

Pre-operative optimization of surgically frail patients can improve outcomes and prognosis

Patrick Powers^{1*}, Lauren Hui^{1*}, Diane St. Pierre², & Richard Miller^{1, 2}



1. Anne Burnett Marion School of Medicine at Texas Christian University, Fort Worth, TX 2.John Peter Smith Hospital, Department of Surgery, Fort Worth, TX

RESEARCH QUESTION

- Which components of the Edmonton Frailty Scale score (EFS) are most associated with with surgical optimization, and thereafter, clinical outcome?
- Furthermore, are there any identifiable optimization components that can be feasibly implemented across the general surgical population?

BACKGROUND

- The state of surgical patients preoperatively has been significantly associated with post-operative clinical outcomes
- Components such as cognitive function, mechanical functionality, pre-existing health conditions, etc. have been associated with surgical prognosis, independent of the surgery type
- Standardized scales and scores have been published to assist in determining the state of preoperative patients, as predictors of surgical outcomes
- The Edmonton Frailty Scale was used for this preliminary study

METHODS

EFS scores were correlated to optimization components and compared to objective outcome measurements.





Main Points:

- Diabetes and hypertension were most associated with an increase in EFS score
- Increased EFS score was associated with worse post-operative outcomes

Figure 1: Main outcomes with clinical associations to EFS scores					
Comparison	Outcome	Experimental Interpretation			
EFS to Length of Stay	Negative Correlation	Lower EFS Proceeds to Surgery			
EFS to Time to Surgery	Positive Correlation	Allowing More Time for Optimization is Beneficial			
EFS to Proceeding to Surgery	Negative Correlation	Decreasing EFS Leads to Proceeding to Surgery			
EFS to ICU Admission	Positive Correlation	Higher EFS Leads to ICU Admission			
EFS to Hypertension	Positive Correlation	Hypertension is Associated with Higher EFS			
EFS to Diabetes	Positive Correlation	Diabetes is Associated with Higher EFS			
EFS to Hypertension & Diabetes	Positive Correlation	Hypertension and Diabetes are Associated with Higher EFS			

requires

assistance

Figure 2: EFS Score Components						
Frailty domain	Item	0 point	1 point	2 points		
Cognition	Please imagine that this pre-drawn circle is a clock. I would like you to place the numbers in the correct positions then place the hands to indicate a time of 'ten after eleven'	No errors	Minor spacing errors	Other errors		
General health status	In the past year, how many times have you been admitted to a hospital?	0	1–2	≥2		
	In general, how would you describe your health?	'Excellent', 'Very good', 'Good'	'Fair'	'Poor'		
	With how many of the following activities do you require help? (meal preparation, shopping, transportation, telephone, housekeeping, laundry, managing money, taking medications)	0–1	2–4	5–8		
Social support	When you need help, can you count on someone who is willing and able to meet your needs?	Always	Sometimes	Never		
Medication use	Do you use five or more different prescription medications on a regular basis?	No	Yes			
	At times, do you forget to take your prescription medications?	No	Yes			
Nutrition	Have you recently lost weight such that your clothing has become looser?	No	Yes			
Mood	Do you often feel sad or depressed?	No	Yes			
Continence	Do you have a problem with losing control of urine when you don't want to?	No	Yes			
	I would like you to sit in this chair with your back and arms resting. Then, when I say 'GO', please stand up and walk at a safe and comfortable pace to the mark on the floor (approximately 3	0–10 s	11–20 s	One of : >20 s , or patient unwilling , or		

m away), return to the chair and sit

Scoring:

0 - 5 = Not Frail

6 - 7 = Vulnerable

8 - 9 = Mild Frailty

10-11 = Moderate Frailty

12-17 = Severe Frailty

Final score is the sum of column totals

Administered by

Risk Factor	Total Patients		Percent of Patients		
Hypertension	80		55.56%		
Diabetes	60		41.67%		
Both	32		23.08%		
Figure 4: Risk factors as associated to EFS scores					
Risk Factor		EFS Score			
Hypertension		8.04			
Diabetes		8.21			
Both		8.07			
Neither		7.41			

> SCAN ME

Figure 3: Breakdown of patients with hypertension compared to diabetes

RESULTS

- Hypertension and diabetes were the most reports risk factor that was associated with EFS scores and negative post-operative outcomes (Figure 1).
- Hypertension and diabetes were identified as factors that could be adjusted to improve EFS, and thus, surgical outcomes.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

- As a preliminary study, the data and results hope to lay foundation for identified trends in the population (Figure 5).



Figure 5: Proposed future directions based on available variables.

rigule 3. Proposed future directions based on available variables.		
Area of Future Research	Research Components	
Patient Demographic	Healthcare literacy, socioeconomic	
	status, transportation availability, &	
	job title	
Independent Hospital Admission	Reasons for hospitalizations outside	
	of optimization encounters	
Qualitative Stratification	Analyzing each component within	
	the systems-based bins	
Overlapping Risk Factors	Stratifying the multiple components	
	that fall within multiple bins	
Time to Surgery Variables	Filtering and identifying multiple	
	components influencing time to	
	surgery	
Optimization Components for	Continued improvement on	
Diabetes/Hypertension	management of diabetes and	
	hypertension	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The two first-authors would like to thank the Department of Medical Research at Burnett SOM at TCU and the Department of Surgery at John Peter Smith Hospital.