HEALTH INSURANCE LITERACY IN TCU: EXAMINING THE DIFFERENCE IN HEALTH INSURANCE KNOWLEDGE BETWEEN INTERNATIONAL AND DOMESTIC COLLEGE STUDENTS AND ADDRESSING THE NEEDS OF HEALTH INSURANCE EDUCATION FOR INTERNATIONAL STUDENTS

by

Lily Ta

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for Departmental Honors in

the Department of Economics

Texas Christian University

Fort Worth, Texas

May 8, 2023

HEALTH INSURANCE LITERACY IN TCU: EXAMINING THE DIFFERENCE IN HEALTH INSURANCE KNOWLEDGE BETWEEN INTERNATIONAL AND DOMESTIC COLLEGE STUDENTS AND ADDRESSING THE NEEDS OF HEALTH INSURANCE EDUCATION FOR INTERNATIONAL STUDENTS

Approved by:

Supervising Professor: Dongwoo Kim, Ph.D

Department of Economics

Susan Staples, Ph.D

Department of Mathematics

Rishav Bista, Ph.D

Department of Economics

Dawn Elliott, Ph.D

Department of Economics

ABSTRACT

International students are increasing in U.S. academic institutions and are making great contributions to the U.S. Economy. As the number of international students experiencing health and financial problems is growing, it is important for them to utilize health insurance to protect their well-being. Nevertheless, it is uncertain that some international students are aware of how U.S. health insurance works. In order to address this issue, we conducted research that compared international students to domestic students in health insurance literacy at TCU, based on their backgrounds (classification, gender, college major, seeking insurance information, and number of health center visits). Our research showed that the international population possessed less health insurance knowledge than their domestic fellows, in regard to every background. We concluded that international students need to be educated on health insurance in order to understand the significance of preventative care in case of unexpected unhealthy conditions, and the guarantee against financial burden in the case of unexpected medical expenses.

Introduction

The impact of international student enrollment on the United State's Educational System's economy, is significant and growing. During the 2017- 2018 academic year, international students supported more than 450,000 jobs and contributed \$39 billion to the U.S. education system; this amount represents more than two times the value of their contribution in a decade ago (International Educator, 2019). International students also demonstrate excellent academic performance at schools: they are passionate achievers, unlikely to obtain belowaverage score in classrooms, and amongst the smartest students on campus (Allameh, 1989). Furthermore, with foreign student population, schools can foster a diverse campus environment, create new learning experiences with cultural perspectives, and develop international relationships among students. These enormous contributions indicate that foreign students are indispensable parts of the modern day higher educational experience in America.

Many reasons motivate international students to pursue higher education in the United States. Common factors leading foreign students to study in America include the reputation and prestige of U.S. universities, stellar academic programs, career opportunities, chances to refine English skills, exposure to diverse ideas and lifestyles, and excellent student support systems (Miller, 2019). However, chasing the "American educational dream" can lead international students to experience struggles and hardship in different aspects. These challenges include, but are not limited to, overcoming spoken language barriers, adapting to different cultural norms, handling cultural misunderstandings, facing financial issues, lacking friends and social support, and dealing with feelings of racial discrimination, homesickness, and isolation. (Sherry et al., 2010). Because of these challenging circumstances, it is without doubt that international students are at risk to develop physical or mental issues. According to a report in 2018 about international students' mental health challenges, there was an increase of 6% in international students who experience health issues which affected their academic performance of foreign students, with 83% of them reported to have health issues in colleges, both mental and psychological problems (Qin, 2019). With the growing presence of international students on U.S. campuses and the indisputable value of this population in the higher educational system, the appropriate healthcare and counseling systems need to be in place to provide support for international students in need. One way to ensure better medical interventions and better mental health care, while at the same time address the financial insecurities of foreign students is to promote the utilization of health insurance, which provides a cost-effective access to health care in universities.

Most universities mandate health insurance for every international student. Nevertheless, while health insurance serves as a helpful source for their well-beings, it is uncertain that some international students were able to comprehend how to use insurance benefits to take advantage of the medical and mental health care systems in the U.S. Recent research indicates that foreign student group lacks health insurance knowledge because of the complex insurance terminologies and lack of education on insurance (Adegboyega et al., 2020). However, no previous literature compares the knowledge level of international students to that of domestic students.

In this research, we will focus on the difference in health insurance knowledge between international and domestic students based on several factors and determine if such difference is significant. We will examine this topic in the undergraduate level at Texas Christian University (TCU), including both international and domestic students in this campus. There are three research questions that lead the study:

- 1. Is there a difference in health insurance knowledge between domestic and international students?
- 2. What are the main factors that cause the difference in knowledge of health insurance between international and domestic students at TCU?

3. Does TCU do a good job in promoting university health center and health insurance to both foreign and domestic student population?

While TCU mandates international students to have health insurance, it also requires domestic students to have either university health insurance or private insurance (TCU Health Center). This policy allows us to address the degree of health insurance knowledge based on the fact that every student possesses a health insurance plan. We hope that the results from this study will help health educators understand students' experiences with health insurance and see how important health insurance is for students (especially international students) on campus.

Literature Review

Health insurance is the means of financial protection for someone's health throughout a certain period of time. In a health insurance plan, Kagan (2020) explained that health insurance companies pay all or some portions of medical costs for patients with health insurance plan, in exchange of premium payment made by the patients. In most universities, authorities provide affordable student health insurance which are covered by either the school or the insurance company, in return they charge the students for health insurance fees (ASO Staff, 2022). In TCU, Haylor, Freyer & Coon is in charge of the students' health insurance plans. The services in the school's health center includes primary care, sexual health, allergy clinic, psychiatric, and TCU Pharmacy (TCU Health Center).

We first investigated factors that affect everyone's perception of health insurance. Then, we determined whether there was a difference in health insurance literacy between international and domestic students in regard to any of those factors. Several studies indicate that age, gender, and college major is significantly related to how people subscribe or experience with health coverages (Edward et al., 2019; Dane & Hira, 1987; Chen & Volpe, 1998). Additionally,

financial responsibilities imply how students will use health insurance, especially after graduation (Gordon & Brown, 2016). These responsibilities comprise the degree of actively researching information which is associated with the degree of knowing health insurance (Adegboyega et al., 2020). Finally, several literatures suggest that college campuses should encourage healthy lifestyles as well as improve health education (Mackert et al., 2018; Adegboyega et al., 2020; Tyler et al., 2018). Therefore, we will use university promotion as the final contributor to health insurance knowledge of both domestic and international students.

How does age impact a person's knowledge of health insurance? Edward et al. (2019) conducted a study using Health Reform Monitoring Survey and found that compared to older age groups, young adults were much more likely to not have enough health insurance information and expertise in using health insurance plan, even if they possessed high education. Thus, the researchers recommended further effort to increase health insurance literacy to young adults. Dane and Hira (1987) also discovered that a student's age was positively correlated to his or her insurance knowledge. One explanation, they stated, was that as students were older, they were more aware of learning money management, including health insurance, as a necessity. Therefore, younger college students, even though understanding the basic facts about money management, lacked pratical experiences and specific knowledge. In another study, observation and interviews revealed that young adults had difficulty in defining what deductible, premium tax credit, out-of-pocket expense, and cost sharing meant, which limited their ability to shop insurance plan (Wong et al., 2015). Because of these studies, we infer that an increase in age is associated with increase in understanding health insurance.

Gender is another important aspect of health insurance literacy. Bartholomae et al. (2016) created a study with the smart choice health insurance[™] program and observed that males had lower score in health insurance literacy compared to females. Furthermore, males were 58.4%

less likely to improve such literacy than females were. Another research also showed the similar findings (Kutner et al., 2016). They further elevated that males learned less about health insurance information through written and digital media, heard less about that information from acquaintances and professionals than females did. Males also had difficulty in understanding and using terminology. Politi et al. (2014) performed some interviews with different recruiting sites in St. Louis city and noticed that males generally could not answer the questions about what was in the health insurance, while females tried to relate some insurance terms to their past experiences or explained by their own understandings. We conclude that females are more fluent in health insurance knowledge and are more active in learning it than males.

Students' college majors also reflect the degree that they understand health insurance. Business students were better and more correct at answering financial knowledge, including insurance, than non-business students (Chen et al., 1998). Although there were no further studies that indicated which specific major created students with better health insurance knowledge, we know that business students possess more health insurance literacy through in-class learning. Indeed, 30% of business graduates are now health insurance specialists, while less than 10% of students with other majors work the same position (Health Insurance, 2021).

Researching and utilizing health insurance have a positive relationship with the health insurance literacy as well. One study focused this feature on domestic and international student population. For domestic students, the barrier for using health insurance for seekers was the insurance cost, while it was the lack of understanding for non-seekers (Mackert et al., 2017). On the contrary, for international students, most of them were non-seekers because of both insurance cost and the lack of understanding. International student population also expressed concerns about language as a bigger factor in looking up health insurance information. Moreover, most college students had low self-efficacy and low health insurance literacy, which indicated their

low confidence in utilizing health insurance plan (James et al., 2020). This limitation affects students in the long-term if they do not receive adequate education to understand the importance of health insurance.

Health insurance is an underrated savior in college life because it helps students in both healthy and cost-saving way. We will use the above-mentioned factors to examine the difference in health insurance knowledge between international and domestic student group, therefore we can entail the health insurance education for international students if such difference is significant.

Methods

Overview

Since the targeted subjects of the study are domestic and international students, we conducted a web-based survey to undergraduates at TCU. Types of questions in the survey were Yes/No, short answers, multiple choices, and linear scales. The survey did not inquire personal information from the participants, but rather asked the demographic questions as one small part. To be specific, personal information includes names, identification numbers, address information, physical/biometric characteristics, or asset information (University of Pittsburgh).

We first asked the students whether they were undergraduate or graduate students, and whether international or domestic students. According to Immigration Policy Institute, the U.S. international students, or foreign students, are students who are enrolled in academic or nonacademic institutions with either F visas (student visas), J visas (exchange visas), or M visas (vocational training visas) (Israel and Batalova, 2014). On the contrary, domestic students are considered as U.S. citizens, permanent residents, asylees, refugees, or undocumented aliens (University of Texas at Dallas). We then proceeded to ask demographic background which included college names, classification, majors, age groups, and gender. The survey was created from Google Form. To gather the information, we collected general email addresses from the Department of Mathematics and the Department of Economics in TCU, sent invitation emails to the addresses, and asked the administrators of the addresses to forward the emails to all undergraduate students. We included the Google Form survey in all emails and collected the data from the survey responses. The responses from the survey were only known by the student researcher, the Principal Investigator, and Co-Investigators. They were stored in the Google Form's Responses tab and were exported as a Google Sheets document for result examination. *Measures*

We analyzed the insurance knowledge based on the survey responses. Based on the Literature Review, there were six components of the survey that we wanted to consider into the degree of insurance knowledge in both domestic and international students: student status, age group, gender, major, insurance information seeking, and number of health center visits. Student status was either domestic or international. Age groups included 18 to 24 years old, 25 to 34 years old, 35 to 44 years old, 45 to 54 years old, 55 to 64 years old, and over 65 years old. Gender includes male and female. Major included every area of study that a student is specializing in. Insurance information seeking is either yes or no. The number of health center visits could be any non-negative integers.

Expectation

Our expectation was that how much a student understood health insurance had a positive correlation with age group, since older people had more practical experiences in which they have to know health insurance for financial management. The level of knowledge was anticipated to be directly related to gender, with males acquiring less health insurance information than females. Additionally, we predicted that students who learned health insurance in college had

more health insurance information than students who did not learn. Seeking information reflected how much a student independently comprehended health insurance knowledge. Finally, we assumed that the number of health center visits were positively connected to a student's understanding of health insurance. The outcomes that we wanted to achieve were the levels of knowledge for domestic and international students if there was a change in age group, gender, major, insurance information seeking, and number of health center visits for both student populations. In the following section, we will construct equation models based on these factors, involving some interaction terms for easier comparisons between international and domestic students.

Data Analysis

We used STATA, a statistical software for data science, to analyze the data obtained from the survey. Any participant who answered all questions in the survey had their data included in the analysis. In order to build an equation model, we had to determine independent and dependent variables. Here, our dependent variable was the level of knowledge, which was measured in units from 1 to 5, based on the survey results. We denoted this ordinal variable in the model as *level*. The independent variables in the model were student status, age group, gender, major, insurance information seeking, and the number of health center visits. The nominal variable is the number of health insurance visits. The dummy variables are student status, gender, major, and insurance information seeking. The categorical variable is the age group. Let age group be 0 for 18 to 24 years old, 1 for 25 to 34 years old, 2 for 35 to 44 years old, 3 for 45 to 54 years old, 4 for 55 to 64 years old, and 6 for over 65 years old. Gender is 0 for female and 1 for male. Based on the TCU curriculum, we believe that students majoring in Actuarial Science, Finance, and Economics have some knowledge about insurance in general. Thus, major variable is 1 for those students and 0 for otherwise. If a student has looked up

information about health insurance before, the independent variable outcome is 1. Otherwise, it is 0. The number of visits is a numerical data and is measured in times. For students who were in their first semester at TCU or never visit the health center before, their number of visits will be 0 times. We denoted student status, age group, gender, major, insurance information seeking, and the number of health center visits as *intl, age, male, major, seek,* and *uhc* respectively. In general, our theoretical regression equation is:

$$Y(level) = \beta_1 x_1(intl) + \beta_2 x_2(age) + \beta_3 x_3(male) + \beta_4 x_4(major) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc) + u_1 x_2(age) + \beta_3 x_3(male) + \beta_4 x_4(major) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc) + u_1 x_2(age) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc) + u_2 x_4(major) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc) + u_2 x_4(major) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc) + u_2 x_4(major) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc) + u_4 x_4(major) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc) + u_4 x_4(major) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc) + u_4 x_6(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc) + u_6 x_6(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(seek) +$$

The most important task was to see the difference in insurance knowledge between international and domestic students regarding a certain background or factor (either age group, gender, major, insurance information seeking, or number of health center visits), so we will use interaction terms to estimate the amount of difference. Thus, using STATA, we generated 5 more independent variables, each of which were a multiple of student status and another above-mentioned background. These variables were denoted as *intl_age, intl_male, intl_major*; *intl_seek*, and *intl_uhc*. In total, there were 5 regressions equations to consider in the analysis:

$$\begin{split} Y(level) &= \beta_1 x_1(intl) + \beta_2 x_2(age) + \beta_3 x_3(male) + \beta_4 x_4(major) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc) \\ &+ \beta_i x_i(intl_age) + u \end{split}$$

 $Y(level) = \beta_1 x_1(intl) + \beta_2 x_2(age) + \beta_3 x_3(male) + \beta_4 x_4(major) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc)$ $+ \beta_i x_i(intl_male) + u$

$$Y(level) = \beta_1 x_1(intl) + \beta_2 x_2(age) + \beta_3 x_3(male) + \beta_4 x_4(major) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc)$$
$$+ \beta_i x_i(intl_major) + u$$

$$\begin{split} Y(level) &= \beta_1 x_1(intl) + \beta_2 x_2(age) + \beta_3 x_3(male) + \beta_4 x_4(major) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc) \\ &+ \beta_i x_i(intl_seek) + u \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} Y(level) &= \beta_1 x_1(intl) + \beta_2 x_2(age) + \beta_3 x_3(male) + \beta_4 x_4(major) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc) \\ &+ \beta_i x_i(intl_uhc) + u \end{split}$$

Results

Data Analysis

We received 40 responses after 2 weeks of sending the survey to the students of Department of Mathematics and the Department of Economics. Since these departments sent the survey to students who minored in Math and Econ, we received responses from students of non-Math and non-Econ majors as well. 1 out of 40 responses did not qualify because a student did not answer the question about the student's level of health insurance knowledge. Therefore, 39 results will be put in the regression analysis. One problem of the result was that 37 out of 39 responses were in the age group 18 to 24, which could cause some difficulties in determining the impact of different age groups on the level of knowledge. However, because we also asked the students about their classification (freshman, sophomore, junior, and senior) in the survey and 39 responses for that question was more diverse, we used classification, instead of age group, as an independent variable. Classification and age group (or age) determined the insurance knowledge similarly because an increase in a student's age was generally an increase in their classification. We denoted classification as *year*, with freshman as 0, sophomore as 1, junior as 2, and senior as 3. The five new regressions equations are:

$$\begin{split} Y(level) &= \beta_1 x_1(intl) + \beta_2 x_2(year) + \beta_3 x_3(male) + \beta_4 x_4(major) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc) \\ &+ \beta_i x_i(intl_year) + u \\ Y(level) &= \beta_1 x_1(intl) + \beta_2 x_2(year) + \beta_3 x_3(male) + \beta_4 x_4(major) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc) \\ &+ \beta_i x_i(intl_male) + u \\ Y(level) &= \beta_1 x_1(intl) + \beta_2 x_2(year) + \beta_3 x_3(male) + \beta_4 x_4(major) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc) \\ &+ \beta_i x_i(intl_major) + u \\ Y(level) &= \beta_1 x_1(intl) + \beta_2 x_2(year) + \beta_3 x_3(male) + \beta_4 x_4(major) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc) \\ &+ \beta_i x_i(intl_major) + u \\ Y(level) &= \beta_1 x_1(intl) + \beta_2 x_2(year) + \beta_3 x_3(male) + \beta_4 x_4(major) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc) \\ &+ \beta_i x_i(intl_major) + u \\ Y(level) &= \beta_1 x_1(intl) + \beta_2 x_2(year) + \beta_3 x_3(male) + \beta_4 x_4(major) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc) \\ &+ \beta_i x_i(intl_seek) + u \end{split}$$

$$Y(level) = \beta_1 x_1(intl) + \beta_2 x_2(year) + \beta_3 x_3(male) + \beta_4 x_4(major) + \beta_5 x_5(seek) + \beta_6 x_6(uhc)$$
$$+ \beta_i x_i(intl_uhc) + u$$

Before performing regression analysis, we wanted to better understand the characteristics of the equation's variables. Thus, we tested both dependent and independent variables and observed whether there were potential issues with them. We started with the correlation among dependent and independent variables, as illustrated in the matrix below. Most of the correlations implied the weak relationships between level and each of the independent variables. The highest value of correlation was 0.2946 between *level* and *major* variables. Nevertheless, when we examined the potential multicollinearity, we found out that the correlations between any two independent variables were very low. Therefore, we decided not to eliminate any independent variable from the regression model.

. corr level intl year major male seek uhc (obs=39)								
	level	intl	year	major	male	seek	uhc	
level	1.0000							
intl	-0.2296	1.0000						
year	0.2283	0.0508	1.0000					
major	0.2946	-0.2743	0.0470	1.0000				
male	0.0854	-0.2357	-0.0331	0.1091	1.0000			
seek	0.0854	0.2357	-0.0331	-0.2182	-0.1538	1.0000		
uhc	-0.1252	0.1356	-0.1633	-0.0092	0.0000	0.1263	1.0000	

There were other observations from this matrix. Firstly, the level of health insurance knowledge was negatively correlated with whether the student was an international or not. This suggested that if a student was international, then their knowledge level was lower than that of a domestic student with the same background (classification, gender, major, information seeking, and the number of health center visits). Secondly, student classification and the level of knowledge vary in the same direction. In other words, a student's increase in classification occurred at the same time as their understanding of health insurance increased. Thirdly, the level of health insurance knowledge was positively correlated with whether the student is a male or

not. When a student was a male, coincidentally, the level of knowledge for that student was higher than that of a female student with the same background (student status, classification, major, information seeking, and the number of health center visits). Another observation was that the correlation between *seek* and *level* was positive, which suggested that the more a student seek the health insurance information, the more knowledge they gained. The final observation was quite interesting: the number of health center visits is negatively correlated to the level of knowledge, which indicated that the more a student went the university health center was associated with the less that student knew about health insurance. We concluded from these observations that if there was a change in a certain background for international and domestic students, then there would be some variations in the difference in health insurance literacy between these two types of students.

Regression results

After the overview of every factor of the model, we moved on to the regression analysis and determined the estimated returns to classification, gender, major, insurance information seeking, and the number of health center visits for international and domestic students. Each estimated return was the difference in health insurance literacy between international and domestic students caused by a change in a certain background, on a range from 0 to 4. It was determined based on the coefficients in the regression summary. We also included the summary statistics for each variable to visualize the context of the result.

1. Classification:

. reg level :	intl intl_year	year majo	r male seek	uhc		
Source	ss	df	MS	Number of ob:	s =	39
Model	12 5407511	7	1 70153587	F(7, 31)	_	1.// 0 1200
Desiduel	21 2566040	21	1 01150506	Proto > 1		0.1250
Residual	31.3300848	31	1.01120290	K-squared	. =	0.2857
				Adj K-square	= נ	0.1244
Total	43.8974359	38	1.15519568	Root MSE		1.0057
level	Coefficient	Std. err.	t	P> t [95%	conf.	interval]
intl	.5682734	.6720389	0.85	0.4048023	591	1.938906
intl_year	5868741	.320888	-1.83	0.077 -1.24	133	.0675814
year	.3915224	.1789637	2.19	0.036 .0265	236	.7565213
major	.6181732	.3424867	1.80	0.081080	333	1.316679
male	.0843922	.3544079	0.24	0.81363843	274	.8072118
seek	.4746303	.3603465	1.32	0.1972603	ð12	1.209562
uhc	0709452	.1285801	-0.55	0.585333	186	.1912955
_cons	1.999993	.4741282	4.22	0.000 1.033	002	2.966984

 $Y(\widehat{level}) = 2 + 0.5683x_1(intl) + 0.3915x_2(year) + 0.0844x_3(male) + 0.6182x_4(major)$

 $+ 0.4746x_5(seek) - 0.071x_6(uhc) - 0.5869x_i(intl_year)$

. sum level					
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
level	39	2.948718	1.0748	1	5

Summary of *level* variable

Holding other factors (*male, major, seek, uhc*) constant – For international population, an additional year of college was associated with an increase in a student's knowledge level by 0.5869 - 0.3915 = 0.1954 units. For domestic population, an additional year of college was associated with an increase in a student's knowledge by 0.3915 units. Additionally, with an additional college year, an international student was expected to know 0.5869 - 0.5683 = 0.0186 units less than a domestic student does.

2. Gender:

. leg tevet in	tl year major	intl_male	male seek	uhc		
Source	SS	df	MS	Number o	ofobs =	39
				F(7, 31)) =	1.28
Model	9.8439232	7	1.40627474	Prob > I	F =	0.2923
Residual	34.0535127	31	1.09850041	R-square	ed =	0.2242
				Adj R-so	quared =	0.0491
Total	43.8974359	38	1.15519568	Root MSI	E =	1.0481
level	Coefficient	Std. err.	t	P> t	[95% conf.	interval]
intl	2969963	.4385451	-0.68	0.503 -:	1.191415	.5974224
year	.2059919	.1566571	1.31	0.198 -	.1135124	.5254962
major	.5202996	.3639404	1.43	0.163 -	.2219616	1.262561
intl_male	7424605	.9391511	-0.79	0.435 -2	2.657872	1.172951
male	.2701322	.4182592	0.65	0.523 -	582913	1.123177
seek	.496122	.3756098	1.32	0.196 -	2699393	1.262183
uhc	0844915	.1348861	-0.63	0.536 -	3595935	.1906105
_cons	2.296767	.4634221	4.96	0.000	1.351612	3.241923

 $Y(\widehat{level}) = 2.2968 - 0.297x_1(intl) + 0.206x_2(year) + 0.2701x_3(male) + 0.5203x_4(major)$

 $+ 0.4961x_5(seek) - 0.0845x_6(uhc) - 0.7425x_i(intl_male)$

. sum level i	f male == 1				
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
level	13	3.076923	.9540736	2	5

Summary of level variable in male population

. sum level it	f male == 0				
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
level	26	2.884615	1.142871	1	5

Summary of level variable in female population

Holding other factors (*year, major, seek, uhc*) constant - For international students, a male was anticipated to know 0.7425 - 0.2701 = 0.4724 units of knowledge level less than a female. For domestic students, a male was anticipated to know 0.2701 units of knowledge level more than a female. From the regression, we assumed that an international student knew about health insurance 0.297 + 0.7425 = 1.0395 units less than a domestic student, if the student is a male. For female population, we assumed that an international student had 0.297 units of knowledge less than a domestic student.

3. Major:

. reg level intl year intl_major major male seek uhc							
Source	ss	df	MS	Numbe	er of obs		39
				- F(7,	31)		1.24
Model	9.5914905	7	1.37021293	Prob	> F		0.3125
Residual	34.3059454	31	1.1066434	R-squ	Jared		0.2185
				- AdjF	R-squared		0.0420
Total	43.8974359	38	1.15519568	Root	MSE		1.052
level	Coefficient	Std. err.	t	P> t	[95% c	onf.	interval]
intl	6459168	.5123676	-1.26	0.217	-1.6908	97	.3990638
year	.2096129	.1570746	1.33	0.192	11074	28	.5299686
intl_major	.5020811	.8016249	0.63	0.536	-1.1328	44	2.137006
major	.4230269	.4359398	0.97	0.339	46607	82	1.312132
male	.1587023	.3770112	0.42	0.677	61021	71	.9276217
seek	.460823	.3792381	1.22	0.233	31263	82	1.234284
uhc	0575403	.1365221	-0.42	0.676	3359	79	.2208985
_cons	2.378712	.4814115	4.94	0.000	1.3968	66	3.360557

 $Y(\widehat{level}) = 2.3787 - 0.6459x_1(intl) + 0.2096x_2(year) + 0.1587x_3(male) + 0.423x_4(major)$

 $+ 0.4608 x_5 (seek) - 0.0575 x_6 (uhc) - 0.5021 x_i (intl_major)$

. sum level in	f major == 1				
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
level	21	3.238095	1.044259	1	5

Summary of level variable for students majoring in Actuarial Science, Economics, and Finance

. sum level it	f major == 0				
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
level	18	2.611111	1.036901	1	5

Summary of level variable for students not majoring in Actuarial Science, Economics, and Finance

Holding other factors (*year, male, seek, uhc*) constant – For international population, students who majored in either Actuarial Science, Economics, or Finance was predicted to understand 0.5021 + 0.4230 = 0.9251 units more than a domestic student with the same majors. For domestic population, students who majored in either Actuarial Science, Economics, or Finance was predicted to understand 0.4230 units more than a domestic student with the same majors. When comparing international and domestic students, we estimated that international students perceived 0.6459 + 0.5021 = 1.148 units of knowledge less than a domestic student, if they both major in Actuarial Science, Economics, or Finance. In student population that did not study Actuarial

Science, Economics, or Finance majors, an international student is anticipated to possess 0.6459 units of knowledge less than a domestic student do.

- reg level intl year major male intl_seek seek uhc Source df MS Number of obs 39 1.18 Model 9.21532368 7 1.31647481 0.3443 34.6821122 1.11877781 Residual 31 R-squared 0.2099 Adj R-squared 0.0315 Total 43.8974359 38 1.15519568 Root MSE 1.0577 Coefficient Std. err. P>|t| [95% conf. interval] -1.00 0.326 -1.583144 .5429665 intl -.5200885 .5212297 year .213136 .1578286 1.35 0.187 -.1087575 .5350296 major .5694367 .3620672 1.57 0.126 -.1690043 1.307878 .1083382 -.6529453 .8696217 male .3732672 0.29 0.774 intl_seek .1784753 .7841493 0.23 0.821 -1.420808 1.777758 .4209843 -.554898 .4784878 0.88 0.386 1.396867 seek -.0767243 -.3554247 uhc .1366505 -0.56 0.579 .2019762 _cons 2.331528 .4866212 4.79 0.000 1.339058 3.323999
- 4. Health insurance information seeking:

 $Y(\widehat{level}) = 2.3315 - 0.5201x_1(intl) + 0.2131x_2(year) + 0.1083x_3(male) + 0.5694x_4(major)$

. sum level	if seek == 1				
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
level	13	3.076923	.9540736	2	5

Summary of level variable for students seeking health insurance information in any resources

. sum level i	f seek == 0				
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
level	26	2.884615	1.142871	1	5

Summary of level variable for students not seeking health insurance information in any resources

Holding other factors (*year, male, major, uhc*) constant – We speculated that an international student who sought information about health insurance perceive 0.1785 + 0.4210 = 0.5995 units more than another international student who did not. We also speculated that a domestic student who sought information about health insurance perceive 0.421 units more than another domestic student who did not. An international student was expected to know 0.5201 + 0.1785 = 0.6986

units less than a domestic student did, if they both sought health insurance information from any resources. For population that did not seek for health insurance information, an international student was anticipated to understand 0.5201 units of knowledge less than a domestic student did.

5. Number of university health center visits:

. reg level intl year major male seek intl_uhc uhc									
Source	ss	df	MS	Number of ol	bs =	39			
				F(7, 31)		1.38			
Model	10.4044647	7	1.4863521	Prob > F		0.2504			
Residual	33.4929712	31	1.08041842	R-squared		0.2370			
				Adj R-square	ed =	0.0647			
Total	43.8974359	38	1.15519568	Root MSE		1.0394			
level	Coefficient	Std. err.	t	P> t [95%	conf.	interval]			
intl	7903836	.5087882	-1.55	0.130 -1.828	8064	.2472968			
year	.206987	.1552068	1.33	0.192109	5594	.5235334			
major	.6349637	.3570632	1.78	0.0850932	2715	1.363199			
male	.1465296	.3671132	0.40	0.6936022	2027	.8952618			
seek	.437762	.3752143	1.17	0.2523274	4925	1.203017			
intl_uhc	.2992672	.2785511	1.07	0.2912688	8416	.867376			
uhc	179768	.1663584	-1.08	0.2885190	0583	.1595222			
_cons	2.387242	.4665362	5.12	0.000 1.43	5735	3.338749			

 $Y(\widehat{level}) = 2.3872 - 0.297x_1(intl) + 0.206x_2(year) + 0.2701x_3(male) + 0.5203x_4(major)$

$+ 0.4961x_5(seek) -$	- 0.0845 <i>x</i> ₆ (uhc) –	$0.7425x_i(intl_uhc)$
-----------------------	--	------------------------

. sum uhc					
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
uhc	39	1.153846	1.308645	0	5



Holding other factors (*year, male, major, seek*) constant – For international students, an additional the number of health center visits was related to a decrease in their knowledge by 0.0845 + 0.7425 = 0.827 units. For domestic students, an additional the number of health center visits was related to a decrease in their knowledge 0.0845 units. With an extra time of visiting the health center, we estimated that international students knew 0.297 + 0.7425 = 1.0395 units less than domestic students did.

Discussion and Conclusions

The findings from this study are to highlight how some important factors affect international and domestic students' understanding of health insurance, and how both types of students know the insurance information differently when certain factor impacts them. There are several noteworthy reflections from both the Results and the Literature Review, as well as the interpretations of the results.

Theoretically, as students get older, they will increase their health insurance literacy. In our results, both domestic and international students demonstrated the same pattern. International population was expected to know health insurance less than domestic population, as they both increased their age (or classification). Additionally, based on the studies in the Literature Review, male possessed less health insurance knowledge than female. In our results, international students gave the strong evidence to the studies, but domestic students did not. Nevertheless, we estimated that international students perceived less insurance knowledge than domestic students did, whether they were males or females. Thirdly, if students studied a major that involves health insurance education, then they were expected to know health insurance more than students that do not study such a major. Our results were similar as the theoretical statement for both domestic and international population. Again, international students perceived less knowledge than domestic students did, whether both types of students studied majors that included or did not include insurance education. Similar to what we have found in *major* factor, when examining the *seek* factor, we observed that students who sought health insurance information understood the insurance more than students who did not, in either domestic or international population. Domestic population was anticipated to understand more insurance knowledge than international population did, whether these two populations were both seekers or not. Finally, we predicted from Literature Review that students would learn more about health

insurance as they made use of the health center more. Our results revealed that both domestic and international students did not reflect the same pattern. When doing the comparison, we found that international students possessed less knowledge of health insurance than domestic students if there were an additional increase in the health center visits in both populations.

We used the difference when comparing health insurance literacy between domestic and international students because we wanted to address how the difference told us about these two student populations. Based on the results of the regression analysis, we found out that international students always knew less than domestic students, regardless of what factors that we considered. We also examined the expected level of knowledge for two populations and observed: the mean level of knowledge for international students was 2.5833 out of 5, while the mean level of knowledge for domestic students was 3.1111 out of 5. Considering factors like classification, gender, major, seeking, and the number of university health center visits, we found that international students acquired less information about health insurance than domestic students. Therefore, we concluded that international student population needed more education on health insurance to better increase their knowledge level and catch up with their domestic fellows. Several ways for the university to help improve international students' understanding include, but not limited to: Health insurance workshops for first-year students, hard copies of health insurance brochures, educational sessions about health insurance terms, student health status' check-in

One limitation that we need to improve is the sample size, which we believed to cause several conflicts in what the Literature Reviews section stated and what we discovered in the Results section. We did not expand the student sample to other colleges at TCU, but instead just focusing on the Department of Mathematics and the Department of Economics. We were strongly convinced that more students from other colleges contributed to the diversity of the sample sizes, and thus giving the study a more unbiased view. Nevertheless, the survey was not entirely biased, because the Mathematics and Economics department also included students who minored in Mathematics and Economics, so we were able to receive responses from students in other majors as well.

To wrap up, given the factors like classification, gender, major, health insurance information seeking, and the number of health center visits, international students perceive less insurance knowledge than domestic students. Increasing the health insurance literacy is necessary for international students to lessen their financial burden as well as to improve their physical and mental health. As a result, the study suggests that further actions are needed to help international population understand the basics information about their mandate insurance so that they can proactively make decisions for the sake of their health.

- Adegboyega, A., Nkwonta, C., & Edward, J. (2020). Health Insurance Literacy Among International College Students: A Qualitative Analysis. Journal of International Students, 10(1), 50–68. https://doi.org/10.32674/jis.v10i1.1097
- Bartholomae, S., Russell, M. B., Braun, B., & McCoy, T. (2016). Building health insurance literacy: Evidence from the smart choice health insurance[™] program. Journal of Family and Economic Issues, 37(2), 140-155. doi:10.1007/s10834-016-9482-7
- Batalova, J., & Israel, E. (2021, January 14). International Students in the United States. migrationpolicy.org. Retrieved from https://www.migrationpolicy.org/article/internationalstudents-united-states
- Chen, J. H., Li, Y., Wu, A. M. S., & Tong, K. K. (2020). The overlooked minority: Mental health of International students worldwide under the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond. Asian journal of psychiatry, 54, 102333. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102333
- Chen, H., & Volpe, R. P. (1998). An analysis of personal financial literacy among college students. Financial Services Review, 7(2), 107. Retrieved from http://library.tcu.edu/PURL/EZproxy_link.asp?/login?url=https://www.proquest.com/schol arly-journals/analysis-personal-financial-literacy-among/docview/212012781/se-2
- Danes, S. M., & Hira, T. K. (1987). Money management knowledge of college students. Journal of Student Financial Aid, 17(1), 1.

- Edward, J., Wiggins, A., Young, M. H., & Rayens, M. K. (2019). Significant Disparities Exist in Consumer Health Insurance Literacy: Implications for Health Care Reform. Health literacy research and practice, 3(4), e250–e258. https://doi.org/10.3928/24748307-20190923-01
- Health Insurance Specialist Degrees, Education Requirements, Colleges and Majors. Zippia. (2021, April 30). Retrieved from https://www.zippia.com/health-insurance-specialist-jobs/education/
- International students' contributions to U.S. economy doubled in the last decade. (2019). International Educator, 28(1), 7. Retrieved from http://library.tcu.edu/PURL/EZproxy_link.asp?/login?url=https://www.proquest.com/schol arly-journals/international-students-contributions-u-s-economy/docview/2236173926/se-2
- James, T. G., Sullivan, M. K., Dumeny, L., Lindsey, K., Cheong, J., & Nicolette, G. (2020). Health insurance literacy and health service utilization among college students. Journal of American College Health, 68(2), 200-206. doi:10.1080/07448481.2018.1538151
- Kagan, J. (2022, October 23). Health Insurance: Definition, how it works. Investopedia. Retrieved from https://www.investopedia.com/terms/h/healthinsurance.asp#toc-types-of-health-insurance
- Kutner, M., Greenburg, E., Jin, Y., Paulsen, C., National Center for Educational Statistics (ED),
 Washington, DC, & American Institutes for Research (CRESS), Kensington, MD.
 (2006). The health literacy of america's adults: Results from the 2003 national assessment
 of adult literacy. NCES 2006-483. ().ED Pubs.

- Mackert, M., Koh, H. E., Mabry-Flynn, A., Champlin, S., & Beal, A. (2018). Domestic and International College Students: Health Insurance Information Seeking and Use. Journal of International Students, 7(3), 542–561. https://doi.org/10.32674/jis.v7i3.287
- Miller, K. (2019, December 13). 5 benefits of studying in the U.S. for international students. Northeastern University Graduate Programs. Retrieved from https://www.northeastern.edu/graduate/blog/benefits-of-studying-in-the-us/
- Politi, M. C., Kaphingst, K. A., Kreuter, M., Shacham, E., Lovell, M. C., & McBride, T. (2014).
 Knowledge of health insurance terminology and details among the uninsured. Medical
 Care Research and Review, 71(1), 85-98. doi:10.1177/1077558713505327
- Qin, X. (n.d.). Common Factors of Mental Health Challenges Among International Students. Retrieved from https://www.nafsa.org/sites/default/files/media/document/addressingmental-health-chapter-1.pdf
- Sherry, M., Thomas, P., & Chui, W. H. (2010). International students: A vulnerable student population. Higher Education, 60(1), 33-46. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-009-9284z
- Texas Christian University. (n.d.). Health Insurance Requirements. Brown-Lupton Health Center. Retrieved December 12, 2022, from https://healthcenter.tcu.edu/insurance/#UndergraduateStudents
- Texas Christian University. (n.d.). Services. Brown-Lupton Health Center. Retrieved from https://healthcenter.tcu.edu/services/

- University of Pittsburgh. (n.d.). Guide to Identifying Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Information Technology. Retrieved from https://www.technology.pitt.edu/help-desk/how-to-documents/guide-identifying-personally-identifiable-information-pii
- University of Texas at Dallas. (n.d.). Insurance Options for Domestic Students. Student Health Insurance. Retrieved from https://insurance.utdallas.edu/policies/domestic/
- Wong, C. A., M.D, Asch, David A., M.D., M.B.A, Vinoya, C. M., Ford, C. A., M.D, Baker, T., J.D, Town, R., Ph.D, & Merchant, Raina M., M.D., M.S.H.P. (2015). Seeing health insurance and HealthCare.gov through the eyes of young adults. Journal of Adolescent Health, 57(2), 137-143. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2015.04.017