

BURNETT SCHOOL of MEDICINE

RESEARCH QUESTION

In a retrospective analysis of patients that have a confirmed diagnosis of idiopathic intracranial hypertension (IIH), what is the predictive value of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in the diagnosis of IIH?

BACKGROUND

Idiopathic intracranial hypertension (IIH) is a condition characterized by an increase in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) with a lack of identifiable structural cause or etiology. Its major morbidity is blindness which occurs in 10% of patients and is expected to rise in incidence due to rising rates of obesity, which is a major risk factor.

METHODS

This study was a retrospective data analysis of MRI reports completed during 2020 at John Peter Smith hospital (Fort Worth, TX). Reports containing "Idiopathic intracranial hypertension" were reviewed and patients with a lumbar puncture confirming the diagnosis were included. All cases were de-identified and randomized. These MRI studies were reviewed by three neuroradiologists who were blinded to the diagnoses. The presence or absence of each of four characteristic imaging findings were noted. Inter-rater reliability (IRR) and Odds **Ratios (OR) were analyzed for each finding** using a generalized linear mixed model.

Imaging findings of IIH: The value of MRI in predicting the presence of disease; A Retrospective Analysis

Zachary Miles; Kyle Simon, MS; Ivan DeQuesada, MD; James-Michael Blackwell, MPH; Dawid Kala

What is the predictive value of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in the diagnosis of IIH? Venous imaging may be needed to identify bilateral transverse sinus stenosis





Figure 1: Bilateral transverse dural venous sinus stenosis in a patient with IIH.





Figure 2: An expanded, empty pituitary sella in a patient with IIH.



Table 1. Inter-Ra

Empty Sella Bilateral Transve **Enlarged Optic** Posterior Globe

| Intracranial Hypertension Status Odds Ratio 95% Confidence Interval P-V a.) Probability of Being Diagnosed With An Image: Confidence Interval P-V Empty Pituitary Sella Image: Confidence Interval P-V Patient Status Image: Confidence Interval P-V Idiopathic Intracranial Hypertension 19.699 (9.055, 42.854) <.0 Normal Reference Reference Ref b.) Probability of Being Diagnosed With Image: Confidence Interval P | Value | | | | |
|--|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Image: NormalOdds Ratio95% Confidence IntervalP-Na.) Probability of Being Diagnosed With An Empty Pituitary SellaImage: Confidence IntervalP-NImage: Pituitary SellaImage: Confidence Interval | Value | | | | |
| a.) Probability of Being Diagnosed With An Image: Constraint of Being Diagnosed With An Image: Constraint of Being Diagnosed With An ▶ tient Status Image: Constraint of Being Diagnosed With An Image: Constraint of Being Diagnosed With An Image: Anti-Anti-Anti-Anti-Anti-Anti-Anti-Anti- | | | | | |
| Empty Pituitary Sella Image: Sella | | | | | |
| Patient Status Image: Constraint of the status Image: Constatus Image: Constatus | | | | | |
| Idiopathic Intracranial Hypertension 19.699 (9.055, 42.854) <.0 | | | | | |
| Normal Reference Reference Reference Ref b.) Probability of Being Diagnosed With Image: Comparison of Being Diagnosed With I | 0001 | | | | |
| b.) Probability of Being Diagnosed With Bilateral Transverse Sinus Stenosis | ference | | | | |
| Bilateral Transverse Sinus Stenosis | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Patient Status | | | | | |
| Idiopathic Intracranial Hypertension 10.839 (5.324, 22.064) <.0 | 0001 | | | | |
| Normal Reference Reference Ref | ference | | | | |
| c.) Probability of Being Diagnosed With | | | | | |
| Enlarged Optic Nerve Sheaths | | | | | |
| Patient Status | | | | | |
| Idiopathic Intracranial Hypertension 18.513 (8.811, 38.896) <<.0 | 0001 | | | | |
| Normal Reference Reference Ref | ference | | | | |
| d.) Probability of Being Diagnosed With | | | | | |
| Posterior Globe Flattening | | | | | |
| Patient Status | | | | | |
| Idiopathic Intracranial Hypertension 49.302 (15.017, 161.865) <<.0 | 0001 | | | | |
| Normal Reference Reference Ref | | | | | |

Our results reinforced existing evidence that there are characteristic imaging findings on MRI that suggest a diagnosis of IIH. A limitation to this study was the lack of vascular imaging such as MR Venography, which is likely responsible for the low IRR in identifying bilateral transverse sinus stenosis. A future retrospective study could include vascular imaging.



RESULTS

| ater Reliability of Radiologist Diagnosis | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| | All Subjects N = 105 | IIH Subjects N = 64 | Normal Subjects N = 41 | | |
| | 0.959 | >0.999 | 0.599 | | |
| erse Sinus Stenosis | 0.569 | 0.521 | 0.238 | | |
| Nerve Sheaths | 0.827 | 0.914 | -0.0789 | | |
| Flattening | 0.705 | 0.621 | -0.025 | | |

FUTURE DIRECTIONS