113 (2009) 6378-96. DOI: 10.1021/jp810292n.
- [5] J. J. P. Stewart, "Optimization of parameters for semiempirical methods. V. Modification of NDDO approximations and application to 70 elements," J. Mol. Model., 13 (2007) 1173-213. DOI: 10.1007/s00894-007-0233-4