

Hi Art,

Here are a bunch of sections for you to peruse. Let me give you some suggested viewing and cautions:

Ladder Creek vs. Harrison Township

You might want to have another look at these two sections for comparison. In particular, look at the shock effect in Harrison Township, which I think you will find are more severe than you originally estimated. The Ladder Creek needs to be returned to Adrian Brearley. I don't know that there is much reason to keep it until the paper is reviewed, since I wouldn't anticipate many problems in review.

TCU vs. BMNH Gurramkonda

Enclosed are the two sections of Gurramkonda which you have not seen before. The BMNH sample is covered and very old (~100 years), so please be very careful with it. I think you will find these two are very similar, justifying our statement that they are the same. An interesting feature of the TCU PTS is the presence of very coarse-grained igneous fragments along the edges of the section. I'm not sure what to make of these and didn't mention them in the paper, since I don't know what more can be done with the partial fragments. Please return the BMNH sample to me in the same round container in which it came.

I am also returning the sections of Forestburg (a), (b) and Davy (a), (b), although you've seen these before and there isn't much to comment on. Also enclosed are two of the three Leedey sections of yours that I have. I am only retaining the rectangular section with the Fe,Ni-FeS particle, since I can use this section alone to answer any questions reviewers might have on the paper. I think you should retain all the TCU sections. If questions arise after review of the paper, I can have you send me the sections if I'm still here in Houston or up in Washington.

Sincerely,

Tim